

## A Non-Archimedean Approach to Prolongation Theory

H. N. VAN ECK

Twente University of Technology, Department of Applied Mathematics, P.O. Box 217,  
7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

(Received: 25 June 1986)

**Abstract.** Some evolution equations possess infinite-dimensional prolongation Lie algebras which can be made finite-dimensional by using a bigger (non-Archimedean) field. The advantage of this is that convergence problems hardly exist in such a field. Besides that, the accompanying Lie groups can be easily constructed.

The prolongation theory of Wahlquist and Estabrook [1] can be described as follows: Find a 1-form  $\omega = A dx + B dt$ , defined on the  $(x, t)$ -space with values in a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$d\omega + \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega = \left( -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} + [A, B] \right) dx \wedge dt$$

is a linear combination of the 2-forms which describe the evolution equation in question. If  $u$  is an analytic solution of this equation, then  $A$  and  $B$  are analytic functions of  $u$  and its  $x$ -derivatives.  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called a *prolongation algebra* and is found, as a presentation, as a part of the prolongation problem.

In this formulation the prolongation variables seem to have disappeared but they turn out to be coordinates of a Lie group  $G$  which has (a completion of)  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a Lie algebra.

One can find conservation laws by using the following theorem.

**THEOREM** (th. 5 of 4.6 of [3]). *Let  $G$  be a Lie group (local or global),  $M$  an analytic manifold,  $\omega$  a 1-form on  $M$  with values in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  such that  $d\omega + \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ , then for every  $x \in M$  and  $g \in G$  there is an analytic map  $f$ , defined on an open neighbourhood of  $x$ , with values in  $G$ , such that  $f(x) = g$  and that  $f^{-1} df = \omega$ . Two such  $f$ 's coincide in the neighbourhood of  $x$ .*

**REMARK.**  $G$  and  $M$  are analytic manifolds over the same field  $K$  where  $K$  can be  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , or a complete non-Archimedean field. An example of the latter will be given below.

We shall now construct  $f$  explicitly for the Korteweg–de Vries equation

$$u_t + 12uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0,$$

the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\psi_t + \psi_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\psi}\psi^2 = 0,$$

and the Burgers equation

$$q_t + 2qq_x + q_{xx} = 0.$$

Here the following fact is used: if  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  is a basis of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $e^{\alpha_1 b_1} \dots e^{\alpha_n b_n}$  sweeps out from a neighbourhood of 1 in  $G$  when the  $\alpha_i$  progress through a neighbourhood of 0 in  $K$  [3]. Moreover, the formula for  $U$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$e^{UV} e^{-U} = e^{\text{ad } U}(V) = V + [U, V] + \frac{1}{2!} [U, [U, V]] + \dots$$

is requisite [2].

*KdV*: The solution of the prolongation problem is

$$A = -2X_1 - 2uX_2 - 3u^2X_3$$

and

$$B = 2(u_{xx} + 6u^2)X_2 + 3(8u^3 - u_x^2 + 2uu_{xx})X_3 - 8X_4 - 8uX_5 - 4u^2X_6 - 4u_xX_7$$

(see [1]) and the prolongation algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = H \times (A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[T])$ .  $H$  has a basis  $(r_{-3}, r_{-1}, r_0, r_1, r_3)$  with multiplication table  $[r_1, r_{-1}] = [r_{-3}, r_3] = -r_0$ ; the other commutators being zero.

$A_1$  has a basis  $(h, y, z)$  with commutatorable  $[h, y] = 2y$ ,  $[h, z] = -2z$ , and  $[y, z] = h$ .  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  is the ring of polynomials in the indeterminate  $T$  with complex coefficients. Every element of  $H$  commutes with any of  $A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[T]$ . Details are found in [4] and [5].

We can decompose  $X_1, \dots, X_7$ :

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= r_1 - \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}Tz, & X_2 &= r_{-1} + z, & X_3 &= r_{-3}, & X_4 &= r_3 - \frac{1}{2}Ty + \frac{1}{2}T^2z, \\ X_5 &= \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}Tz, & X_6 &= z, & X_7 &= r_0 + \frac{1}{2}h. \end{aligned}$$

We can split  $A$  and  $B$  accordingly, so we can look upon the *KdV* as having two prolongation algebras,  $H$  and  $A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[T]$ .

*KdV* with  $\mathfrak{g} = H$ :

$$A = -2r_1 - 2ur_{-1} - 3u^2r_{-3},$$

$$B = 2(u_{xx} + 6u^2)r_{-1} + 3(8u^3 - u_x^2 + 2uu_{xx})r_{-3} - 8r_3 - 4u_xr_0.$$

We can easily check that

$$-\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} + [A, B] = 0$$

if and only if  $u$  satisfies the *KdV*. Put

$$f(x, t) = e^{\alpha_3 r_{-3}} e^{\alpha_1 r_{-1}} e^{\beta_0 r_0} e^{\gamma_1 r_1} e^{\gamma_3 r_3}$$

then  $f^{-1} df = \omega$  is translated into

$$f^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = A \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = B.$$

We find

$$\alpha_{3x}(r_{-3} - \gamma_3 r_0) + \alpha_{1x}(r_{-1} + \gamma_1 r_0) + \beta_{0x} r_0 + \gamma_{1x} r_1 + \gamma_{3x} r_3 = A$$

and the same equation with  $x$  replaced by  $t$  and  $A$  by  $B$ . Sorting out yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{3x} &= -3u^2, & \alpha_{3t} &= -3u_x^2 + 24u^3 + 6uu_{xx}; & \alpha_{1x} &= -2u, & \alpha_{1t} &= 12u^2 + 2u_{xx}; \\ \gamma_{1x} &= -2, & \gamma_{1t} &= 0, & \text{so } \gamma_1 &= -2x; & \gamma_{3x} &= 0, & \gamma_{3t} &= -8, & \text{so } \gamma_3 &= -8t; \\ \beta_{0x} &= -8t\alpha_{3x} + 2x\alpha_{1x}, & \beta_{0t} &= -4u_x - 8t\alpha_{3t} + 2x\alpha_{1t}. \end{aligned}$$

We get the following conservation laws

$$\int u^2 dx \text{ from } \alpha_3, \quad \int u dx \text{ from } \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int (6tu^2 - xu) \text{ from } \beta_0.$$

The last one is the same one as described in Section 6 of [6].

*KdV with  $\mathfrak{g} = A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[T]$ :*

$$A = y - (2u + T)z \quad \text{and} \quad B = (2u_{xx} + 8u^2 - 4T^2 - 4uT)z + (4T - 4u)y - 2u_x h.$$

Again

$$-\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} + [A, B] = 0$$

if and only if  $u$  satisfies the KdV. Now  $\mathfrak{g}$  is an infinite-dimensional algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We make it finite-dimensional, not by chopping an infinite tail off it but by *enlarging* it. In fact, we enlarge the ring  $\mathbb{C}[T]$  to the *field*  $\mathbb{C}((T))$ , where the elements are formal Laurent series in  $T$ , with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}$ , having a *finite* principal part.

If  $s = \sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} a_n T^n$  with  $a_m \neq 0$  then  $\|s\| = e^{-m} \cdot \| \cdot \|$  is a norm on  $\mathbb{C}((T))$  of which the triangle inequality is sharpened to

$$\|s_1 + s_2\| \leq \sup(\|s_1\|, \|s_2\|).$$

Sometimes such a norm is called an *ultranorm*. In this norm,  $\mathbb{C}((T))$  is complete and is the promised example of a non-Archimedean complete field.  $\mathfrak{g}$  has thus become a *three-dimensional* algebra over  $\mathbb{C}((T))$  – an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . In  $\mathbb{C}((T))$  there exist the notions of ‘differentiation of a function’, ‘analytic functions’ and ‘manifolds’ [11], and ‘Lie groups’ [3].

Let  $f(x, t) = e^{\alpha h} e^{\beta y} e^{\gamma z}$  then  $f^{-1} df = \omega$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha_x \beta + \beta_x &= 1, & \alpha_x(1 + 2\beta\gamma) + \beta_x \gamma &= 0, & -2\alpha_x(\gamma + \beta\gamma^2) - \beta_x \gamma^2 + \gamma_x &= -T - 2u, \\ 2\alpha_t \beta + \beta_t &= 4T - 4u, & \alpha_t(1 + 2\beta\gamma) + \beta_t \gamma &= -2u_x \quad \text{and} \\ -2\alpha_t(\gamma + \beta\gamma^2) - \beta_t \gamma^2 + \gamma_t &= 2u_{xx} + 8u^2 - 4T^2 - 4uT. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

From the first and fourth equations, it follows that

$$(\beta e^{2\alpha})_x = e^{2\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad (\beta e^{2\alpha})_t = (4T - 4u) e^{2\alpha}, \tag{2}$$

so  $e^{2\alpha}$  can be viewed as a conservation density. We return to it below.

If we insert the first equation of (1) into the second, resp. the third, we get

$$\alpha_x = -\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_x + \gamma^2 = -T - 2u. \tag{3}$$

From the  $t$ -equations of (1) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t + (4T - 4u)\gamma &= -2u_x, \\ \gamma_t + 4u_x\gamma + (4T - 4u)\gamma^2 &= 2u_{xx} + 8u^2 - 4T^2 - 4uT. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If we eliminate  $u$  from (3) and (4) we get

$$\gamma_t + \gamma_{xxx} - 6\gamma^2\gamma_x - 6T\gamma_x = 0, \tag{5}$$

the so-called *modified KdV equation*.

We now give a few consequences of this equation.

1. Note that  $-\gamma$  satisfies (5) too. If  $u'$  is such that  $-\gamma_x + \gamma^2 = -T - 2u'$  (see second equation of (3)), then  $-\gamma$  and  $u'$  satisfy the second equation of (4). That means that we can find an  $f'$  such that  $f'^{-1} df' = \omega'$ , where  $\omega'$  is made from  $\omega$  by replacing  $u$  with  $u'$ . It follows that  $u'$  is a solution of the KdV. The idea of using  $-\gamma$  in order to find a new solution of the KdV was brought in by Martini (Twente University of Technology).

2. Replace  $T$  by  $-(1/4T'^2)$  and to things in  $\mathbb{C}((T'))$ . The equation  $\gamma_x + \gamma^2 = 1/4T'^2$  has  $\gamma = 1/2T'$  as a solution. Now put  $\gamma = (1/2T') + T'\gamma'$ , then the second equation of (3) becomes

$$T'\gamma'_x + \gamma' + T'^2\gamma'^2 = -2u. \tag{6}$$

If  $u$  is a real analytic solution of the KdV, then it is analytic in the neighbourhood  $\|x\| < 1, \|t\| < 1$  of  $\mathbb{C}((T'))^2$ . Formula (6) has an analytic solution in the same neighbourhood. Miura [6, 7] found this as follows. Assume that for  $x$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n T'^n$  with  $\gamma_n(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can solve  $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$  consecutively from (6). They are polynomials in  $u$  and its derivatives. Miura proved that the  $\gamma'$  thus found satisfies the equation derived from (5) by substituting  $(1/2T') + T'\gamma'$  for  $\gamma$ . Because  $\alpha_x = -\gamma$  (see (3)), all  $\gamma_n$  are conservation densities, so that  $\int_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \gamma'(x, t) dx$ , with the obvious meaning, is time independent. It is clear that  $\gamma'$  is analytic in the above-mentioned neighbourhood.

3. Equation (3) can be combined to  $-\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_x^2 = -T - 2u$  and multiplication by  $e^{-\alpha}$  gives, with  $\partial := \partial/\partial x$ ,

$$(\partial^2 + 2u) e^{-\alpha} = -T e^{-\alpha}. \tag{7}$$

If we combine the first equations of (3) and (4) and multiply with  $e^{-\alpha}$ , we get

$$(-e^{-\alpha})_t + (4T - 4u) \partial e^{-\alpha} + 2u_x e^{-\alpha} = 0. \tag{8}$$

With the help of (7), we can eliminate  $T$  from (8) and get

$$(e^{-\alpha})_t = (-4 \partial^3 - 6u_x - 12u \partial) e^{-\alpha}. \tag{9}$$

Set

$$\phi = e^{-\alpha}, \quad L = \partial^2 + 2u \quad \text{and} \quad P = -4 \partial^3 - 6u_x - 12u \partial$$

then (7) and (9) read as  $L\phi = -T\phi$  and  $\phi_t = P\phi$ . Differentiation gives

$$L_t\phi + L\phi_t = -T\phi_t \quad \text{or} \quad L_t\phi + LP\phi = -TP\phi = PL\phi$$

so that  $L_t\phi = [P, L]\phi$ . Indeed,  $L_t = [P, L]$  by direct computation.

From [8] it follows that the spectral data of  $L$  are time invariant in the KdV flow.

We remarked before that  $e^{2x}$  is a conservation density. Now  $e^{2\alpha} = \phi^{-2}$  so there is a link with the theorem on page 92 of [9].

4. Equation (7) has a close relationship with the Lenard sequence [10]. Applying Lemma 3.8 of this reference to (7), one gets

$$(\partial^3 + 8u \partial + 4u_x)\phi^2 = T'^{-2} \partial\phi^2.$$

If for  $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $\phi^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n T'^n$  with  $\phi_n(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}$  then

$$(\partial^3 + 8u \partial + 4u_x)\phi_n = \partial\phi_{n+2}.$$

5. The prolongation variables of [1] are coordinates of the group  $G$ . In the notation of [1] we have

$$\alpha_3 = y_6, \quad \alpha_1 = y_7, \quad \alpha = y_3, \quad \gamma = y_8 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta e^{2\alpha} = y_2.$$

A final remark about  $\gamma$ : if we factorize the operator  $\partial^2 + 2u + T$  (see [7]), we get  $\partial^2 + 2u + T = (\partial + \gamma)(\partial - \gamma)$ , which gives another point of view of the Bäcklund transformation described in consequence 1 above.

The important role of  $\gamma$  (and of  $\alpha$ ) in the prolongation of the KdV has now become clear. We shall now say something about the role of  $\beta$ . From the first equations of (1) and (3), it follows that  $\beta_x - 2\gamma\beta = 1$ .

Substitution of  $\gamma' T' + (1/2T')$  for  $\gamma$  (see consequence 2) yields

$$\left(\partial - 2\gamma' T' - \frac{1}{T'}\right)\beta = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \beta = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T'^{n+1} (\partial - 2T'\gamma')^n(1).$$

From this it follows that

$$\beta = -T' - 4uT'^3 - (24u^2 + 4u_{xxx})T'^5 - \dots.$$

We can solve the equation  $\beta_x - 2\gamma\beta = 1$  for  $\gamma$ . If we put the result in the second equation of (3) we get

$$-2\beta\beta_{xx} + \beta_x^2 - 4\beta^2(2u + T) = 1.$$

If we compare this with Equation (2.6) of [16] we find that  $\beta$  is equal to  $R(x; \xi)$ , the restriction to the diagonal  $x = y$  of the resolvent of the Schrödinger operator.

Finally,  $\beta$  can be used to build a hierarchy of generalized KdV equations. The relation  $-A_t + B_x + [A, B] = 0$  can be looked upon as an equation for  $B$  by given  $A$ . If  $A$  remains equal to  $y - (2u + T)z$  and if  $B = b_1h + b_2y + b_3z$ , we find that

$$\partial b_1 + b_3 + (2u + T)b_2 = 0, \quad \partial b_2 - 2b_1 = 0$$

and

$$u_t = \frac{1}{4}(\partial^3 + 8u \partial - T'^{-2} \partial + 4u_x)b_2.$$

The right-hand member of this equation can be made homogeneous in  $T'$  as follows. By differentiation of the second-order equation for  $\beta$ , we get

$$\beta_{xxx} + 8u\beta_x + 4u_x\beta - T'^{-2}\beta_x = 0,$$

so that  $\beta$  satisfies Lenard's equation [4]. If we use the series expression of  $\beta$ ,

$$\beta = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{2k+1} T'^{2k+1} \quad (\text{see above})$$

and the fact that  $\beta$  satisfies Lenard's equation, it is easy to see that

$$(\partial^3 + 8u \partial - T'^{-2} \partial + 4u_x) \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{2k+1} T'^{2k+1} = \partial \beta_{2n+3} T'^{2n+1}.$$

If we take  $\sum_{k=0}^n \beta_{2k+1} T'^{2k+1}$  for  $b_2$ , we get  $u_t - \frac{1}{4} \partial \beta_{2n+3} = 0$  after a rescaling of  $t$ . Here,  $n = 1$  yields the KdV. The method is inspired by Lax [8, 10].

**REMARK.** If we use the coadjointed representation of  $f, A$  and  $B$ , it becomes obvious that  $\beta$  should satisfy 'Lenard' and  $b_2$  should be an inhomogeneous version of it. The standard two-dimensional representation almost directly yields the Schrödinger operator.

*NLS:* The prolongation is described in [12] and the prolongation algebra in [5]. This algebra is  $H \times (A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[T])$ , where now  $H$  is a three-dimensional commutative Lie algebra. If one proceeds along the lines of the KdV, one finds with the prolongation algebra  $(A_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[T])$  that  $\omega = A dx + B dt$  with

$$A = \frac{1}{4}Th + \frac{1}{2}\psi y + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}z$$

and

$$B = \left(\frac{i}{2} \psi_x - \frac{i}{4} T\psi\right)y + \left(-\frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_x - \frac{i}{4} T\bar{\psi}\right)z + \left(-\frac{i}{8} T^2 + \frac{i}{4} \psi\bar{\psi}\right)h.$$

For  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ , we find the following analogues of (3) and (4)

$$\alpha_x = -\frac{1}{2}\psi\gamma + \frac{1}{4}T \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_x - \frac{1}{2}T\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\psi\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}$$

with

(3')

$$\alpha_t + \gamma \left(\frac{i}{2} \psi_x - \frac{i}{4} T\psi\right) = -\frac{i}{8} T^2 + \frac{i}{4} \psi\bar{\psi}$$

and

(4')

$$\gamma_t + \gamma^2 \left( \frac{i}{2} \psi_x - \frac{i}{4} T \psi \right) + \gamma \left( \frac{i}{4} T^2 - \frac{i}{2} \psi \bar{\psi} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_x + \frac{i}{4} T \bar{\psi} = 0.$$

Equation (3') can be solved by  $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n T^{-n}$  [so one works in  $\mathbb{C}((T^{-1}))$ ]. One gets, if  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{4}Tx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n T^{-n}$ ,

$$\alpha_{1,x} = \frac{1}{2} \psi \bar{\psi}, \quad \alpha_{2,x} = \psi \bar{\psi}_x, \quad \alpha_{3,x} = -\frac{1}{2} \psi^2 \bar{\psi}^2 + 2 \psi \bar{\psi}_{xx}, \text{ etc.}$$

We must prove now that  $\gamma$  satisfies the last equation of (4'). From the second equation of (3') (which holds true for this  $\gamma$ ), it follows that

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} T + \psi \gamma \right) \gamma_t = \gamma^2 \left( -\frac{i}{2} \psi_{xx} + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi} \psi^2 \right) - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{xx} + \frac{i}{4} \psi \bar{\psi}^2 \tag{10}$$

where use is made of the NLS and its conjugate.

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} T + \psi \gamma \right) \left( \gamma_t + \gamma^2 \left( \frac{i}{2} \psi_x - \frac{i}{4} T \psi \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma \left( \frac{i}{4} T^2 - \frac{i}{2} \psi \bar{\psi} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_x + \frac{i}{4} T \bar{\psi} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v$  be an analytic function, which is of the form  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^m v_n T^n$  for  $x$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , that satisfies

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} T + \psi \gamma \right) v = 0.$$

Then  $v_m = 0$ , so  $v = 0$ . It follows that our assertion is proved and that  $\alpha_{1,x}, \alpha_{2,x}, \alpha_{3,x}$ , etc. are indeed conservation densities. They are also to be found in [13]. Compare the proof with Section 3 of [6].

**REMARK.**  $\gamma \mapsto -\gamma$  gives a trivial Bäcklund transformation for the NLS:  $\psi \mapsto -\psi$ . On the other hand, the NLS and its algebra possess a natural  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -grading (see [5]), the degrees of  $x, t, \psi, \bar{\psi}, T$  and  $\bar{T}$  being  $(-1, 0), (-2, 0), (1, -1), (1, 1), (1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$ , respectively. The degrees of  $\gamma$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  are  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, -1)$ . Indeed:

$$\gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}} \text{ yields } \psi \mapsto \psi - \frac{(T + \bar{T})\bar{\gamma}}{\gamma\bar{\gamma} - 1}$$

giving another solution of the NLS.

*Burgers*: The prolongation 1-form is

$$\omega = A dx + B dt \text{ with } A = -x_1 - qx_2 \text{ and } B = (q_x + q^2)x_2 + qx_3 - x_4 \quad (11)$$

where  $x_3 = [x_1, x_2]$ . The prolongation algebra is presented by the free Lie algebra  $L(x_1, x_2, x_4)$  and the relations

$$[x_1, x_2] + [x_2, [x_1, x_2]] = 0, \quad [x_1, [x_1, x_2]] - [x_2, x_4] = 0 \text{ and } [x_1, x_4] = 0.$$

See [14].

This presentation problem was solved by Gragert and Martini (both from Twente). The algebra consists of an infinite sequence of letters

$$(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \text{ with } [x_i, x_j] = 0 \text{ if } i, j \geq 5, \quad [x_3, x_n] = 0 \text{ if } n \geq 5,$$

$$[x_1, x_3] = x_5, \quad [x_1, x_n] = x_{n+1} \text{ if } n \geq 5, \quad [x_n, x_2] = x_n \text{ if } n = 3,$$

or

$$n \geq 5, \quad [x_3, x_4] = x_6 \text{ and } [x_n, x_4] = x_{n+2} \text{ if } n \geq 5.$$

The proof of this is straightforward if one uses the fact that the algebra possesses a  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading with  $\deg(x_1) = 1$ ,  $\deg(x_2) = 0$  and  $\deg(x_4) = 2$ . An isomorphic algebra is described in [15]. This algebra is not very tractable but this can be remedied by enlarging it.

Pick two letters  $a$  and  $b$ , give them degree 0, and let

$$[a, b] = b, \quad [a, x_2] = b \text{ and } [b, x_2] = b.$$

Set

$$x_1 = a \otimes T, \quad x_4 = -a \otimes T^2, \quad x_3 = b \otimes T \text{ and } x_n = b \otimes T^{n-3}$$

for  $n \geq 5$ , where  $T$  is an indeterminate. The algebra is now completely described. The intended enlargement is then the three-dimensional algebra  $(a, b, x_2)$  over  $\mathbb{C}((T))$ . If we put  $c = x_2 + a - b$  then  $[a, b] = b$  and  $[a, c] = [b, c] = 0$ . So we have two prolongation algebras, commuting with each other, namely  $(a, b)$  and  $(c)$  both over  $\mathbb{C}((T))$ .

*'Burgers' with  $\mathfrak{g} = (c)$*

Equation (11) can be split up according to the decomposition of the prolongation algebra. For  $(c)$  we find

$$A = -qc \text{ and } B = (q_x + q^2)c.$$

This is described in [14].

*'Burgers' with  $\mathfrak{g} = (a, b)$* : We find

$$A = (-T + q)a - qb \text{ and } B = (T^2 - q_x - q^2)a + (Tq + q_x + q^2)b.$$

Put  $f = e^{\alpha a} e^{\beta b}$  and we find

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_x &= -T + q \text{ and } \alpha_t = T^2 - q_x - q^2, \\ \beta_x + \beta\alpha_x &= -q \text{ and } \beta_t + \beta\alpha_t = Tq + q_x + q^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$



Put  $\alpha' = \alpha + Tx - T^2t$  then we get

$$\alpha'_x = q \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_t = -q_x - q^2 \quad (13)$$

and we have the case with (c) again.

If  $Q = e^{\alpha'}$  then  $Q_t + Q_{xx} = 0$  (Cole–Hopf), this follows immediately from (13).

## References

1. Wahlquist, H. D. and Estabrook, F. B., 'Prolongation Structures of Nonlinear Evolution Equations I', *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 1–7 (1975).
2. Bourbaki, N., *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap. II: 'Algèbres de Lie libres', Hermann, Paris, 1972.
3. *Ibid.*, Chap. III: 'Groupes de Lie'.
4. Van Eck, H. N., 'The Explicit Form of the Lie Algebra of Wahlquist and Estabrook. A Presentation Problem', *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch.*, Series A **86**, 149–164 (1983).
5. Van Eck, H. N., Gragert, P. K. H., and Martini, R., 'The Explicit Structure of the Nonlinear Schrödinger Prolongation Algebra', *Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch.*, Series A **86**, 165–172 (1983).
6. Miura, R. M., Gardner, C. S., and Kruskal, M. D., 'Korteweg–de Vries Equations and Generalizations, II. Existence of Conservation Laws and Constants of Motion', *J. Math. Phys.* **9**, 1204–1209 (1968).
7. Miura, R. M., 'Korteweg–de Vries Equation and Generalizations, I. A Remarkable Explicit Nonlinear Transformation', *J. Math. Phys.* **9**, 1202–1204 (1968).
8. Lax, P. D., 'Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations of Evolution', *Actes, Congrès Intern. Math.*: 1970, Vol. 2, pp. 831–840.
9. Lax, P. D., 'Periodic Solutions of the KdV Equations', in A. C. Newell (ed.), *Nonlinear Wave Motion*, AMS Proc. 1974, Lect. in Appl. Math., Vol. 15, pp. 85–96.
10. Lax, P. D., 'Almost Periodic Solutions of the KdV Equation', *SIAM Rev.* **18**, 351–375 (1976).
11. Bourbaki, N., *Variétés différentielles et analytiques*, Hermann, Paris, 1971.
12. Estabrook, F. B. and Wahlquist, H. D., 'Prolongation Structures of Nonlinear Evolution Equations II', *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 1293–1297 (1976).
13. Kumei, S., 'Group Theoretic Aspects of Conservation Laws of Nonlinear Dispersive Waves: KdV Type Equations and Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations', *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 256–264 (1977).
14. Kaup, D. J., 'The Estabrook–Wahlquist Method with Examples of Application', *Physica D* **1D**, 391–411 (1980).
15. Krasilshchik, I. S. and Vinogradov, A. M.: 'Nonlocal symmetries and the Theory of Coverings: An Addendum to A. M. Vinogradov's "Local Symmetries and Conservation Laws"', *Acta Appl. Math.* **2**, 79–96 (1984).
16. Gelfand, I. M. and Dikii, L. A., 'Asymptotic Behaviour of the Resolvent of Sturm–Liouville Equations and the Algebra of the Korteweg–de Vries Equations', *London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 60*, Cambridge University Press, 1981, pp. 13–49.