Manuscript Number: jbmt31220R1

Title: Complete Regression of Breast Tumour with a Single Dose of Docetaxel-entrapped Core-Cross-linked Polymeric Micelles

Article Type: FLA Original Research

Section/Category: Biomaterials for the Delivery of Drugs, Genes, Vaccines and Active Biomolecules (BDGV)

Keywords: Polymeric micelles; Docetaxel; Breast cancer; Tumour targeting; Tumor stroma

Corresponding Author: Dr. J. Prakash,

Corresponding Author's Institution: MIRA, University of Twente

First Author: Qizhi Hu, MSc

Order of Authors: Qizhi Hu, MSc; Cristianne Rijcken; Ruchi Bansal; Wim E Hennink; Gert Storm; J. Prakash

Abstract: Treatment with chemotherapy such as docetaxel (DTX) is associated with significant toxicity and tumour recurrence. In this study, we developed DTX-entrapped core-cross-linked polymeric micelles (DTX-CCL-PMs, 66 nm size) by covalently conjugating DTX to CCL-PMs via a hydrolysable ester bond. The covalent conjugation allowed for sustained release of DTX under physiological conditions in vitro. In vivo, DTX-CCL-PMs demonstrated superior therapeutic efficacy in mice bearing MDA-MB-231 tumour xenografts as compared to the marketed formulation of DTX (Taxotere®). Strikingly, a single intravenous injection of DTX-CCL-PMs enabled complete regression of both small (~150 mm³) and established (~550 mm³) tumours, leading to 100% survival of the animals. These remarkable antitumour effects of DTX-CCL-PMs are attributed to its enhanced tumour accumulation and anti-stromal activity. Furthermore, DTX-CCL-PMs exhibited superior tolerability in healthy rats as compared to Taxotere. These preclinical data strongly support clinical translation of this novel nanomedicinal product for the treatment of cancer.

AUTHOR DECLARATION

We the undersigned declare that this manuscript is original, has not been published before and is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We confirm that we have given due consideration to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing we confirm that we have followed the regulations of our institutions concerning intellectual property.

We further confirm that any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript that has involved either experimental animals or human patients has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies and that such approvals are acknowledged within the manuscript.

We understand that the Corresponding Author is the sole contact for the Editorial process (including Editorial Manager and direct communications with the office). He/she is

responsible for communicating with the other authors about progress, submissions of revisions and final approval of proofs. We confirm that we have provided a current, correct email address which is accessible by the Corresponding Author and which has been configured to accept email from biomaterials@online.be.

Signed by all authors as follows:

Qizhi Hu

11 Dec 2014

Cristianne J. Rijcken

11 Dec 2014.

Ruchi Bansal

11-12-14

Wim E. Hennink

(WE Hennink)

Gert Storm

17-12-2014

Jai Prakash

11-12-2014

MIRA

BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY
AND TECHNICAL MEDICINE

FROM

Jai Prakash, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor

Department of Biomaterials, Science and
Technology, Section: Targeted Therapeutics

University of Twente

The Netherlands

T +31 (0) 534893096

J.Prakash@utwente.nl

DATE

17 Feb. 15

PAGE

1 of 1

SUBJECT

Re-submission of manuscript

Dear Prof. Kam W. Leong,

Herewith I submit the revised version of the manuscript (Ref. No. jbmt31220) entitled "Complete Regression of Breast Tumour with a Single Dose of Docetaxel-entrapped Core-Cross-linked Polymeric Micelles".

We appreciate the positive comments from the reviewers. The reviewer#3 has raised a very minor comment on the drug release property of our polymeric micelles. The reviewer found it interesting that in our study 75% of docetaxel was released within 4 days, whereas only <20% physically loaded paclitaxel was released from another CCL-PM system (Yang et al, 2011). We checked the details of the study published by Yang and co-workers and found two major differences in these studies. The first difference is in the block polymer compositions as they used PEG-PAC-PCL/Gal-PEG-PCL and we have used mPEG-b-pHPMAMLacn polymer. The second difference is that we have chemically conjugated docetaxel to the polymer while they have only entrapped paclitaxel physically or non-conjugated. In our view, these systems are quite different in terms of their polymer composition, entrapment method and also the drugs, which make them difficult to compare for their drug release properties.

In the revised version, we have improved the quality of figures and checked for other requirements. We hope that the revised version is acceptable for publication in this journal.

Sincerely,

Jai Prakash, Ph.D.

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE
Enschede
The Netherlands
www.utwente.nl

Title Page

Title

Complete Regression of Breast Tumour with a Single Dose of Docetaxel-entrapped Core-Cross-linked Polymeric Micelles

Authors

Qizhi Hu ^{a, b}, Cristianne J. Rijcken ^b, Ruchi Bansal ^a, Wim E. Hennink ^c, Gert Storm ^{a, c}, Jai Prakash ^{a, d, *}

Affiliations

^a Department of Biomaterials Science and Technology, Targeted Therapeutics, MIRA Institute for Biomedical Technology and Technical Medicine, University of Twente, Enschede, 7500AE, The Netherlands

^b Cristal Therapeutics, Oxfordlaan 55, Maastricht, 6229EV, The Netherlands

^c Department of Pharmaceutics, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 3584CG, The Netherlands

^d Cancer Centre Karolinska, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, SE-171 76, Sweden

*Corresponding author. Department of Biomaterials Science and Technology, Targeted Therapeutics, MIRA Institute for Biomedical Technology and Technical Medicine, University of Twente, Drienerlolaan 5, Enschede, 7500AE, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 534893096; fax: +31 534893096.

E-mail: j.prakash@utwente.nl (J. Prakash)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Abstract

Treatment with chemotherapy such as docetaxel (DTX) is associated with significant toxicity and tumour recurrence. In this study, we developed DTX-entrapped core-cross-linked polymeric micelles (DTX-CCL-PMs, 66 nm size) by covalently conjugating DTX to CCL-PMs via a hydrolysable ester bond. The covalent conjugation allowed for sustained release of DTX under physiological conditions *in vitro*. *In vivo*, DTX-CCL-PMs demonstrated superior therapeutic efficacy in mice bearing MDA-MB-231 tumour xenografts as compared to the marketed formulation of DTX (Taxotere®). Strikingly, a single intravenous injection of DTX-CCL-PMs enabled complete regression of both small (~150 mm³) and established (~550 mm³) tumours, leading to 100% survival of the animals. These remarkable antitumour effects of DTX-CCL-PMs are attributed to its enhanced tumour accumulation and anti-stromal activity. Furthermore, DTX-CCL-PMs exhibited superior tolerability in healthy rats as compared to Taxotere. These preclinical data strongly support clinical translation of this novel nanomedicinal product for the treatment of cancer.

Keywords

Polymeric micelles, Docetaxel, Breast cancer, Tumour targeting, Antitumour efficacy

1. Introduction

Despite many marketed anticancer agents, treatment of solid tumours still represents a major medical challenge. Conventional chemotherapeutics suffer from a narrow therapeutic index as a result of poor pharmacokinetic and tissue distribution profiles. Besides that, biological barriers at the tumour site such as abnormal blood supply, abundant tumour stroma and high intratumoural pressure limit intratumoural drug penetration, leading to suboptimal therapeutic drug levels [1, 2]. To improve the therapeutic index of chemotherapeutics, nanoparticulate systems offer a set of tools to achieve enhanced intratumoural drug accumulation, sustained intratumoural drug release and reduced side effects [3-5].

Compared to normal tissues, tumour tissues generally have hyperpermeable vasculature and poor lymphatic drainage, which allow extravasation and greater retention of nanoscale medicines in tumours, the phenomenon known as the Enhanced Permeability and Retention (EPR) effect [6]. By exploiting the EPR effect, nanoparticles can preferentially localize in tumours and enhance local drug concentration [7-9]. A few passively targeted anticancer nanomedicines such as Doxil® (liposomal doxorubicin) and DaunoXome® (liposomal daunorubicin) are already in the market [10] and others, such as polymeric micelles (e.g. NK105 for paclitaxel delivery) and polymer conjugates (e.g. Opaxio™ for paclitaxel delivery), are in advanced clinical trials [11-13]. Although the currently marketed nanomedicines have shown benefits in subsiding the side effects, a gain at the level of antitumour activity has only marginally been achieved [12, 14-16]. Also in preclinical studies with nanomedicines, complete regression of solid tumours has hardly been reported. The latter shortcoming is likely attributed to a poor EPR effect and /or insufficient drug release from the extravasated nanoparticles, leading to sub-therapeutic drug levels. Moreover, the delivery of anticancer agents can also be significantly limited by the physical barrier of stroma in tumour tissues [17]. Tumour stroma (including cancer-associated fibroblasts, immune cells and extracellular

matrix) is the supporting tissue adjacent to tumour cells, which plays a pivotal role in tumour growth and progression [18]. Elimination of activated stroma has been considered as a potential approach to anti-cancer therapy [17, 18]. Altogether, the development of a nanomedicine with efficient tumour accumulation, sufficient intratumoural drug release and anti-stromal activities is very likely mandatory for achieving optimal antitumour activity.

Docetaxel (DTX), a potent anti-mitotic chemotherapeutic agent, acts by binding to microtubules and thereby interfering with cell division. DTX is approved for the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer, gastric cancer, hormone-refractory prostate cancer and non-small cell lung cancer [19-21]. In spite of its wide clinical use, serious side effects are often observed in patients such as acute hypersensitivity reactions, cumulative fluid retention, neurotoxicity, febrile neutropenia, myalgia, nasolacrimal duct stenosis and asthenia [22, 23]. Several nanosized vehicles have been developed in recent years to improve the therapeutic index of DTX, including polymeric nanoparticles (NPs) [24], drug-polymer conjugates [25], polymeric micelles [26], lipid-based nanocarriers [27] and inorganic NPs [28]. Many of these nanoparticulate systems demonstrated superior antitumour activity compared to the marketed formulation in preclinical models, yet complete tumour regression was rarely reported and most of them were not (fully) evaluated for their tolerability profiles.

Core-crosslinked polymeric micelles (CCL-PMs) have shown prolonged circulation kinetics upon intravenous (i.v.) administration and enhanced tumour accumulation in various tumour models [29-31]. In the present study, we developed CCL-PMs composed of poly(ethylene glycol)-*b*-poly[N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide-lactate] (mPEG-*b*-p(HPMAm-Lac_n)) copolymers to deliver DTX to tumours after i.v. administration. To assure sufficient drug release from the extravasated CCL-PMs, we conjugated DTX covalently to CCL-PMs via a hydrolysable ester linker to allow controlled drug release [32]. In the present study, the antitumour effect of DTX-CCL-PMs and Taxotere was compared after multi-dose or a single-

dose i.v. administration at various doses to tumour-bearing mice. Furthermore, to obtain the safety profile of DTX-CCL-PMs for future clinical translation, the pharmacokinetics (PK) and tolerability profile of DTX-CCL-PMs were examined in healthy rats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Docetaxel (DTX) was obtained from Phyton Biotech GmbH (Ahrensburg, Germany). N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), 4-methoxyphenol, methacrylic anhydride, ammonium acetate, formic acid, Mukaiyama's reagent (2-chloro-1-methylpyridinium iodide), oxone, potassium persulfate (KPS), lactic acid, tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). Dichloromethane (DCM), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and acetonitrile (ACN) were purchased from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). Absolute ethanol and triethylamine were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The initiator (mPEG₅₀₀₀)₂-ABCPA was synthesized as described previously [33]. 2-(2-(Methacryloyloxy)ethylthio)acetic acid (linker) was synthesized as described previously [32]. Taxotere® was purchased from Sanofi-Aventis (Berlin, Germany). The other chemicals were used as received.

2.2. Preparation of docetaxel-entrapped core-cross-linked polymeric micelles

First, DTX-derivative (DTXL) was synthesized in a two-step procedure, as shown in **Fig. 1A**. The detailed synthesis, purification and analysis of DTXL are described in supplementary methods. A block copolymer containing monomethoxy poly(ethylene glycol) (mPEG, M_n=5000) as hydrophilic block and a random copolymer of N-2-hydroxypropyl

methacrylamide monolactate (HPMAmLac₁) and N-2-hydroxypropyl methacrylamide dilactate (HPMAmLac₂) as thermosensitive block was synthesized as described previously [29, 34]. Docetaxel-entrapped core-cross-linked polymeric micelles (DTX-CCL-PMs) were prepared essentially using the fast heating method [35]. In brief, an ice-cold aqueous solution of methacrylated mPEG-*b*-pHPMAmLac_n block copolymer (830 μ L, 24 mg/mL) was mixed with TEMED (25 μ L, 120 mg/mL) dissolved in ammonium acetate buffer (150 mM, pH 5). Subsequently, DTXL (100 μ L, 20 mg/mL DTX equivalents, dissolved in ethanol) was added, followed by rapid heating to 60 °C while stirring vigorously for 1 minute to form polymeric micelles. The micellar dispersion was then transferred into a vial containing KPS (45 μ L, 30 mg/mL) dissolved in ammonium acetate buffer (150 mM, pH 5). The polymeric micelles were covalently stabilized by crosslinking the methacrylate moieties in DTXL and block polymer in a N₂ atmosphere for 1 hour at RT, to obtain DTX-CCL-PMs. The final feed concentrations of block copolymer and DTXL (DTX equivalents) were 20 and 2 mg/mL, respectively. Next, the DTX-CCL-PMs dispersion was filtered through a 0.2 μ m cellulose membrane filter to remove potential aggregates. DTX-CCL-PMs dispersions were purified and concentrated for 10 times using a KrosFlo Research *IIi* Tangential Flow Filtration (TFF) System equipped with modified polyethersulfone (mPES) MicroKros® filter modules (MWCO 500 kDa). Ammonium acetate buffer (20 mM, pH 5) containing 130 mM NaCl was used as the washing buffer for TFF and referred to as “vehicle” in the following sections.

2.3. Characterization of DTX-CCL-PMs by DLS, TEM and UPLC

The size of DTX-CCL-PMs was measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern ALV/CGS-3 Goniometer. DLS results are given as a z-average particle size diameter (Z_{ave}) and a polydispersity index (PDI).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of DTX-CCL-PMs was conducted using a Philips Tecnai 12 microscope equipped with a Biotwin lens and a LaB6 filament, operated at 120 kV acceleration voltage. Glow discharged grids (copper 200 mesh grid with a carbon-coated thin polymer film, Formvar on top) were used for sample preparation and 2% uranyl acetate (w/v) was used as a negative stain. Images were captured with a SIS Megaview II CCD camera and processed with AnalySIS software.

The contents of free DTX, free DTXL, total DTX and polymer in DTX-CCL-PMs dispersions were determined by ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) as described in supplementary methods. The drug entrapment efficiency (EE) and drug loading (DL) were calculated using the UPLC data as follows:

$$EE = \frac{\text{Amount of drug entrapped} \times 100\%}{\text{Amount of drug added}}$$

$$DL = \frac{\text{Amount of drug entrapped} \times 100\%}{\text{Amount of polymer} + \text{Amount of drug entrapped}}$$

The amount of drug entrapped was calculated as: amount of drug entrapped = amount of total DTX content – amount of free DTX – amount of free DTXL (DTX equivalents).

2.4. *In vitro* docetaxel release from docetaxel-entrapped core-crosslinked polymeric micelles

The *in vitro* release of DTX from DTX-CCL-PMs was measured in phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4) containing 15 mM NaCl, whole rat blood and whole human blood at 37°C,

respectively. DTX-CCL-PMs were incubated at 37°C in different matrices and the samples were collected at different time points and analysed for released DTX content using UPLC. In brief, DTX-CCL-PMs were diluted in phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.4) containing 15 mM NaCl and 1% polysorbate 80 (v/v). The concentration of released DTX was determined by injecting 7 µL of the mixture into a UPLC system (Waters, USA) equipped with an ultraviolet/visible light detector (TUV, Waters). An Acquity HSS T3 1.8 µm column (50 × 2.1 mm) (Waters) was used with a gradient from 100% eluent A (70% H₂O/30% ACN/0.1% formic acid) to 100% B (10% H₂O/90% ACN/0.1% formic acid) in 11 minutes with a flow of 0.7 mL/min and UV-detection at 227 nm. DTX standards dissolved in ACN were used to prepare a calibration curve (linear between 0.5 and 110 µg/mL). In the case of whole blood, rat or human whole blood was first incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes. Next, blood (85 µL) was spiked with DTX-CCL-PMs (15 µL) and incubated at 37°C for various lengths of time. After incubation, water (100 µL) was added to the mixture, followed by ACN (600 µL). The reaction mixture was vortexed for 30 seconds and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 5 minutes at 20°C. Thereafter, the supernatant (500 µL) was added to water (100 µL) and 7 µL of the resulting mixture was injected into the UPLC system. An Acquity HSS T3 1.8 µm column (50 × 2.1 mm) (Waters) was used with an isocratic run of 3.5 minutes (mobile phase: 55% H₂O/45% ACN/0.1% formic acid) with a flow of 0.7 mL/min and UV-detection at 227 nm. Only DTX and 7-epi-DTX (the major degradation product of DTX [36, 37]) were taken into account for the calculation of the percentage release of DTX, so not the other degradation products of DTX [38]:

% Release of DTX =

$$\frac{\text{Amount of DTX} + \text{Amount of 7-epi-DTX}}{\text{Amount of total DTX}} \times 100\%$$

2.5. Efficacy studies in MDA-MB-231 xenografts

All animal experiments were approved by the local ethical committee. All animals were housed in a temperature-controlled room ($21\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$), with $55\pm 15\%$ relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 12/12 h. Female NCr nu/nu mice (8-12 week old, Charles River) were used to induce MDA-MB-231 breast tumour model. Tap-water and pelleted rodent food (SM R/M-Z from SSNIFF® Spezialdiäten GmbH, Germany) were provided to the animals. To induce tumours, 5×10^6 MDA-MB-231 human breast tumour cells were subcutaneously implanted in the mammary fat pad of the mice. When tumours attained $\sim 150\text{ mm}^3$ size (small, early stage) or $\sim 550\text{ mm}^3$ size (established, late-stage), mice received either a single i.v. injection or multiple injections (weekly i.v. bolus injections for 3 weeks) of either Taxotere, DTX-CCL-PMs or vehicle in the tail vein. The details of the doses, injections and duration of the experiment are specified in the figure (captions). Tumour volume was analysed by caliper measurement biweekly. Animals were monitored individually. The measurement was terminated when a tumour volume of 1500 mm^3 was attained. In the case of animals exiting the study prematurely, the tumour volume data were carried forward until the endpoint (i.e. when $\leq 50\%$ of the animals remained in the study), which was the point when data plotting stopped. % Survival was calculated using a cut-off tumour volume of 1500 mm^3 as a surrogate for mortality.

2.6. Effect of the DTX-CCL-PMs on the tumour stromal proteins

In the MDA-MB-231 tumour model, when the mean tumour volume reached $\sim 150\text{ mm}^3$, a single i.v. injection of Taxotere (30 mg DTX/kg), DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg DTX/kg) or vehicle was administered. Tumours were isolated from mice 4 days after the injections. The collected tumours were frozen at -80°C till analysis for biomarkers for tumour stroma using Western Blot analyses, as described in the supplementary methods.

2.7. Tumour accumulation of DTX-CCL-PMs in MDA-MB-231 xenografts

In the MDA-MB-231 tumour model, when the mean tumour volume reached $\sim 150 \text{ mm}^3$, mice received a single dose i.v. bolus injection of Taxotere (30 mg/kg) or DTX-CCL-PMs (30 mg/kg). Tumours were collected on day 2 or day 4 post-administration and homogenized to determine total and released DTX contents using HPLC-MS/MS (see supplementary methods).

2.8. Pharmacokinetics and tolerability studies in rats

Charles River Crl:CD (SD) rats were used for the *in vivo* studies. For pharmacokinetic studies, rats were randomly divided into groups of six (three female and three male). A single i.v. bolus injection of DTX-CCL-PMs was given into the tail vein of rats at escalating doses of 1.5, 7.5 or 24 mg/kg. Blood samples ($\sim 200 \mu\text{L}$) were collected at different time points in EDTA vials and meanwhile systemic tolerance was observed. The content of total DTX in rat whole blood was determined using HPLC-MS/MS (see supplementary methods).

Pharmacokinetic evaluation of blood data was performed using WinNonlin, version 6.3 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA).

For tolerability studies, acute toxicity and 5-day repeated dose toxicity studies were performed in healthy rats. For the acute toxicity study, a single i.v. bolus injection of DTX-CCL-PMs was administered at a dose of 7.5 mg/kg or 24 mg/kg and clinical observations were recorded systemically for 2 weeks. In addition, the change of body weight and food consumption were also monitored. For 5-day repeated dose toxicity study, an i.v. injection of DTX-CCL-PMs (9.7 mg/kg/day) or Taxotere (6.7 mg/kg/day) was administered into the tail vein of rats daily for five consecutive days. Animals were observed for any signs of behavioural changes, reaction to treatment and illness before and after each dosing. On test day 6, the animals were sacrificed, dissected and inspected microscopically. The size and

weight of these organs, as well as any abnormalities in the appearance of these organs were recorded. In addition, clinical haematological parameters and serum biochemistry parameters in these animals were analysed.

3. Results

3.1. Synthesis and purification of DTX-CCL-PMs

DTX-CCM-PMs were prepared in three main steps: (i) derivatization of DTX; (ii) synthesis of methacrylated block copolymer and (iii) preparation of DTX-CCL-PMs. The methacrylated DTX derivative (DTXL) was synthesized in a two-step reaction (**Fig. 1A**). First, DTX was esterified at its C-2' hydroxyl group with a methacrylated linker containing a sulfide ester. Next, the sulfide bond was oxidized to a sulfone to obtain DTXL. The synthesized DTXL was purified by column chromatography and obtained as a white solid with high purity (> 95%). The identity and purity of the compound were confirmed by ¹H NMR, LCMS-UV and UPLC-UV (**Fig. S1**). Methacrylated block copolymer composed of a hydrophilic mPEG block and a random block of pHPMAm-Lac₁/Lac₂ was prepared via radical polymerization (75% yield) and its characteristics were in good agreement with previous data [30] (**Table S1**). DTXL was covalently linked to CCL-PMs upon polymerization of the methacrylate moieties in DTXL as well as in the polymer lactate side chain to obtain DTX-CCL-PMs as an opalescent dispersion. By means of tangential flow filtration (TFF), DTX-CCL-PMs were purified and concentrated to 20 mg DTX equiv. per mL. The mean particle size and polydispersity index (PDI) of DTX-CCL-PMs as determined by dynamic light scattering were 66 nm and < 0.1, respectively (**Table S2**), which are typical for CCL-PMs prepared from this type of block copolymer [32]. A transmission electron microscope (TEM) image showed spherical morphology and confirmed the homogenous size

1 distribution of DTX-CCL-PMs (**Fig. 1C**). DTX entrapment efficiency and loading were ca.
2 75% (w/w) and ca. 12% (w/w) respectively.
3
4

5 3.2. *In vitro* DTX release from DTX-CCL-PMs 6 7

8
9 Hydrolysis of the ester bond linking DTX to the CCL-PMs allows native DTX to be released
10 under physiological conditions (pH 7.4, 37°C) following first-order kinetics (**Fig. 1D**). Due to
11 the degradation of DTX itself [36], the *in vitro* drug release did not reach 100% (**Fig. S2**). In
12 addition to PBS (pH 7.4), the drug release profile of DTX-CCL-PMs was also evaluated in
13 fresh rat and human blood, in which similar drug release kinetics was observed (**Fig. 1D**).
14 Accordingly, DTX release kinetics is likely solely dependent on chemical hydrolysis of the
15 ester linkage and is not influenced by e.g. enzymes present in biological fluids, enabling a
16 predictable drug release profile *in vivo*.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 3.3. Dose-dependent effect of DTX-CCL-PMs on breast tumour growth 30 31

32 To establish the therapeutic efficacy, the MDA-MB-231 human tumour xenograft model was
33 used as an established *in vivo* model for breast cancer [39].
34
35
36
37

38 *Multiple dose study:* The therapeutic efficacies of Taxotere and DTX-CCL-PMs were first
39 assessed in MDA-MB-231 xenografts following three weekly i.v. injections in nude mice at a
40 dose of 30 mg DTX/kg (referred as 30 mg/kg later), i.e. the maximum tolerated dose (MTD)
41 of Taxotere in nude mice upon weekly i.v. administrations [40]. As shown in **Fig. S3A**, both
42 Taxotere and DTX-CCL-PMs inhibited tumour growth effectively. However, Taxotere
43 induced a significant ($P<0.05$) loss in body weight as compared to vehicle group while
44 treatment with DTX-CCL-PMs did not induce any body weight loss (**Fig. S3B**).
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 *Single dose studies:* Since the multiple dose study with DTX-CCL-PMs demonstrated high
57 therapeutic efficacy and good tolerability in terms of body weight loss, studies with different
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 but single doses were carried out to find the best therapeutic outcome. When a tumour size of
2 150-200 mm³ was attained, mice were treated with a single i.v. injection of equivalent dose of
3
4 DTX (30 or 60 mg/kg) in Taxotere or DTX-CCL-PMs. As shown in **Fig. 2A**, at the dose of 30
5
6 mg/kg, both Taxotere and DTX-CCL-PMs exhibited comparable antitumour activity till day
7
8 51 post-administration. After that, animals from the Taxotere-treated group had to be
9
10 sacrificed due to the attainment of humane end point (i.e. larger tumour volumes than allowed)
11
12 in $\geq 50\%$ animals. On the other hand, at a dose of 60 mg/kg, DTX-CCL-PMs significantly
13
14 inhibited the tumour growth as opposed to Taxotere which did not show additional benefit
15
16 compared to the 30 mg/kg dose, reaching the endpoint at day 54 (**Fig. 2A**). Remarkably, by
17
18 virtue of the substantial tumour regression, 100% survival was achieved with a single dose of
19
20 DTX-CCL-PMs (60 mg/kg) over the 79-day period of study (**Fig. 2B**). Regarding tolerability,
21
22 a single i.v. injection of DTX-CCL-PMs did not result in body weight loss at both doses. In
23
24 contrast, significant body weight loss was observed at day 5 and 9 with Taxotere treatments
25
26 (P<0.05), indicating acute toxicity of Taxotere at these doses (**Fig. S4**). Yet, there was no
27
28 benefit with Taxotere in inhibition of tumour growth after an increase of the dose from 30 to
29
30 60 mg/kg.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 3.4. A single dose of DTX-CCL-PMs suppresses tumours completely

41

42 Although a single dose of DTX-CCL-PMs at 60 mg/kg markedly inhibited tumour growth,
43
44 mice were not completely cured. As this dose was well tolerated, we examined the antitumour
45
46 activity of DTX-CCL-PMs at a higher dose of 125 mg/kg. For Taxotere, a dose of 125 mg/kg
47
48 was not approved by the local experimental animal committee given its known single dose
49
50 MTD (i.e. 98 mg/kg) [41]. Considering the lack of benefit in antitumour effects and yet acute
51
52 toxicity with Taxotere after doubling the dose to 60 mg/kg, a single dose of 30 mg/kg was
53
54 selected for assessing comparative efficacy. Interestingly, we found that a single dose of
55
56 DTX-CCL-PMs at 125 mg/kg completely abolished tumour growth in mice bearing tumours
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

of 150-200 mm³ size with a 100% tumour-free survival after the 62-day period of study (**Fig. 3A and 3B**).

One essential point in preclinical evaluation is that tumours are generally treated at early stage (i.e. small tumours that may not represent the clinical situation), which may overestimate the potency of a new anti-cancer therapy. Taking this aspect into account, we also assessed DTX-CCL-PMs in mice bearing established tumours of approximately 550 mm³ size. As shown in **Fig. 3C and Fig. 3D**, Taxotere exhibited only a moderate antitumour effect in both early and advanced tumour models. Remarkably, a single dose of DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg/kg) induced complete regression of established tumours leading to 100% tumour-free survival of these mice for 62 days (**Fig. 3C and 3D**). Moreover, no significant loss in body weight was observed in both treatment groups (**Fig. S5**).

3.5. Intratumoural effect of DTX-CCL-PMs

To investigate the intratumoural mechanisms for the tumour growth inhibition, we set up an experiment to study the intratumoural effect of the DTX-CCL-PMs within short duration of 4 days. A single dose of Taxotere (30 mg/kg) or DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg/kg) was injected intravenously into mice bearing tumours of approximately 150-200 mm³ size. After 4 days, tumours were isolated and analysed for biomarkers for tumour stroma with Western Blot analyses. The data revealed that treatment with DTX-CCL-PMs significantly reduced tumour stroma markers, as shown by the reduction in the protein expression of NG2 (a pericyte marker), α -SMA (a pericyte and cancer-associated fibroblast marker) and collagen-1 (a major extracellular matrix protein) (**Fig. 4**). In addition, there was also a clear reduction in β -tubulin expression (a marker for microtubules) after the treatment with DTX-CCL-PMs. In contrast, treatment with Taxotere showed no significant effects on any of these markers. These data

1 suggest that the antitumour effects of DTX-CCL-PMs are not only caused by the direct
2 inhibitory effects on tumour cells but also by anti-stromal effects.
3
4

5 3.6. Tumour accumulation of DTX-CCL-PMs in mice 6 7

8 To characterize the tumour distribution of DTX-CCL-PMs in mice, the intratumoural levels
9 of released DTX and total DTX (released plus entrapped) in tumour-bearing mice were
10 measured at 2 and 4 days after a single i.v. administration of DTX-CCL-PMs or Taxotere at
11 equivalent dose of 30 mg/kg. As illustrated in **Fig. 5A**, a single i.v. administration of DTX-
12 CCL-PMs (30 mg/kg) provided a 20-fold (2 days, $P<0.01$) and 59-fold (4 days, $P<0.001$)
13 higher total DTX level as compared to Taxotere (30 mg/kg). In addition to the significantly
14 enhanced total DTX levels, 2-fold (2 days) and 4-fold (4 days, $P<0.05$) higher released DTX
15 levels were found in mice treated with DTX-CCL-PMs. Having expressed as the percentage
16 of injected dose (%ID) in tumour, DTX-CCL-PMs rendered 5-fold ($P<0.05$) higher released
17 DTX levels and 77-fold ($P<0.001$) higher total DTX levels in tumour as compared to
18 Taxotere 4 days after the onset of treatment.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 3.7. Pharmacokinetic studies in healthy rats 37 38 39

40 In the present study, the superior efficacy of DTX-CCL-PMs as well as enhanced tumour
41 accumulation was demonstrated in tumour-bearing mice. To continue the development of
42 DTX-CCL-PMs towards clinical evaluation, the PK and tolerability profile were evaluated in
43 healthy rats (as required by regulatory authorities).
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 PK studies with a single i.v. administration of DTX-CCL-PMs were conducted in healthy rats
51 at the escalating doses (**Fig. 5B**). The PK evaluation at various doses is given in **Table 1**.
52 These studies demonstrated that DTX-CCL-PMs had an elimination half-life of 15.9 ± 0.7 h
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

and the extrapolated AUC from zero to infinity ($AUC_{0-\infty}$) at different doses linearly correlated with the administered dose of DTX-CCL-PMs (**Fig. 5C**, $R^2=0.997$).

3.8. Tolerability studies in healthy rats

To investigate the potential toxicity of DTX-CCL-PMs, both acute and 5-day repeated dose toxicities were examined in healthy rats. With respect to acute toxicity, a single i.v. administration of DTX-CCL-PMs at 7.5 mg/kg or 24 mg/kg was well tolerated albeit a slightly reduced (transient) motility for both male and female animals at 24 mg/kg. To establish repeated dose toxicity, DTX-CCL-PMs or Taxotere was administered intravenously to male and female rats daily for 5 consecutive days. Taxotere was administered intravenously at a dose of 6.7 mg/kg/day (i.e. 40 mg/m²), the dose often used for toxicity evaluation e.g. by Burstein *et al.* [42] whereas a 45% higher dose of DTX-CCL-PMs (9.7 mg/kg/day) was administered to the animals considering the superior tolerability of the DTX-CCL-PM as demonstrated in the acute toxicity study.

Although no mortality occurred, DTX-related target organ toxicities were observed in both groups as reflected by food consumption, diarrhea, size and weight of thymus as well as weight of spleen (**Table S3**). However, compared to Taxotere, these toxicities were substantially reduced in animals that received DTX-CCL-PMs despite a 45% higher dose given. In addition, hematology and serum biochemistry parameters were also examined (**Table S4** and **Table S5**). Compared to DTX-CCL-PMs, the hematological changes such as panleukopenia, thrombocytopenia and reduction in reticulocytes were significantly higher in rats that received Taxotere.

4. Discussion

Long-circulating nanoparticles such as polymeric micelles are exploited with the aim to improve solubility, stability, pharmacokinetics and tumour accumulation of drugs by means of their capacity to encapsulate and target drugs to tumours via the EPR effect [3, 43-45]. However, low stability of micelles in circulation and uncontrolled drug release rate remain critical issues [29, 44]. As demonstrated in the present study, covalent conjugation of docetaxel (DTX) to CCL-PMs not only provided small-sized (66 nm) and stable micellar nanoparticles but also enabled prolonged systemic circulation with enhanced tumour accumulation and sustained release of DTX. Convincingly, treatment with a single administration of DTX-CCL-PMs led to complete regression of the human xenograft MDA-MB-231 breast tumours in mice. This remarkable antitumour efficacy was confirmed by the significantly enhanced tumour accumulation of targeted DTX, attributed to the prolonged systemic circulation of DTX-CCL-PMs and the EPR effect [29].

The synthesis of DTXL is straightforward. Although there are four hydroxyl groups in DTX, the methacrylated linker was selectively conjugated to DTX at its C-2' hydroxyl group, the most amenable and sterically available group for structural modifications [46, 47]. Such selective conjugation was also demonstrated in the work of Liu *et al.* in which PEG was selectively conjugated to DTX at the 2'-hydroxyl position [41]. Importantly, the manufacturing of DTX-CCL-PMs is a well-controlled step with confirmed scalability (up to multi-liters under Good Manufacturing Practices conditions), excellent batch-to-batch reproducibility and tailorable concentration (e.g. 5-20 mg DTX equiv. per mL) (data not shown). Drug release from polymeric micelles is generally dependent on the degradation of the polymers and/or diffusion of the drug from the micelles, which leads to uncontrolled release of the encapsulated drug [48]. However, as shown in this study, a hydrolysis-sensitive covalent linkage of DTX to the CCL-PMs resulted in sustained release of the drug under physiological conditions (**Fig. 1D**). As indicated in **Fig. 1D**, the release of DTX from DTX-

1 CCL-PMs is solely dependent on ester hydrolysis. Such hydrolysis from the CCL-PMs was
2 also reported by Crielaard and coworkers with dexamethasone [32]. Compared to
3 dexamethasone with the same derivatized unit ($t_{1/2} = 8.9 \pm 0.1$ days), DTX was released from
4 the CCL-PMs at a much faster rate ($t_{1/2} = 1.5 \pm 0.3$ days). Ester hydrolysis kinetics is
5 influenced by the electron-density of the surrounding moieties of the ester bond. Unlike
6 dexamethasone, the derivatization of DTX occurred at a secondary hydroxyl group, which
7 gave rise to a reduced electron density at 2' carbon and therefore faster hydrolysis kinetics
8 under physiological conditions.
9

10 With respect to the tumour accumulation, a single i.v. administration of DTX-CCL-PMs (30
11 mg/kg) rendered not only significantly greater total DTX levels but also higher released DTX
12 levels in tumours as compared to Taxotere administration at the equivalent dose (**Fig. 5A**).
13 The enhanced released DTX levels in tumour could be ascribed to the slow release of DTX
14 from the CCL-PMs within the tumour microenvironment and possibly the accumulation of
15 native DTX released from the circulating DTX-CCL-PMs. High tumour accumulation of
16 DTX-CCL-PMs and slow intratumoural release of DTX obviously attributed to the strong
17 antitumour effect of DTX-CCL-PMs.
18

19 At the reported MTD of DTX, i.e. 30 mg/kg (weekly injections) [40], DTX-CCL-PMs and
20 Taxotere showed similar tumour inhibitory effects after three weekly i.v. injections. However,
21 DTX-CCL-PMs had a clear benefit in terms of body weight in comparison to Taxotere (**Fig.**
22 **S3B**). The absence of body weight loss in mice that received DTX-CCL-PMs was most likely
23 attributed to the covalent entrapment of DTX within the CCL-PMs and thereby the lower
24 'active' DTX concentration in systemic circulation and lower exposure of normal tissues as
25 compared to Taxotere.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Based on the high efficacy and good tolerability of DTX-CCL-PMs after multiple dosing, single dose studies were carried out to determine the therapeutic superiority over the marketed formulation. Compared to Taxotere, a clear gain in therapeutic efficacy with an increased dose of DTX-CCL-PMs (from 30 to 60 mg/kg) underlined the benefit of the targeted DTX. The lack of the benefit with Taxotere can be explained by the short plasma half-life and thereby no substantial gain in the tumour accumulation with the increase of the dose. Importantly, a further increase in the dose of DTX-CCL-PMs to 125 mg/kg induced complete regression of the tumours leading to 100% tumour-free survival. These antitumour effects were not only limited to the early stage tumours but also observed with the late-stage (established) tumours, which were completely suppressed with a single dose of DTX-CCL-PMs (**Fig. 3**). Complete regression of established tumours is highly challenging and rarely achieved in preclinical studies. Huang *et al.* reported profound regression of MDA-MB-231 tumours after multiple injections of DTX-loaded self-assembled nanoparticles, yet complete tumour regression was not achieved [24]. Similarly, substantial tumour regression was observed in the same breast cancer xenografts, although three i.v. injections of NC-6301 (polymeric micelles of DTX) at a 4-day interval were required [49]. In the present study, the remarkable therapeutic effects already obtained with a single dose of DTX-CCL-PMs highlight the potent antitumour activity of DTX-CCL-PMs and its potential for clinical application.

Since DTX is an antimitotic drug, it is primarily assumed to display its antitumour activity through tumour cell growth inhibition. However, interestingly we found that treatment with DTX-CCL-PMs also led to the reduction in tumour stromal components such as pericytes (α -SMA, NG2), fibroblasts (α -SMA) and extracellular matrix (collagen-1) at 96 h after a single dose administration (**Fig. 4**). In the tumour microenvironment, fibroblastic cells produce excessive extracellular matrix such as collagen, which induces tumour rigidity, less perfusion

and increase in interstitial fluid pressure [50]. In addition, pericytes support endothelial cells and thereby the maturation of blood vessels during angiogenesis leading to enhanced nutrition supply to tumours. Inhibition of these components is highly essential to achieve complete regression of tumours. In contrast to DTX-CCL-PMs, treatment with Taxotere showed only a mild reduction in pericyte marker (NG2). These data corroborate with the recent findings of Murakami and coworkers who showed a reduction in collagen and α -SMA in orthotopic MDA-MB-231 tumour model after the treatment with a DTX-conjugate nanoparticle formulation (PEGylated acetylated carboxymethylcellulose-docetaxel conjugate) named Cellax [51]. Our data suggest that the observed antitumour effects of DTX-CCL-PMs are at least partially mediated through the depletion of tumour stroma in addition to the direct effect on tumour cells.

In addition to the studies in tumour-bearing mice, preclinical PK and tolerability studies were carried out in healthy rats as part of the clinical translation program of DTX-CCL-PMs. In the PK studies, the blood levels in healthy rats revealed that DTX-CCL-PMs remained in the circulation for extended periods of time ($t_{1/2} = 16.2$ h) and total DTX was detected in blood up to 7 days after a single dose of DTX-CCL-PMs (7.5 mg/kg) (**Fig. 5B**). Importantly, this demonstrates that DTX remained entrapped in PMs for several days due to the transiently stable covalent linkage. With respect to tolerability, DTX-CCL-PMs at 9.7 mg/kg was much better tolerated by rats as compared to a lower dose of Taxotere (6.7 mg/kg) (**Table S3-S5**). The superior tolerability of DTX-CCL-PMs is likely attributed to the blood circulation profile of the intact nanoparticles and thereby the absence of high DTX blood levels and significantly improved volume of distribution at steady state (0.06 L/kg) as compared to Taxotere (4 L/kg) [52]. It is of interest that major DTX dose limiting toxicities observed in the clinic such as diarrhea, pan-leukopenia and effects on immunologically related tissues occurred at a lesser severity and/or incidence in the DTX-CCL-PMs treated rats as compared to animals that

received Taxotere. Together with other assays as required by regulatory authorities, these preliminary results of toxicology evaluation advocate the clinical translation of DTX-CCL-PMs.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study demonstrates the development of a novel docetaxel-containing nanomedicine of which a single dose can regress both early and established human xenograft tumours completely, providing 100% tumour-free survival to these animals. Importantly, DTX-CCL-PMs were well tolerated by animals at the examined doses, showing superior tolerability to the marketed Taxotere formulation. Altogether, the improved therapeutic index of DTX-CCL-PMs and straightforward manufacturability strongly support its clinical development.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Cristal Therapeutics. The authors are thankful to Dr. Anne Vroon and J.B. van den Dikkenberg for their contribution to our work.

References

- [1] Jain RK, Stylianopoulos T. Delivering nanomedicine to solid tumors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2010;7:653-64.
- [2] Jain RK. Normalizing Tumor Microenvironment to Treat Cancer: Bench to Bedside to Biomarkers. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2013;31:2205-18.
- [3] Wang AZ, Langer R, Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticle Delivery of Cancer Drugs. *Annual Review of Medicine*. 2012;63:185-98.
- [4] Davis ME, Chen Z, Shin DM. Nanoparticle therapeutics: an emerging treatment modality for cancer. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2008;7:771-82.
- [5] Lammers T, Kiessling F, Hennink WE, Storm G. Drug targeting to tumors: Principles, pitfalls and (pre-) clinical progress. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2012;161:175-87.
- [6] Fang J, Nakamura H, Maeda H. The EPR effect: Unique features of tumor blood vessels for drug delivery, factors involved, and limitations and augmentation of the effect. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*. 2011;63:136-51.
- [7] Petros RA, DeSimone JM. Strategies in the design of nanoparticles for therapeutic applications. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2010;9:615-27.
- [8] Peer D, Karp JM, Hong S, Farokhzad OC, Margalit R, Langer R. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. *Nat Nano*. 2007;2:751-60.
- [9] Maeda H, Nakamura H, Fang J. The EPR effect for macromolecular drug delivery to solid tumors: Improvement of tumor uptake, lowering of systemic toxicity, and distinct tumor imaging in vivo. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*. 2013;65:71-9.
- [10] Wagner V, Dullaart A, Bock AK, Zweck A. The emerging nanomedicine landscape. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2006;24:1211-7.
- [11] Larson N, Ghandehari H. Polymeric conjugates for drug delivery. *Chem Mater*. 2012;24:840-53.

- [12] Kato K, Chin K, Yoshikawa T, Yamaguchi K, Tsuji Y, Esaki T, et al. Phase II study of NK105, a paclitaxel-incorporating micellar nanoparticle, for previously treated advanced or recurrent gastric cancer. *Invest New Drugs*. 2012;30:1621-7.
- [13] Cabral H, Kataoka K. Progress of drug-loaded polymeric micelles into clinical studies. *Journal of Controlled Release*. 2014;190:465-76.
- [14] Safra T, Muggia F, Jeffers S, Tsao-Wei DD, Groshen S, Lyass O, et al. Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (doxil): Reduced clinical cardiotoxicity in patients reaching or exceeding cumulative doses of 500 mg/m². *Annals of Oncology*. 2000;11:1029-33.
- [15] Winer EP, Berry DA, Woolf S, Duggan D, Kornblith A, Harris LN, et al. Failure of Higher-Dose Paclitaxel to Improve Outcome in Patients With Metastatic Breast Cancer: Cancer and Leukemia Group B Trial 9342. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2004;22:2061-8.
- [16] O'Brien MER, Wigler N, Inbar M, Rosso R, Grischke E, Santoro A, et al. Reduced cardiotoxicity and comparable efficacy in a phase III trial of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin HCl (CAELYX™/Doxil®) versus conventional doxorubicin for first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer. *Annals of Oncology*. 2004;15:440-9.
- [17] Zhang J, Liu J. Tumor stroma as targets for cancer therapy. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2013;137:200-15.
- [18] Mueller MM, Fusenig NE. Friends or foes [mdash] bipolar effects of the tumour stroma in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2004;4:839-49.
- [19] Cortes JE, Pazdur R. Docetaxel. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1995;13:2643-55.
- [20] Lyseng-Williamson KA, Fenton C. Docetaxel: A Review of its Use in Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Drugs*. 2005;65:2513-31.
- [21] Michael A, Syrigos K, Pandha H. Prostate cancer chemotherapy in the era of targeted therapy. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*. 2009;12:13-6.

- [22] Baker J, Ajani J, Scotté F, Winther D, Martin M, Aapro MS, et al. Docetaxel-related side effects and their management. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*. 2009;13:49-59.
- [23] Zhang L, Zhang N. How nanotechnology can enhance docetaxel therapy. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2013;8:2927-41.
- [24] Huang J, Zhang H, Yu Y, Chen Y, Wang D, Zhang G, et al. Biodegradable self-assembled nanoparticles of poly (d,l-lactide-co-glycolide)/hyaluronic acid block copolymers for target delivery of docetaxel to breast cancer. *Biomaterials*. 2014;35:550-66.
- [25] Ernsting MJ, Tang W-L, MacCallum NW, Li S-D. Preclinical pharmacokinetic, biodistribution, and anti-cancer efficacy studies of a docetaxel-carboxymethylcellulose nanoparticle in mouse models. *Biomaterials*. 2012;33:1445-54.
- [26] Liu Z, Liu D, Wang L, Zhang J, Zhang N. Docetaxel-loaded pluronic p123 polymeric micelles: in vitro and in vivo evaluation. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2011;12:1684-96.
- [27] Wang L, Li M, Zhang N. Folate-targeted docetaxel-lipid-based-nanosuspensions for active-targeted cancer therapy. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:3281-94.
- [28] Li L, Tang F, Liu H, Liu T, Hao N, Chen D, et al. In Vivo Delivery of Silica Nanorattle Encapsulated Docetaxel for Liver Cancer Therapy with Low Toxicity and High Efficacy. *ACS Nano*. 2010;4:6874-82.
- [29] Rijcken CJ, Schiffelers RM, van Nostrum CF, Hennink WE. Hydrolysable core-crosslinked thermosensitive polymeric micelles: Synthesis, characterisation and in vivo studies. *Biomaterials*. 2007;28:5581-93.
- [30] Talelli M, Rijcken CJF, van Nostrum CF, Storm G, Hennink WE. Micelles based on HPMa copolymers. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*. 2010;62:231-9.
- [31] Talelli M, Oliveira S, Rijcken CJF, Pieters EHE, Etrych T, Ulbrich K, et al. Intrinsically active nanobody-modified polymeric micelles for tumor-targeted combination therapy. *Biomaterials*. 2013;34:1255-60.

- [32] Crielaard BJ, Rijcken CJ, Quan L, van der Wal S, Altintas I, van der Pot M, et al. Glucocorticoid-loaded core-cross-linked polymeric micelles with tailorable release kinetics for targeted therapy of rheumatoid arthritis. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2012;51:7254-8.
- [33] van Nostrum CF, Neradovic D, Soga O, Hennink WE. Polymeric Micelles with Transient Stability: A Novel Delivery Concept. *Polymeric Drug Delivery I: American Chemical Society*; 2006. p. 40-54.
- [34] Rijcken CJF, Veldhuis TFJ, Ramzi A, Meeldijk JD, van Nostrum CF, Hennink WE. Novel Fast Degradable Thermosensitive Polymeric Micelles Based on PEG-block-poly(N-(2-hydroxyethyl)methacrylamide-oligolactates). *Biomacromolecules*. 2005;6:2343-51.
- [35] Neradovic D, Soga O, Van Nostrum CF, Hennink WE. The effect of the processing and formulation parameters on the size of nanoparticles based on block copolymers of poly(ethylene glycol) and poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) with and without hydrolytically sensitive groups. *Biomaterials*. 2004;25:2409-18.
- [36] Malleswara Reddy A, Banda N, Govind Dagdu S, Venugopala Rao D, Kocherlakota CS, V. K. Evaluation of the pharmaceutical quality of docetaxel injection using new stability indicating chromatographic methods for assay and impurities. *Sci Pharm*. 2010;78:215-31.
- [37] Vasu Dev R, Moses Babu J, Vyas K, Sai Ram P, Ramachandra P, Sekhar NM, et al. Isolation and characterization of impurities in docetaxel. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 2006;40:614-22.
- [38] Kumar D, Tomar RS, Deolia SK, Mitra M, Mukherjee R, Burman AC. Isolation and characterization of degradation impurities in docetaxel drug substance and its formulation. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 2007;43:1228-35.
- [39] Yano T, Pinski J, Groot K, Schally AV. Stimulation by Bombesin and Inhibition by Bombesin/Gastrin-releasing Peptide Antagonist RC-3095 of Growth of Human Breast Cancer Cell Lines. *Cancer Research*. 1992;52:4545-7.

- 1 [40] Tampellini M, Filipski E, Liu XH, Lemaigre G, Li XM, Vrignaud P, et al. Docetaxel
2 Chronopharmacology in Mice. *Cancer Research*. 1998;58:3896-904.
3
4 [41] Liu J, Zahedi P, Zeng F, Allen C. Nano-sized assemblies of a PEG-docetaxel conjugate
5 as a formulation strategy for docetaxel. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2008;97:3274-90.
6
7 [42] Burstein HJ, Manola J, Younger J, Parker LM, Bunnell CA, Scheib R, et al. Docetaxel
8 Administered on a Weekly Basis for Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*.
9 2000;18:1212-9.
10
11 [43] Yokoyama M. Clinical Applications of Polymeric Micelle Carrier Systems in
12 Chemotherapy and Image Diagnosis of Solid Tumors. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical*
13 *Medicine*. 2011;3:151-8.
14
15 [44] Deng C, Jiang Y, Cheng R, Meng F, Zhong Z. Biodegradable polymeric micelles for
16 targeted and controlled anticancer drug delivery: Promises, progress and prospects. *Nano*
17 *Today*. 2012;7:467-80.
18
19 [45] Gaucher G, Dufresne M-H, Sant VP, Kang N, Maysinger D, Leroux J-C. Block
20 copolymer micelles: preparation, characterization and application in drug delivery. *Journal of*
21 *Controlled Release*. 2005;109:169-88.
22
23 [46] Greenwald RB, Pendri A, Bolikal D, Gilbert CW. Highly water soluble taxol derivatives:
24 2'-polyethyleneglycol esters as potential prodrugs. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*.
25 1994;4:2465-70.
26
27 [47] Kingston DGI, Chordia MD, Jagtap PG, Liang J, Shen Y-C, Long BH, et al. Synthesis
28 and Biological Evaluation of 1-Deoxypaclitaxel Analogues. *The Journal of Organic*
29 *Chemistry*. 1999;64:1814-22.
30
31 [48] Rijcken C. Tuneable & Degradable Polymeric Micelles for Drug Delivery: from
32 Synthesis to Feasibility in vivo, thesis. Utrecht University. 2007.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

[49] Harada M, Iwata C, Saito H, Ishii K, Hayashi T, Yashiro M, et al. NC-6301, a polymeric micelle rationally optimized for effective release of docetaxel, is potent but is less toxic than native docetaxel in vivo. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2012;7:2713-27.

[50] Cirri P, Chiarugi P. Cancer associated fibroblasts: the dark side of the coin. *Am J Cancer Res*. 2011;1:482-97.

[51] Murakami M, Ernsting MJ, Undzys E, Holwell N, Foltz WD, Li S-D. Docetaxel Conjugate Nanoparticles That Target α -Smooth Muscle Actin-Expressing Stromal Cells Suppress Breast Cancer Metastasis. *Cancer Research*. 2013;73:4862-71.

[52] Bissery MC, Nohynek G, Sanderink GJ, Lavelle F. Docetaxel (Taxotere): a review of preclinical and clinical experience. Part I: Preclinical experience. *Anticancer Drugs*. 1995;6:339-55, 63-8.

Table 1. Pharmacokinetic evaluation for total docetaxel levels in whole blood after a single i.v. administration of DTX-CCL-PMs to female rats at different doses (n=6).

	DTX-CCL-PMs 1.5 mg/kg	DTX-CCL-PMs 7.5 mg/kg	DTX-CCL-PMs 24 mg/kg
C₀ (µg/mL)	12.6	114.2	364.6
t_{1/2} (h)	15.1	16.2	16.4
AUC_{0-∞} (µg*h/mL)	229.8	1948.1	5808.9
AUC_{0-∞}/Dose (g*h/mL)	158.5	266.9	240.0
V_z (mL/kg)	137.2	60.0	67.9
V_{ss} (mL/kg)	129.5	56.1	62.3

The values are calculated by PK analysis using a non-compartmental model.

C₀ = concentration at t=0, extrapolated, t_{1/2} = elimination half-life, AUC_{0-∞} = extrapolated area under the curve from zero to infinity, V_z = volume of distribution and V_{ss} = volume of distribution at steady state.

Figure 1

Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 5

A

B

C

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Synthesis and characterization of DTX-CCL-PMs. (A) Synthesis scheme of DTX-derivative (DTXL), linker =2-(2-(methacryloyloxy)ethylthio)acetic acid; (B) Particle size distribution of DTX-CCL-PMs as determined by dynamic light scattering; (C) Transmission electron microscopical image of DTX-CCL-PMs and (D) Representative *in vitro* release of DTX and 7-epi-DTX (a major degradation product of DTX) from DTX-CCL-PMs in PBS (pH 7.4), rat blood and human blood at 37°C. The first measurement time point was at 1 hour after the onset of incubation at 37°C.

Fig. 2. Antitumour effect of DTX-CCL-PMs at a single dose of 30 and 60 mg DTX/kg. (A) Tumour growth curve and (B) % survival of mice bearing MDA-MB-231 xenografts after a single i.v. injection of Taxotere or DTX-CCL-PMs at equivalent doses (30 and 60 mg DTX/kg). The vehicle group received ammonium acetate buffer (20 mM, pH 5) containing 130 mM NaCl. The duration of the study was 79 days. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=8).

Fig. 3. Antitumour effect of DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg DTX/kg) in early and established MDA-MB-231 xenografts tumours. (A) Tumour growth curve and (B) % survival of tumour-bearing mice after a single i.v. injection of Taxotere (30 mg DTX/kg), DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg DTX/kg) or vehicle (when tumours attain ~150 mm³ size, depicted as day 1). The vehicle group received ammonium acetate buffer (20 mM, pH 5) containing 130 mM NaCl. (C) Tumour growth curve and (D) % survival of tumour-bearing mice after a single i.v. injection of Taxotere (30 mg DTX/kg) or DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg DTX/kg) (when tumours attain ~550 mm³ size, depicted as day 10). The duration of the study was 62 days. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=10).

Fig. 4. Intratumoural effect of DTX-CCL-PM on tumour stroma. Western blot analyses in tumour samples collected 4 days after a single i.v. injection of Taxotere (30 mg DTX/kg), DTX-CCL-PMs (125 mg DTX/kg) or vehicle. The vehicle group received ammonium acetate buffer (20 mM, pH 5) containing 130 mM NaCl. (A) Treatment scheme; (B) Protein bands of Western blot and (C) Semi-quantitative analyses of the bands. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=3). *P<0.05 versus vehicle, [#] P<0.05 versus Taxotere.

Fig. 5. Pharmacokinetics and tumour accumulation of DTX-CCL-PMs. (A) Intratumoural levels of released DTX and total DTX (released + entrapped) after a single i.v. injection of Taxotere (30 mg DTX/kg) or DTX-CCL-PMs (30 mg DTX/kg) in mice bearing MDA-MB-231 xenografts. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=3), *P<0.05, **P< 0.01, ***P< 0.001. (B) Total DTX (released + entrapped) levels in blood after a single i.v. injection of DTX-CCL-PMs (7.5 mg DTX/kg) in healthy female rats. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM (n=6) and (C) Correlation between the injected dose and AUC_{0-∞} for DTX-CCL-PMs in healthy rats after a single i.v. injection of DTX-CCL-PMs at 1.5, 7.5 and 24 mg DTX/kg, respectively ($R^2=0.997$).

Supplementary Files

[Click here to download Supplementary Files: Supplementary data_DTX Biomaterials.docx](#)