Microbubbles and Microparticles are Not Faithful Tracers of Turbulent Acceleration
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We report on the Lagrangian statistics of acceleration of small (sub-Kolmogorov) bubbles and tracer particles with Stokes number St ≪ 1 in turbulent flow. At a decreasing Reynolds number, the bubble accelerations show deviations from that of tracer particles; i.e., they deviate from the Heisenberg-Yaglom prediction and show a quicker decorrelation despite their small size and minute St. Using direct numerical simulations, we show that these effects arise due the drift of these particles through the turbulent flow. We theoretically predict this gravity-driven effect for developed isotropic turbulence, with the ratio of Stokes to Froude number or equivalently the particle drift velocity governing the enhancement of acceleration variance and the reductions in correlation time and intermittency. Our predictions are in good agreement with experimental and numerical results. The present findings are relevant to a range of scenarios encompassing tiny bubbles and droplets that drift through the turbulent oceans and the atmosphere. They also question the common usage of microbubbles and microdroplets as tracers in turbulence research.
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Heavy and light particles caught up in turbulent flows often behave differently from fluid tracers. The reason for this is usually the particles’ inertia, which can cause them to depart from fluid streamlines and distribute nonhomogeneously even when the carrier flow is statistically homogeneous [1–11]. Numerical studies have captured several interesting effects of particle inertia through point-particle simulations in homogeneous isotropic turbulence [7,12–14]. For instance, with increasing inertia, light particles showed an initial increase in acceleration variance (up to a value \( \langle a^2 \rangle \sim 9 \) times the tracer value) followed by a decrease, while heavy particles showed a monotonic trend of decreasing acceleration variance [15]. Such modifications of acceleration statistics arose primarily from the slow temporal response of these inertial particles, i.e., when the Stokes number St (St ≡ \( \tau_p/\tau_\eta \), where \( \tau_p \) is the particle response time and \( \tau_\eta \) is the Kolmogorov time scale of the flow) was finite [9,16,17]. In comparison, a lower limit of inertia can be imagined (St ≪ 1), when the particles respond to even the quickest flow fluctuations and, hence, are often deemed good trackers of the turbulent flow regardless of their density ratio [3,15,16,18]. The widespread use of small bubbles and droplets in flow visualization and particle tracking setups (e.g., hydrogen bubble visualization and droplet smoke generators) is founded on this one assumption—that St ≪ 1 renders a particle responsive to the fastest fluctuations of the flow [19–23].

In many practical situations, particles are subjected to body forces, typically gravitational or centrifugal [24]. This can be the case for rain droplets and aerosols settling through clouds, and tiny air bubbles and plankton drifting through the oceans [25,26]. The effects of gravitational settling were first brought to light through numerical studies using random and cellular flow fields [27–31]. More recently, inertial effects on settling particles were analyzed using direct numerical simulations of fully developed homogeneous isotropic turbulence [32–36]. These revealed that gravity can lead to major modifications of particle clustering, relative velocity, and pair statistics, which could be characterized as a function of St and the ratio of turbulent to gravitational acceleration: \( \lambda a/\eta \). While the particle settling velocity and clustering were addressed widely in past studies [31,34], another crucial observable of Lagrangian turbulence is the particle’s acceleration statistics (variance, correlation, and intermittency). Acceleration is important because its variance and time correlation may be linked to the energy dissipation rate, a quantity central to characterizing turbulent flows. Yet another feature unique to turbulent flows is the high level of intermittency, or deviations from Gaussian statistics. Therefore, a generic description of these quantities for rising and settling particles of arbitrary density is desirable.

In this Letter, we present the Lagrangian acceleration statistics of small air bubbles and neutrally buoyant tracer particles in a turbulent water flow where the Taylor-Reynolds number Reζ is varied in the range 130–300. At decreasing Reζ the bubble accelerations show deviations compared to tracer particles, which occur despite their very
small $St$ (0.004–0.017) and small particle size. We perform Direct Numerical Simulations (DNS) of particles in homogeneous isotropic turbulence, which reveals that the deviations arise due to the drift of these particles through the turbulent flow. We develop a generic theory that predicts these gravity-induced deviations for an arbitrary-density particle, with the ratio $St/Fr$ or equivalently the ratio of particle drift velocity to Kolmogorov velocity, as the relevant parameter controlling the deviations from ideal tracer behavior. Further, we provide insight into the modification of intermittency of particle acceleration arising due to gravity.

The experiments were performed in the Twente Water Tunnel facility, in which an active grid generated nearly homogeneous and isotropic turbulence in the measurement section [37]. The turbulent flow was characterized using the hot-film anemometry technique at different $Re_t$ (see Table 1). Small bubbles ($\approx 150 \pm 25 \mu m$) were generated by blowing pressurized air through a porous ceramic plate. The particles were imaged using a high-speed camera (Photon PCI-1024) at a recording rate of 1000 fps. The camera moved on a traverse system, and illumination was provided using a 100 W pulsed laser (Litron LDY-303HE). We placed a mirror inside the tunnel at 45° inclination with the horizontal (see Fig. 1 in the Supplemental Material [38]). The laser beam was expanded into a volume, which was reflected vertically by the mirror. This crucial experimental modification from other moving camera setups [48] allowed for long particle trajectories to be recorded. We combined the conventional Gaussian-kernel smoothening method with a smoothing-spline-based technique [49,50] to obtain the higher derivatives of position data. This approach eliminated biases due to an a priori choice of filter windows and ensured reliable estimates of the acceleration.

We first address the question of how the bubble accelerations compare to that of similar-sized neutrally buoyant particles at different $Re_t$. According to the prediction by Heisenberg and Yaglom [51], the single-component variance of acceleration should follow the relation $\langle a^2 \rangle = a_0^2 \epsilon^{3/2} \nu^{-1/2}$, where $\epsilon$ is the mean dissipation rate, and $\nu$ the kinematic viscosity. In Fig 1(a), we plot the Heisenberg-Yaglom constant $a_0$ for bubbles along with that for tracer particles. At high $Re_t$, the bubbles behave similarly to tracers, with comparable $a_0$. However, at low $Re_t$ the bubbles show deviations from tracers, with an elevated $a_0$. The horizontal acceleration shows the greatest deviation, with $a_0 \approx 6$, while for the vertical component, $a_0 \approx 4.5$ at $Re_t \approx 134$. For neutrally buoyant tracer particles, $a_0$ is lower, $\approx 2.1$ at $Re_t \approx 134$, and shows a marginal increase with $Re_t$. Thus, the horizontal component of the acceleration variance for bubbles is almost three times that of the tracer value at the lowest $Re_t$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. This is also reflected in the correlation time for bubbles [Fig. 1(c) and inset], which is shorter compared with that of tracers. These deviations are surprising, since
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**FIG. 1.** (a) Heisenberg-Yaglom constant $a_0$, estimated for bubbles and tracer particles from experiments at different $Re_t$. The dashed curve gives the $a_0$ estimate according to [52]. (b) Normalized acceleration variance and (c) correlation time of acceleration for bubbles vs $Re_t$ from experiments. $\langle a^2 \rangle$ is the tracer particle acceleration variance. $\tau_p$ and $\tau_T$ are defined as the 0.5 crossing time of correlation for bubbles and tracer particles, respectively. Inset: Normalized correlation function of acceleration $C_a(\tau)$ at the lowest $Re_t \approx 134$.

The lowest $Re_t$ corresponds also to the smallest $St$ in our experiments (see Table 1).

From Fig 1(b), we also note that the vertical component of acceleration is consistently lower as compared with the horizontal one. This anisotropy is not inherent in the carrier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Re_t$</th>
<th>134</th>
<th>153</th>
<th>188</th>
<th>263</th>
<th>301</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$St$</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_q/g$</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$St/Fr$</td>
<td>4.899</td>
<td>4.159</td>
<td>3.498</td>
<td>2.953</td>
<td>2.363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I.** Flow characteristics in the Twente Water Tunnel. $Re_t$ represents the Taylor-Reynolds number (approximate), $St$ represents the bubble Stokes number, and $a_q/g$ represents the ratio of turbulent to gravitational acceleration [34].
flow [53] and therefore suggests the role of gravity [28]. We note that with decreasing \( \text{Re}_j \), the ratio of turbulent to gravitational acceleration, \( a_u/g \), decreases in our experiments (Table 1). In order to investigate this effect in a systematic way, we perform DNS of homogeneous isotropic turbulence at \( \text{Re}_j \approx 80 \). For the particles, we use a model considering a dilute suspension of point spheres acted upon by inertial and viscous (Stokes drag) forces in the presence of gravity (see Supplemental Material [38]). We neglect lift, history, and finite-size Faxén forces, since these are verified to be negligible in the point-particle limit [15,42]. The model equation of motion for a small inertial particle advected by a fluid flow field, \( \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{X}(T), T) \), may be written as

\[
\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{3\rho_f}{\rho_f + 2\rho_p} \left( \frac{D\mathbf{U}}{DT} + \frac{12\nu}{d_p^2} \mathbf{U} - \dot{\mathbf{x}} \right) + \mathbf{g} \mathbf{e}_z,
\]

where \( \rho_f \) and \( \rho_p \) are the fluid and particle mass densities, respectively, \( d_p \) is the particle diameter, and \( \mathbf{e}_z \) is the unit vector in the direction of gravity. The particles under consideration are buoyant (0 \( \leq \Gamma < 1 \)) and have a very small Stokes number (\( \text{St} \approx 0.05 \)). We vary the gravity intensity \( g \) for these particles, in a range of values for \( a_u/g \), according to the Froude number definition in [34,36]. We first address the case of bubbles (\( \Gamma = 0 \) in Fig. 2) at various strengths of \( g \). With increasing \( g \), we recover the trends observed in our experiments; i.e., the bubble acceleration variance increases. Gravity enhances the acceleration in both vertical and horizontal directions, and this is accompanied by a decrease in correlation time (see Supplemental Material [38]).

Figure 2 clearly demonstrates the role of gravity in enhancing the particle’s acceleration variance. At the same time, we note that the degree of enhancement diminishes with growing \( \Gamma \) even at fixed \( a_u/g \). Also, the effect of gravity on \( \langle a_x^2 \rangle/\langle a_z^2 \rangle \) appears more pronounced in our simulations (see Fig. 1(b) and Table I). Thus, the Froude number, if defined as \( a_u/g \) [34,36], can only give a qualitative prediction of the gravity effect. An exact prediction for an arbitrary-density particle is missing.

For a better appreciation of the contribution of gravity to the particle dynamics, we nondimensionalize Eq. (1) in terms of the Kolmogorov length \( \eta \) and time scales \( \tau_\eta \). We obtain

\[
\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \beta \frac{D\mathbf{U}}{DT} + \frac{1}{\text{St}} (\mathbf{u} - \dot{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{1}{\text{Fr}} \mathbf{e}_z,
\]

where \( \text{St} \equiv d_p^2/(12\beta \nu \tau_\eta) \) is the Stokes number and \( \text{Fr} \equiv a_u/(\beta - 1)g \) is a buoyancy-corrected Froude number that takes the particle density, through \( \beta \equiv 3\rho_f/(\rho_f + 2\rho_p) \), into account. In this situation, two important small-Stokes limits may be considered [28,35]. At high turbulence intensities (\( \text{Fr} \rightarrow \infty \)), the third term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2) may be neglected. This leads to the well-known result \( \dot{\mathbf{x}} = D \mathbf{u} \) for particle acceleration, where \( D \mathbf{u} \) is the fluid tracer acceleration. However, for small \( \text{Fr} \), the small \( \text{St} \) limit leads to the result \( \dot{\mathbf{x}} = D \mathbf{u} + (\text{St}/\text{Fr}) \partial_t \mathbf{u} \) for particle acceleration. By employing results from homogeneous isotropic turbulence (see Supplemental Material [38]), one obtains the following relations linking the acceleration variance of particles to that of the fluid tracer:

\[
\frac{\langle a_x^2 \rangle}{\langle a_z^2 \rangle} = 1 + \frac{2}{15a_0} \left( \frac{\text{St}}{\text{Fr}} \right)^2, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{\langle a_z^2 \rangle}{\langle a_z^2 \rangle} = 1 + \frac{1}{15a_0} \left( \frac{\text{St}}{\text{Fr}} \right)^2, \tag{4}
\]

where \( a_x \) and \( a_z \) are the horizontal and vertical accelerations, respectively, for an arbitrary-density particle, \( a_t \) is the tracer particle acceleration, and \( x \) and \( z \) represent the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively.

In Fig. 3, we compare the normalized acceleration variance vs \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} \) from experiment with our theoretical predictions [Eqs. (3) and (4)]. The dashed lines show the theoretical predictions using the \( a_0 \) from the present experiments [Fig. 1(a)]. The experimental data points are in reasonable agreement with our predictions. Therefore, the apparent \( \text{Re}_j \) dependence that was seen in our water tunnel experiments (Fig. 1) is in fact a \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} \) effect, since the \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} \) increases with decreasing \( \text{Re}_j \) in our experiments (see Table I).

The present bubble-tracking experiments cover a narrow range of \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} = [2-5] \) at a fixed density ratio \( \beta = 2.99 \), while Eqs. (3) and (4) should be valid for an arbitrary density ratio. To test this, we compare the results of DNS for an extended range of density ratios \( \beta = [0,3] \) and \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} = [-10,20] \). In Fig. 4(a), the left half (\( \text{St}/\text{Fr} < 0 \)) points to heavy particles, and the right half (\( \text{St}/\text{Fr} > 0 \)), to buoyant particles. The predicted quadratic dependence on \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} \) and even the prefactors 2/\(15a_0\) and 1/\(15a_0\) for the horizontal and vertical components, respectively, are in excellent agreement with our simulations. We note that for sufficiently large Reynolds numbers, \( a_0 \) is practically constant [51], and the ratio \( \text{St}/\text{Fr} \) becomes the sole control parameter governing the enhancement of acceleration.
variance. Therefore, our results have broad applicability, to even large Re atmospheric and oceanic flows. In Fig. 4(b), we present the numerical results for the tracer-normalized correlation time in the presence of gravity. We propose a model for the correlation time based on the time a particle takes to cross an energetic eddy of the flow (see Supplemental Material [38]). The model predicts a behavior of the form $\tau_\alpha/\tau_r \approx [1/1 + k(St/Fr)]$, where $k \approx \sqrt{5/3Re}$). The numerical results are in reasonable agreement with our predictions. Small deviations are noticeable in the small St/Fr range. In this range, the fluid acceleration $D_tu$ dominates over the velocity gradient $(St/Fr)\partial_\alpha u$. This explains the slower decline than what is predicted by our model in the small St/Fr range (see Supplemental Material [38]). We also notice that the horizontal component (hollow symbols) is slightly higher that the vertical one (solid symbols) in the small St/Fr range. This is because the transverse velocity gradients $\partial_x u_t$ are longer correlated than the longitudinal velocity gradients $\partial_z u_t$ (see Supplemental Material [38]).

While the effects of gravity on acceleration variance and correlation time have been comprehensively demonstrated, its role on the intermittency of particle acceleration is not clear. Intermittency, i.e., the observed strong deviations from Gaussianity, can be characterized in terms of the flatness of acceleration $\mathcal{F}(a_p) \equiv \langle a_p^3 \rangle/\langle a_p^2 \rangle^2$. Assuming statistical independence between $D_t u_i$ and $\partial_i u_i$, we obtain the tracer-normalized flatness of the particle acceleration, $\mathcal{F}(a_p)/\mathcal{F}(a_T)$, as a decreasing function of St/Fr (see Supplemental Material [38]). At large St/Fr, we asymptotically approach the limits

$$\mathcal{F}(a_z)/\mathcal{F}(a_T) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\bar{z}) = \mathcal{F}(\partial_z u_z)/\mathcal{F}(D_z u_z),$$

$$\mathcal{F}(a_x)/\mathcal{F}(a_T) \equiv \mathcal{F}(\bar{x}) = \mathcal{F}(\partial_x u_x)/\mathcal{F}(D_x u_x).$$

It is verified that $\mathcal{F}(\partial_z u_z) < \mathcal{F}(\partial_x u_x) < \mathcal{F}(D_z u_z)$ [46]. This leads to the prediction $\mathcal{F}(a_z) < \mathcal{F}(a_x)$; i.e., vertical acceleration is less intermittent as compared with the horizontal one. In Fig. 4(c), we present the normalized flatness factor from our simulations for an extended $(\beta, St/Fr)$ range. $\mathcal{F}(a_p)$ decreases for both buoyant and heavy particles, and the curves asymptotically reach the limits suggested by Eqs. (5) and (6). The present findings showcase the first evidence of intermittency reduction even for small St particles in turbulence.
The effect becomes prominent when the drifting time of the particle past the most energetic eddies becomes shorter than the time scale of these eddies of the flow, i.e., when $St/Fr > 1$. This explains the scaling of the decorrelation time in Fig. 4(b) (see Supplemental Material [38] for details).

The same physical mechanisms could explain the decline in intermittency of particle acceleration. A drifting particle, instead of probing the accelerations of fluid elements, begins to sample the spatial gradients of the flow. For a turbulent flow, the intermittency of the spatial gradients of velocity is lower as compared to the intermittency of the fluid element acceleration $[3,54]$. Hence, the observed decline in intermittency, which asymptotically approaches the expressions given by Eqs. (5) and (6) in the limit of large $St/Fr$. The same qualitative behavior may be expected for moderate $St$ particles. However, a moderate $St$ particle responds slower to the turbulent eddies it drifts through. Hence, we expect the gravity effect to be less prominent than that for the $St ≪ 1$ particles we presented here, and this will be the focus of a future investigation.

In summary, the acceleration statistics of small Stokes number particles in turbulence is greatly modified in the presence of gravity. We report three major effects: an increase in acceleration variance, a decrease in correlation time, and a reduction of intermittency for buoyant and heavy particles. The ratio $St/Fr$ governs the extent of this modification, as confirmed by our experiments using tiny air bubbles in water. Our theoretical predictions have broad validity—to particles of arbitrary density and even at large Reynolds numbers. Thus, a tiny bubble or droplet is not necessarily a good tracer of turbulent acceleration. This can be important for bubbles and droplets that drift through the turbulent oceans ($g/a_\eta \approx 100–1000$) and clouds ($g/a_\eta \approx 10–100$) $[55]$. On the practical side, our findings point to an important consideration when choosing bubbles or droplets for flow visualization and particle tracking in turbulent flows $[19]$.
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