

## References

1. Lakhani P, Sundaram B. Deep learning at chest radiography: automated classification of pulmonary tuberculosis by using convolutional neural networks. *Radiology* 2017;284(2):574–582.
2. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, et al. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature* 2017;542(7639):115–118.
3. Gulshan V, Peng L, Coram M, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy in retinal fundus photographs. *JAMA* 2016;316(22):2402–2410.
4. World Health Organization. Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: principles and recommendations. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 (WHO/HTM/TB/2013.04). [http://www.who.int/tb/publications/Final\\_TB\\_Screening\\_guidelines.pdf](http://www.who.int/tb/publications/Final_TB_Screening_guidelines.pdf). Accessed October 30, 2017.
5. Chest radiography in tuberculosis detection: summary of current WHO recommendations and guidance on programmatic approaches. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/252424/1/9789241511506-eng.pdf>. Updated 2016. Accessed October 30, 2017.
6. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2017. [http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global\\_report/en/](http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/). Accessed October 30, 2017.

## Errata

## Originally published online:

<https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162740>

Immediate Allergic Reactions to Gadolinium-based Contrast Agents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Ashkan Heshmatzadeh Behzadi, Yize Zhao, Zerwa Farooq, Martin R. Prince

## Erratum in:

*Radiology* 2018;286(2):731  
DOI:10.1148/radiol.2018174037

Abstract, second sentence of Results should read as follows: The overall and severe rates of GBCA allergic-like adverse events were 9.2 and **0.52** per 10000 administrations, respectively: 81% (539 of 662) were mild, 13% (86 of 662) were moderate, and 6% (37 of 662) were severe reactions.

Second paragraph of Results, first sentence should read as follows: The overall rate of patients who had immediate allergic-like reactions was 9.2 per 10000 administrations and the overall rate of severe immediate allergic-like reactions was **0.52** per 10000 administrations.

Third paragraph of Discussion, first sentence should read as follows: The favorable low reaction rate for nonionic linear GBCAs stands in contrast to their worrisome lower kinetic stability, which is thought to increase the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis and gadolinium **retention** in the brain (22,43–45).

Fifth paragraph of Discussion, second sentence should read as follows: This greater rate of allergic-like reactions must be considered with other aspects of safety including the favorable stability of macrocyclic agents that reduces risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis and gadolinium **retention** in the brain (43,47,48).

Table 3, under the column Comparison, fourth row head should read as follows: **Non-protein binding linear vs macrocyclic**

Table 5, under column Jung et al (7), the overall bias should be **Moderate**

## Originally published online:

<https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2017162445>

Absence of Cortical Vein Opacification is Associated with Lack of Intra-arterial Therapy Benefit in Stroke

Ivo G. H. Jansen, Annemieke B. van Vuuren, Wim H. van Zwam, Ido R. van den Wijngaard, Olvert A. Berkhemer, Hester F. Lingsma, Cornelis H. Slump, Robert J. van Oostenbrugge, Kilian M. Treurniet, Diederik W. J. Dippel, Marianne A.A. van Walderveen, Aad van der Lugt, Yvo B. W. E. M. Roos, Henk A. Marquering, Charles B. L. M. Majoie, René van den Berg, for the MR CLEAN Trial Investigators

## Erratum in:

*Radiology* 2018;286(2):731  
DOI:10.1148/radiol.2017174043

The legend for Figure 1b–d should read as follows: Images in unaffected hemisphere show full opacification (arrow) of **sphenoparietal sinus (b)**, superficial middle cerebral vein (**c**), and vein of Labbé (**d**).