OPTIMAL CONTROL OF FES-INDUCED CYCLICAL LEG MOVEMENTS

Peter H. Veltink, Henry M. Franken, Wendy d'Hollosy,
Gert-Jan Burger and Herman B.K. Boom

Biomedical Engineering Department, Faculty of Electrical Engineering
University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands

ABSTRACT

An optimal control strategy for FES-induced cyclical movements is proposed. Optimal stimulation patterns are determined on the basis of a criterium, consisting of desired movement parameters and minimal stimulation burst duration, and a dynamic model of the system. Preliminary results of the identification and optimization are shown. Eventually, the optimal control will be combined with an adaptive scheme from cycle to cycle.

INTRODUCTION

Locomotion can be restored in paraplegic subjects [1,2]. However, upto now the required effort is too high and the functionality of the movement too low to be acceptable in common clinical practice. This is partly due to lacking stimulation methods and inadequate control. The objective of the research described in this paper is to develop improved control strategies.

A functional cyclical walking movement can be obtained if certain conditions are satisfied: the body should move forward, while keeping balance. Preferably, these objectives should be reached with minimal effort and minimal fatigue, so the movement can be performed as long as possible.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In this paper we consider the control of a cyclical movement of a freely swinging leg (Figure 1), which is a simplified problem compared to the control of walking. The movement is generated by stimulation of quadriceps and flexion reflex [2]. Stimulation of each channel is limited to one burst per cycle at constant recruitment level and stimulation frequency. The stimulation timing parameters for cycle i are expressed in the stimulation timing vector τ_i (dimension $n = 4$).

Objectives: The control problem is stated in terms of certain desired parameters, expressed in the parameter vector p_d (dimension m). This vector consists of parameters of the movement (e.g. hip angle range, foot clearance during forward swing, knee extension at heel strike), which ensure functionality of the movement, and parameters expressing the effort (in terms of stimulation burst durations), which should be minimized.

Criterion: The norm $\|p_d - p_i\|$, defined as the weighted absolute differences between the desired parameter values and the actual parameter values p_i in cycle i , should be minimized.

Figure 1. The considered test problem: control of cyclical movements of a leg, freely swinging in the sagittal plane. The position of the hip is fixed.

MODEL OF THE NEUROMUSCULAR-SKELETAL SYSTEM

A dynamic model of the system is needed for deriving the optimal stimulation timing pattern τ_{opt} . We constructed a model (Figure 2) on the basis of literature [3-5] and preliminary experiments (Figure 3).

PRELIMINARY IDENTIFICATION

The model parameters were partly derived from literature ([3-4], etc), and partly from preliminary identification experiments on one paraplegic subject. As an example, the estimation of the delays of the flexion withdrawal reflex from experiments is illustrated in figure 3.

OPTIMAL CONTROL ASSESSMENT

Figure 4 illustrates the simulated influence of the stimulation timing on the movement parameters (vector p). In first instance we considered the separate influences on each of the parameters. If the desired minimal hip angle value is taken to be -10 deg [5], figure 4a indicates that the flexion reflex stimulation onset should lie between 0.6 and 0.8 s after the beginning of the cycle (i.e. maximal hip angle). The shortest sufficient burst time is predicted to lie around 0.1 s. At these timing values sufficient maximal hip angle is also obtained (35 deg [5]). The other movement parameters can be evaluated in the same way.

DISCUSSION

Further identification experiments have to be performed in order to identify the model in more detail, and assess the magnitude of modeling errors in the range of operation.

The optimal open-loop control illustrated in this paper will be combined with an adaptive control strategy, which adapts the stimulation patterns from cycle to cycle on the basis of the differences between the desired and actual movement parameters in the previous cycles [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Kralj, T. Bajd, J. Kraljnik and H. Benko, "Gait Restoration in Paraplegic Patients: a Feasibility Demonstration using Multichannel Surface Electrode FES", J. Rehab. Res. Dev., Vol. 20, pp. 3-20, 1983.
- [2] E.B. Marsolaïs and R. Kobetic, "Functional Walking in Paralyzed Patients by Means of Electrical Stimulation", Clinical Orthopaedics, Vol. 175, pp. 30-36, 1983.
- [3] J.M. Winters and L. Stark, "Muscle Models: What is Gained and What is Lost by Varying Model Complexity", Biol. Cybern., Vol. 55, pp. 403-420, 1988.
- [4] G.T. Yamaguchi, "Feasibility and Conceptual Design of Functional Neuromuscular Stimulation Systems for the Restoration of Natural Gait to Paraplegics based on Dynamic Musculoskeletal Models", Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, 1989.
- [5] V.T. Inman, H.J. Ralston and F. Todd, "Human Walking", Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, 1981.
- [6] P.H. Veltink, "Control of FES-induced Cyclical Movements of the Lower Leg", Med. & Biol. Eng. & Comput., accepted.

ADDRESS

Dr.ir. Peter H. Veltink, Biomedical Engineering Department,
Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Twente,
P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, THE NETHERLANDS

Figure 2. Model of the freely swinging leg with stimulation of flexion reflex and quadriceps.
a. model of one cycle of the movement. The stimulation timing vector τ is the input, the movement parameter vector p is the output. The dynamic model consists of neural, muscle and leg dynamics (double pendulum).
b. neural dynamics (delays and first order dynamics) and muscle dynamics (three factor model with activation dynamics, angle and angular velocity dependence).

Figure 3. Illustration of one of the preliminary experimental identifications of model parameters: Assessment of the delay times D_1 and D_2 of the flexion withdrawal reflex. The paraplegic subject was lying on a bench, with her heel on a smooth surface. The reflex stimulation resulted in hip and knee flexion (stimulation amplitude = 70 mA, stimulation pulse time = 300 μ s). Estimated onset delay $D_1 = 0.2$ s; offset delay $D_2 = 0.3$ s (taking into account the dynamics of the musculo-skeletal system).

Figure 4. Simulations of minimal (Figure a) and maximal (Figure b) hip angles at varying onset timing and burst duration of the flexion withdrawal reflex stimulation. Each curve shows the minimal or maximal hip angles as a function of onset time. Between curves the burst duration T_{burst} is varied between 0.05 s to 0.25 s (in steps of 0.05 s). The values were determined after 5 simulated cycles, which resulted in most cases in almost steady state movement.