Investigations on Vinylene Carbonate. V. Immobilization of Alkaline Phosphatase onto LDPE Films Cografterd with Vinylene Carbonate and *N*-Vinyl-*N*-methylacetamide

GUOHUA CHEN,* LEEN VAN DER DOES,[†] and ADRIAAN BANTJES

Department of Chemical Technology, Biomaterials Section, University of Twente,
P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands

SYNOPSIS

Low-density polyethylene (LDPE) films cografterd with vinylene carbonate (VCA) and *N*-vinyl-*N*-methylacetamide (VIMA) were studied as a matrix for the immobilization of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase (ALP) either by direct fixation or by inserting spacers. When water-soluble alkyldiamines such as diaminoethylene, diaminobutane, diethylenetriamine, and diaminoethane were used as spacers between the matrix and the enzyme, the surface concentration (SC) of the active ALP coupled on the matrix was increased, whereas the effect of the spacer on the SC was dependent on the length of the spacer. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was preimmobilized onto the LDPE films to provide a better simulation of the biological environment for the enzyme, and the SC of ALP on the matrix was significantly increased by coupling ALP onto the BSA preimmobilized surfaces. Compared to native ALP, some physicochemical properties of ALP could be improved by the covalent immobilization. © 1993 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

A large number of natural and synthetic polymers have been used as water-insoluble supports for the attachment of biological compounds, particularly enzymes,¹⁻³ and several methods have been developed for the immobilization. Coupling of enzymes by ion binding or by physical adsorption can easily be carried out, but the enzymes immobilized by these methods tend to be released gradually from the supports.^{1,2} Therefore, the method based on covalent binding of enzymes onto matrices seems to be the most important because leakage of the enzyme can be avoided to a great extent.⁴⁻⁸

To be suitable for covalent immobilization of enzymes, in general, the supports should display the following properties: a hydrophilic nature, an ap-

propriate concentration of chemically active groups (or groups suitable for activation), a high chemical and mechanical stability, resistance to biodegradation, and, last but not least, a nontoxic behavior.³ Additionally, the covalent binding of enzymes onto water-insoluble supports must be carried out under mild conditions to avoid inactivation of the enzymes.^{1-3,9}

The application of graft copolymers as supports for the immobilization of biomolecules has been reported by several authors.¹⁰⁻¹⁷ Graft copolymers could offer several advantages as supports, since they can be prepared with varying hydrophilic and mechanical properties and, in addition, it will be possible to influence the microenvironment for the biomolecules on the support.

Cyclic carbonate (CCA) groups are known to react with amino groups in proteins under mild conditions, resulting in stable urethane structures.¹⁸ Cellulose derivatives^{6,18,19} in which CCA groups had been introduced by reaction with ethyl chloroformate²⁰ and copolymers of vinylene carbonate (VCA)²¹⁻²³ have been reported as matrices for immobilization of enzymes, antigens, etc.

* Present address: Department of Bioengineering, FL-20, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195.

[†] To whom correspondence should be addressed.

In a previous paper,²⁴ we reported the cografting of VCA and *N*-vinyl-*N*-methylacetamide (VIMA) onto low-density polyethylene (LDPE) films. Grafting had resulted in an increase of the hydrophilicity of the films, whereas due to a positive synergistic effect of VIMA, a reasonable amount of VCA groups had been grafted.

Because reports from the literature indicate that, using CCA groups, biologically active compounds can be immobilized under mild conditions without activation, the applicability of the graft copolymers for the immobilization of enzymes was studied.

Although these matrices might be used to bind different sorts of biomolecules or ligands, a selection was made. This paper deals with the immobilization of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase (ALP) onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films. To study the effect of a spacer²⁵⁻²⁷ on the immobilization of ALP, water-soluble alkyldiamines with various chain lengths were used. Attempts were made to increase the surface concentration of active ALP fixed on the support by coupling ALP onto bovine serum albumin (BSA)-preimmobilized surfaces to provide a better simulation of the biological environment for the enzyme.^{28,29} In addition, the dependence of the activity of the immobilized ALP under several conditions was studied.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

N-Vinyl-*N*-methylacetamide (VIMA, Janssen Chimica, Beerse, Belgium) was distilled under reduced pressure before use; vinylene carbonate (VCA) was prepared as described in Ref. 30. Low-density polyethylene film (LDPE, 0.05 mm thick) was a product of Talas (Ommen, The Netherlands). Alkaline phosphatase (ALP, E.C.3.1.3.1 from Bovine Intestinal Mucosa, Type 1-S), bovine serum albumin (BSA), and *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate disodium salt (*p*NPP) were products from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). All other reagents used were of analytical grade.

Characteristics of VCA-VIMA Grafted LDPE Films

LDPE films grafted with mixtures of VCA and VIMA were prepared according to the procedure described in Ref. 24. As a matrix for the coupling of enzymes, films with a rather hydrophilic surface and a sufficient amount of functionally reactive groups are required. The films used in this study for the coupling process had a total grafting yield of 13.2%

(w/w), a VCA/VIMA molar ratio in the graft copolymer of 23/77, and a receding contact angle of 56°. Films were cut into 2.2 cm-diameter discs for the coupling experiments, and before the reaction, the discs were preswelled in 0.05 *M* Tris-HCl buffer at room temperature for 24 h.

FTIR Measurements

IR measurements of the grafted polyethylene films as well as of films after reactions with alkyldiamines were carried out using a Bio-Rad FTS-60 FTIR spectrophotometer. The operating parameters were the following: resolution 2 cm⁻¹, scanning number 64, and absorbance measurements. Attenuated total reflectance (ATR) spectra for the surface analysis were recorded using a KRS-5 optical prism internal reflection element with a face cut of 45°.

Contact-angle Measurements

Surfaces of grafted films and of films after modifications with alkyldiamines or with BSA were also characterized by contact-angle measurements (receding) using the captive bubble method.³¹ The films were cut into small pieces (at least six), fixed on a plastic matrix, and immersed in double-distilled water for 24 h before the measurements.

Immobilization of ALP

ALP (NH₂—⊕) was covalently immobilized onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films (GVV) in several steps, by direct coupling [Scheme 1(a)], or by indirect coupling with different spacers [Scheme 1(b), Scheme 2(a)–(d)].

A typical immobilization experiment without a spacer was carried out as follows: The grafted film (2.2 cm diameter) was placed in 3 mL ALP solution (2.0 mg/mL ALP) in 0.05 *M* Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8 and shaken at 4°C for 16 h [Scheme 1(a)]. The film was then washed several times with the same buffer to remove unreacted ALP and stored in the buffer at 4°C. The residual CCA groups were blocked with ethanolamine (EA).⁹

The immobilization of ALP onto the grafted films using spacers with alkyl chains was performed via two steps [Scheme 1(b)]: i.e., a reaction of the alkyldiamines (DA) with the CCA groups on the grafted films followed by a glutaraldehyde (GA) treatment.^{4,32} In this study, the water-soluble alkyldiamines such as diaminoethylene (DAE), diaminobutane (DAB), diethylenetriamine (DETA), and diaminohexane (DAH) were used to act as a spacer

Scheme 1 Coupling of ALP (NH_2-E) directly or through alkyl spacers onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films.

for coupling ALP to the support. The reactions of the alkyldiamines with the grafted films were carried out by shaking the films (2.2 cm diameter) in 10 mL aqueous diamine solution (10% w/v) at room temperature for 2 h. The reacted films were washed with a large amount of distilled water to free the unreacted diamines. The products were analyzed by FTIR to determine if all the CCA groups on the surface of the films had reacted. The free amino groups reacted in a second reaction with GA, which was done by immersing the modified films in 10 mL of 5% (v/v) aqueous GA solution. After 30 min shaking at room temperature, the films were removed and washed several times with distilled water to eliminate unreacted GA (FTIR measurements illustrated that the reaction proceeded completely and no free amino groups could be found on the surface). The immobilization of ALP on the GA-activated films was carried out as in the case of direct coupling. After reaction, the films were treated for 3 min at 0°C with 0.1M NaBH_4 to stabilize the linkage of the enzyme to the support and to reduce the unreacted carbonyl groups of the bifunctional agent GA.⁴

In some experiments, before coupling of ALP, BSA was preimmobilized to create a better biological environment for the enzyme [Scheme 2(a)-(d)].^{28,29} The grafted films or the films after activation with GA were placed in 5 mL BSA solution (3.0 mg/mL) in 0.05M Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8 and shaken at room temperature for 24 h. The unreacted CCA groups or aldehyde group were blocked with EA⁹ or reduced with NaBH_4 , respectively. The films were then refluxed for 30 min to denature the coupled BSA.²⁸ Immobilization of ALP on the albuminated films was carried out as shown in Scheme 1(b).

Activity Assays on ALP

The activity of the native and the immobilized ALP was determined spectrophotometrically (UVIKON 930 spectrophotometer) using *p*NPP as the substrate (*p*NPP concentration, 0.45 mM) in 0.1M glycine buffer at pH 9.40 with 1 mM MgCl_2 and ZnCl_2 , at 25°C according to Bessey et al.³³ and Con-

Scheme 2 Coupling of ALP ($\text{NH}_2\text{—E}$) onto BSA preimmobilized VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films.

lon and Walt.³⁴ The activity of ALP was calculated as follows³⁴:

$$\text{Activity (unit/mg or cm}^2\text{)} = \frac{V}{\epsilon \cdot l \cdot s} \times \Delta E \quad (1)$$

where V is the final volume of the assay solution; ϵ , the molar extinction coefficient [$\epsilon_{405\text{nm}} = 18.5 \text{ (cm}^2/\mu\text{mol)}$ for p -nitrophenol³⁴]; l , the cuvette length (1 cm); s , the sample size of the enzyme used for

the assay—mg for the native ALP and cm² for the films with immobilized ALP; and ΔE , the increment of the absorbance at 405 nm per minute. The surface concentration of active enzyme bound onto the films was calculated as

Active ALP immobilized (ng/cm² film)

$$= \frac{\text{Activity/cm}^2 \text{ film}}{\text{Activity/ng native ALP}} \quad (2)$$

Effect of pH on Activity of Native and Immobilized ALP

The activity of native and immobilized ALP at different pH values (ranging from 8.5 to 10.6) was measured at a temperature of 25°C with a pNPP concentration of 0.45 mM in 0.1M glycine buffer with 1 mM MgCl₂ and ZnCl₂.

Thermal Stability of Native and Immobilized ALP

Native ALP and films with immobilized ALP were incubated in 0.05M glycine buffer, pH 9, at 37°C for different times. Samples were taken, kept at 25°C for 5–10 min, and the activity determined as described earlier. The first-order inactivation rate constant k_i was evaluated by the equation

$$\ln A = \ln A_0 - k_i t \quad (3)$$

where A_0 is the initial activity and A is the activity after heat treatment for t min.³⁵

Determination of Michaelis Constants

The Michaelis–Menten constant K_m of native and immobilized ALP was evaluated using pNPP solutions (ranging from 1.25 to 45 × 10^{−2} mM) in 0.1M glycine buffer at pH 9.4, with 1 mM MgCl₂ and ZnCl₂ at 25°C on the basis of the Lineweaver–Burk plot:

$$\frac{1}{\nu (\Delta[S])} = \frac{K_m}{V_{\max}} \cdot \frac{1}{[S]} + \frac{1}{V_{\max}} \quad (4)$$

where ν is the reaction rate, i.e., the change of the concentration of the substrate with time (ΔS); $[S]$, the concentration of the substrate; $V_{\max}$, the maximum reaction rate; and K_m , the Michaelis–Menten constant.

Reusability of Immobilized ALP

The activity of immobilized ALP was determined initially. The film was washed thoroughly with 0.05M glycine buffer at pH 9 and was used for the next activity measurement. The process was repeated 11 times and the activity was determined in each case.

Storage Stability of Immobilized ALP

The immobilized ALP was stored in 0.05M Tris–HCl buffer, pH 8, at 4°C, and the activity was determined as a function of time.

Table I Results of Immobilization of ALP onto VCA–VIMA-grafted LDPE Films^a

Sample ^b	[E] (mg/mL)	pH	Reaction Time (h)	Active Enzyme Immobilized ^c (ng/cm ² film)
GVV-E 1	1.0	8.0	16	2.0 ± 0.1
GVV-E 2	2.0	8.0	16	2.3 ± 0.1
GVV-E 3	3.0	8.0	16	2.5 ± 0.1
GVV-E 4	4.0	8.0	16	2.8 ± 0.2
GVV-E 5	5.0	8.0	16	3.1 ± 0.2
GVV-E 6	3.0	8.0	4	1.8 ± 0.1
GVV-E 7	3.0	8.0	8	2.2 ± 0.1
GVV-E 8	3.0	8.0	24	2.9 ± 0.2
GVV-E 9	3.0	7.0	16	1.9 ± 0.1
GVV-E 10	3.0	7.5	16	2.1 ± 0.1
GVV-E 11	3.0	8.5	16	1.9 ± 0.1
GVV-E 12	3.0	9.0	16	1.6 ± 0.1

^a Immobilization was performed at 4°C, with 3 mL ALP solution in 0.05M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.0–8.5) and glycine buffer (pH 9).

^b GV stands for the grafted LDPE films with VCA and VIMA; E stands for the enzyme (ALP).

^c Calculated based on eq. (2); the activity was measured in 0.1M glycine buffer with 1 mM MgCl₂ and ZnCl₂, pH 9.4, at 25°C; the activity of native ALP was 4.85 U/mg.

Figure 1 FTIR spectra of (a) a VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE film; (b) the film after reaction with DAH.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Direct Coupling of ALP onto VCA-VIMA Grafted LDPE Films

Covalent coupling of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) directly onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films was carried out by reaction of the cyclic carbonate groups in the graft copolymers with the amino groups in the enzyme, as shown in Scheme 1(a). The results obtained under various conditions are indicated in Table I. It can be seen that coupling of ALP had occurred onto the grafted LDPE films. The relatively low surface concentration of active ALP bound onto the films found for the direct coupling as shown in Table I might be due to the hydrophobic carbonate groups on the hydrophobic support (LDPE film), which would reduce the accessibility of the enzyme

to the matrix and also cause a distortion of the enzyme as has been suggested by Abdel-Hay et al.³⁶ for the immobilization of enzymes onto acrylic acid-grafted polyethylene matrices. Because of the small amounts of enzyme bound onto the films, the weight percentage of ALP coupled to the support could not be determined. However, this lack did not preclude a study on the effect of coupling conditions on the surface concentration of the active enzyme bound onto the support.

Table I shows that the surface concentration of the bound active ALP rose with increasing ALP concentration and with increasing reaction time. A similar effect was also reported by Hayashi and Ikada for the immobilization of lipoprotein lipase (LPL) onto polyacrolein (PAA) microspheres²⁵ and by Emi et al. for the immobilization of papain and chymotrypsin onto copoly(ethylene/acrylic acid)

Table II Results of immobilization of ALP onto VCA–VIMA-grafted LDPE films with Alkyl Chains as Spacers^a

Sample	Spacer	Atoms (n)	Contact Angle ^b (°)	Active Enzymes Immobilized ^c (ng/cm ² film)
GVV-E	No	0	56 ± 3	2.5
GVV-DAE-E	Diaminoethylene	2	43 ± 3	3.1
GVV-DAB-E	Diaminobutane	4	44 ± 2	4.5
GVV-DETA-E	Diethylenetriamine	5	42 ± 3	5.4
GVV-DAH-E	Diaminohexane	6	44 ± 3	8.1

^a Immobilization conditions: enzyme solution 3.0 mL in 0.05M Tris–HCl buffer, pH 8; enzyme concentration 3.0 mg/mL; temperature 4°C; reaction time 16 h.

^b Contact angle of films after reaction with alkyldiamines (except sample GVV-E) but before GA activation for coupling with ALP.

^c As indicated in Table I.

fiber.²⁶ They found that the surface concentration of enzymes coupled on the matrices increased with increasing reaction time and increasing enzyme concentration, whereas the relative activity of the immobilized enzymes increased with the surface concentration of enzymes coupled onto the matrices. These results were explained in terms of structure deformation,^{25,26} i.e., the structure of the enzyme molecule immobilized by covalent fixation should undergo strong deformation, especially in the lower surface concentration region, being apt to decrease the relative activity with decreasing surface concentration.²⁶

In addition, as shown in Table I, the pH of the reaction medium affected the immobilization of ALP onto the LDPE films; the best result was obtained

at pH 8. (In the immobilization of ALP onto hydrogel beads of PVCA, a similar effect of the pH was found, although the optimal pH value was different³⁷.) Zemanova et al. investigated the coupling reaction of various proteins onto series of epoxide-containing matrices as a function of pH of the reaction mixture and found that the optimal pH for coupling was considerably affected by both the nature of the coupled protein and the nature of the solid matrix.⁸

Effect of Spacers on the Immobilization of ALP onto VCA–VIMA-grafted LDPE Films

It has been reported that the interposing of spacer arms, especially hydrophilic spacers,³⁸ between the

Table III Immobilization of ALP onto VCA–VIMA-grafted LDPE Films with DAH as a Spacer^a

Sample	[E] (mg/mL)	pH	Reaction Time (h)	Active Enzyme Immobilized ^b (ng/cm ² film)
GVV-DAH-E 1	1.0	8.0	16	8.3
GVV-DAH-E 2	2.0	8.0	16	8.6
GVV-DAH-E 3	3.0	8.0	16	8.1
GVV-DAH-E 4	4.0	8.0	16	6.4
GVV-DAH-E 5	5.0	8.0	16	5.0
GVV-DAH-E 6	3.0	8.0	4	6.1
GVV-DAH-E 7	3.0	8.0	8	7.0
GVV-DAH-E 8	3.0	8.0	24	7.6
GVV-DAH-E 9	3.0	7.0	16	7.0
GVV-DAH-E 10	3.0	7.5	16	8.3
GVV-DAH-E 11	3.0	8.5	16	6.1
GVV-DAH-E 12	3.0	9.0	16	5.0

^a Immobilization conditions: see Table I.

^b As indicated in Table I.

Table IV Results of Immobilization of ALP onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE Films through a BSA Spacer^a

Expt.	Sample ^b	Treatment	Contact Angle ^c (°)	Active Enzymes Immobilized ^d (ng/cm ² film)	$K_m \cdot 10^2$ ^e (mmol/L)
1	GVV-DAH-E	DAH	44 ± 3	8.1	3.3
2	GVV-A-E	BSA	39 ± 3	8.0	18.4
3	GVV-DAH-A-E	DAH + BSA	35 ± 4	9.2	6.1
4	GVV-A-DAH-E	BSA + DAH	35 ± 3	19.4	9.6
5	GVV-DAH-A-DAH-E	DAH + BSA + DAH	32 ± 4	14.9	6.7

^a Immobilization conditions: see Table II.^b GVV stands for the grafted LDPE films with VCA and VIMA; A, for albumin; DAH, for diaminohexane, and E, for enzyme (ALP).^c Contact angles of films treated as mentioned in the table but before GA activation for coupling with ALP.^d As indicated in Table I.^e The K_m value for native ALP was 0.059 mmol/L, and for immobilized ALP without a spacer (GVV-E, Table II), 0.091 mmol/L.

support and the enzyme could reduce the steric interference and the distortion on the enzyme on binding, leading to a low loss of activity.²⁵⁻²⁸ In an attempt to bind more active ALP onto the support, immobilization of ALP was investigated by inserting various alkyl spacers. Films were reacted with an excess of alkyldiamines to introduce free amino groups onto the surface, and after activation with GA, ALP was immobilized as shown in Scheme 1(b).

The films before and after reaction with the alkyldiamines were characterized by FTIR measurements. Figure 1 shows a spectrum of a VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE film (a) and a spectrum of the film after reaction with diaminohexane (DAH) (b). After reaction with DAH, the absorbance of the cyclic carbonate groups at 1800 cm⁻¹ was diminished and the absorbance of carbamate groups at ca. 1750 cm⁻¹ and of primary amino groups at 1180 cm⁻¹ appeared. However, the absorbance of the cyclic carbonate groups at 1800 cm⁻¹ was not found by ATR-FTIR measurement, which probably indicates that the reaction of the carbonate groups on the surface was almost complete, whereas the absorbance of the carbonate groups as shown in Figure 1(b) originates from carbonate groups in the bulk of the film. VCA is a rather hydrophobic unit and its presence in the graft copolymers will reduce the hydrophilicity; however, after reaction with compounds containing amino groups, especially water-soluble ones such as the alkyldiamines used in this study, the rather hydrophilic β -hydroxyvinyl-*N*-alkylcarbamate groups³⁹ will be formed, leading to a more hydrophilic surface on the grafted films.

The results of the immobilization of ALP onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films using alkyl chains as spacers are given in Table II. They show that by

insertion of "spacer arms" between support and enzyme the surface concentration of active ALP coupled onto the films indeed increases and becomes higher with increasing spacer length. This effect might be due to a reduction of steric hindrance for the enzyme to approach the support during the binding process by the spacer,²⁵⁻²⁸ as well as to an improvement of the surface hydrophilicity of the films as indicated by the decrease of the contact angle, which would render the support more compatible with the enzyme³⁸ and make the microenvironment around the enzymes more "comfortable."⁴⁰ The extent of coupling as well as the enzyme activity will depend on the hydrophilicity of the support and the accessibility of the enzyme.³⁸ From Table II it can be seen that with a nearly constant contact angle the activity increased with increasing spacer length, indicating the marked influence of the spacer.

Because the increase of the surface concentration of immobilized active ALP was most pronounced by using DAH as a spacer, coupling with this spacer was studied in more detail (Table III). The effect of the ALP concentration on the surface concentration of active ALP coupled onto the support was found to be contrary to the influence by direct fixation (Table I). This might be due to the higher mobility of the GA coupling sites on the immobilized spacer toward ALP molecules as well as the improvement of the hydrophilicity (contact angle 44°). However, with increasing enzyme concentration, the probability for a multiple coupling of the enzyme onto the support could also be raised, leading to a decrease of the relative activity for the coupled enzyme.^{17,41} In addition, a longer coupling time might lead to an increase of the surface concentration of active ALP coupled onto the support, but the results

Figure 2 Effect of pH of the reaction medium on the activity of the immobilized ALP (25°C).

show that the coupling time had only a small effect. The pH for a maximal coupling was found at pH 7.5, slightly different from the value for direct fixation.

Coupling to Bovine Serum Albuminated Surface

Coupling of BSA onto the VCA-VIMA grafted LDPE films, followed by immobilization of ALP,

Figure 3 Lineweaver-Burk plot for native ALP.

resulted in a surface concentration of active enzyme bound onto the films as observed when using a spacer instead of BSA (Table IV, Expts. 1 and 2). In addition, about the same increase of the hydrophilicity was found and the results therefore indicate that immobilization of BSA or of a spacer influenced the immobilization of ALP in a similar way. It is also clear from Table IV that insertion of a spacer between the support and BSA resulted only in a slight increase of the activity (Expt. 3). However, separation of BSA and ALP using a spacer markedly increased the enzymatic activity of the films (Expt. 4), whereas about the same effect was found using another spacer (Expt. 5).

Although from the results a specific effect of BSA cannot be concluded, coupling of ALP with BSA seems to be negatively influenced by steric interaction, because when using a spacer a considerable increase of the enzymatic activity was observed. An increase of active enzyme bound onto the support by insertion of BSA as well as of spacers between the support and the enzyme was also observed by Da Silva et al. for the immobilization of glucose oxidase onto nylon membranes.²⁸

Properties of the Immobilized ALP

The characteristics of the immobilized enzyme by direct fixation or through spacers on the grafted LDPE films were studied to obtain information on changes of enzymatic properties caused by the immobilization. The pH profiles, the Michaelis-Menten constants, thermal stability, reusability, and storage stability of the films with immobilized ALP were determined.

pH Profiles

The effect of the pH of the reaction medium on the activity of the immobilized ALP were investigated and the results are shown in Figure 2. The optimal pH value for the native and the immobilized ALP appears to be the same (≈ 9.4), with different spacers inserted between the enzyme and the films. Values for the optimal pH that were about the same for the immobilized enzyme and the native enzyme were also found when ALP was immobilized onto other polymeric supports.^{3,4}

Kinetic Constants

Typical Lineweaver-Burk plots for the determination of the Michaelis-Menten constants for the native and the immobilized ALP are shown in Figure 3 and 4, respectively, and the apparent K_m values for the immobilized ALP are given in Table IV. The native ALP showed a K_m value of 0.059 mmol/L (Fig. 3). For immobilized ALP, in most cases, a higher K_m value was found. The apparent K_m value of the immobilized ALP without a spacer (GVV-E, 0.091 mmol/L) was higher than the K_m of the immobilized ALP with spacer (GVV-DAH-E, 0.033 mmol/L) and also than the K_m of native ALP, which may be due to diffusion limitation of the substrate to the matrix.^{1,4,28} The higher K_m for the immobilized ALP onto the albuminated supports (Expts. 2–5 in Table IV) than the K_m when using a spacer (DAH) might be attributed to the negative charge of BSA at pH 9.4, leading to a repulsion between the negatively charged substrate (pNPP) and the immobilized enzyme,¹ as was found for immobilized ALP onto PVCA beads blocked with glycine.³⁷

Figure 4 Lineweaver-Burk plot for immobilized ALP (sample GVV-A-DAH-E).

Thermal Stability

The thermal stability of native ALP and of ALP immobilized through a spacer to the albuminated surface (sample GVV-A-DAH-E) was measured by incubation in 0.05 M glycine buffer, pH 9 at 37°C. From the curves shown in Figure 5 for the thermoinactivation of native and immobilized ALP, the following rate constants could be calculated: $k_i = 1.54 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (min}^{-1}\text{)}$ for native ALP and $k_i = 0.85 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (min}^{-1}\text{)}$ for the immobilized ALP. It is clear that the immobilized ALP manifests an increase in thermal stability when compared to that of the native one, possibly due to the covalent binding of ALP onto the support, leading to a reduction in mobility.⁴²

Reusability

Reusability of the immobilized ALP (sample GVV-A-DAH-E) was also investigated, and the activity of the immobilized ALP after repeated use is shown in Figure 6. After having used it nine times, the residual activity of the immobilized ALP was about 80%, which indicates that the immobilized alkaline phosphatase is relatively stable for repeated use without severe loss of activity.

Storage Stability

The immobilized ALP (sample GVV-A-DAH-E) was stored at 4°C in 0.05 M Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8 for 1 month and the residual activity was found to be about 65%.

Figure 5 Activity change of ALP (●) and of immobilized ALP (○) by heat treatment at 37°C and pH 9. (sample GVV-A-DAH-E).

Figure 6 Effect of repeated use (N) on the activity of immobilized ALP (sample GVV-A-DAH-E).

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of this study of the immobilization of alkaline phosphatase onto VCA-VIMA-grafted LDPE films, we can conclude that the films might be used as a matrix for the coupling of enzymes. Coupling to "spacer arms" or to a bovine serum albuminated layer increases the amount of active ALP bound onto the film because of a more "comfortable" microenvironment for the enzyme. The highest surface concentration of active ALP coupled onto the films was achieved when inserting a spacer (diaminohexane, DAH) between the albuminated surface and the enzyme before coupling. Compared to native ALP, some physicochemical properties of ALP could be improved by covalent immobilization.

REFERENCES

1. I. Chibata, *Immobilized Enzymes, Research and Development*, Halsted, New York, 1978.
2. K. Takemoto, Y. Inaki, and R. M. Ottenbrite, *Functional Monomers and Polymers*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1987.
3. O. Zaborsky, *Immobilized Enzymes*, CRC Press, Cleveland, OH, 1973.
4. L. Grasset, D. Cordier, R. Couturier, and A. Ville, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **25**, 1423 (1983).
5. L. D'Angiuro, P. Cremonesi, R. Cantafi, G. Mazzola, and G. Vecchio, *Angew. Makromol. Chem.*, **91**, 161 (1980).
6. S. Dumitriu, V. Bulacovschi, L. Baston, and Cr. Simionescu, *Acta Polym.*, **35**, 536 (1984).

7. W. Brummer, N. Hennrich, M. Klockow, H. Lang, and H. D. Orth, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **25**, 129 (1972).
8. I. Zemanova, J. Turkova, M. Capka, L. A. Nakhapetyan, F. Svec, and J. Kalal, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, **3**, 229 (1981).
9. A. Rosevear, J. F. Kennedy, and J. M. S. Cabral, in *Immobilized Enzymes and Cells*, IOP Pub. Ltd., Adam Hilger, Bristol and Philadelphia, 1987.
10. A. S. Hoffman, G. Schmer, C. Harris, and W. G. Kraft, *Trans. Am. Soc. Artif. Intern. Organs*, **18**, 10 (1972).
11. M. J. Liddy, J. L. Garnett, and R. S. Kenyon, *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Symp.*, **49**, 109 (1975).
12. D. Muller-Schulte and F. A. Horter, *Polym. Bull.*, **7**, 395 (1982).
13. F. I. Abdel-Hay, C. G. Beddows, and J. T. Guthrie, *Makromol. Chem.*, **182**, 717 (1981).
14. C. G. Beddows, M. H. Gil, and J. T. Guthrie, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **28**, 51 (1986).
15. K. Raghunath, K. P. Rao, and K. T. Joseph, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **26**, 104 (1984).
16. A. S. Hoffman, W. G. Gombotz, S. Uenoyama, L. C. Dong, and G. Schmer, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, **27**, 265 (1986).
17. G. H. Hsiue, C. C. Wang, C. Y. Chen, and C. J. Chang, *Angew. Makromol. Chem.*, **179**, 149 (1990).
18. S. A. Barker, S. H. Doss, C. J. Gray, J. F. Kennedy, M. Stacey, and T. H. Yeo, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **20**, 1 (1971).
19. N. W. H. Cheethan and G. N. Richards, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **30**, 99 (1973).
20. S. A. Barker, H. C. Tun, S. H. Doss, C. J. Gray, and J. F. Kennedy, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **17**, 471 (1971).
21. H. Huemer and R. Schmidtberger, Ger. Offen. 2,552,510; CA87: 97805 (1977).
22. O. Mauz and K. Sauber, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, **434**, 251 (1984).
23. O. Mauz, K. Sauber, and S. Noetzel, Ger. Offen, DE 3,243,591; CA101: 172321 (1982).
24. G. H. Chen, L. van der Does, and A. Bantjes, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, to appear.
25. T. Hayashi and Y. Ikada, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **36**, 593 (1990).
26. S. Emi, Y. Murase, T. Hayashi, and A. Nakajima, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **41**, 2753 (1990).
27. M. A. Da Silva and M. H. Gil, *Analytical Uses of Immobilized Biological Compounds for Detection, Medical and Industrial Uses*, NATO ASI Series, C. Guibault and M. Mascini, Eds., Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988, p. 177.
28. M. A. Da Silva, M. H. Gil, J. S. Redinha, A. M. O. Brett, and J. L. C. Pereira, *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem.*, **29**, 275 (1991).
29. A. Safran, D. Kiaei, and A. S. Hoffman, *Biotechnol. Prog.*, **7**, 173 (1991).
30. J. X. Huang, G. H. Chen, E. J. Tijsma, L. van der Does, and A. Bantjes, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, **8**, 197 (1990).
31. R. N. King, J. D. Andrade, and S. M. Ma, *J. Coll. Interf. Sci.*, **103**, 62 (1985).
32. G. H. Hsiue, Z. S. Chou, N. Yu, and K. P. Hsiung, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **34**, 319 (1987).
33. O. A. Bessey, O. H. Lowry, and M. J. Brock, *J. Biol. Chem.*, **164**, 321 (1946).
34. H. D. Conlon and D. R. Walt, *J. Chem. Ed.*, **63**, 368 (1986).
35. R. G. Degtyar and M. F. Gulyi, *Biokhim. Zh.*, **53**, 42 (1981).
36. F. I. Abdel-Hay, C. G. Beddows, M. H. Gil, and J. T. Guthrie, *J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed.*, **21**, 2463 (1983).
37. G. H. Chen, L. van der Does, and A. Bantjes, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, submitted.
38. V. G. Jayakumari and V. N. R. Pillai, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **42**, 583 (1991).
39. V. D. Nemirovsky and S. S. Skorokhodov, *J. Polym. Sci. Part C*, **16**, 1471 (1967).
40. H. Kitano, M. Hasegawa, T. Kaku, and N. Ise, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, **39**, 241 (1990).
41. S. Dumitriu, M. Popa, V. Artenie, and F. Dan, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **34**, 283 (1989).
42. R. Ulbrich, A. Schellenberger, and W. Damerau, *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, **28**, 511 (1986).

Received October 18, 1991

Accepted February 21, 1992