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Aims

• to develop an effective energy management system that must balance with energy demand through appropriate energy supply in sustainable manner in SNP & BZ.

In detail, it aims to:

• Identify the current energy consumption pattern and future demand.

• study on the availability of non-conventional energy sources to replace/retrofit the use of conventional energy source.

• Apply the best practice architectural design to reduce energy demands and to maximize the use of energy-efficient utilities.

• suggest the need for awareness and capacity building activities in energy management
Kathmandu University Activities

- **Activity No. 1** Establishment of the participatory process
- **Activity No. 2** Training and capacity building
- **Activity No. 3** Contribution to the development and validation of the qualitative analysis and to the data gap-analysis and data collection to provide the information required by the model
- **Activity No. 4** Definition of storage data format
- **Activity No. 5** Research activity in the field
- **Activity No. 6** Divulgation of the research activity and results
- **Activity No. 7** Contribution to the development of the new SNP management plan
Kathmandu University’s Field work

September 2007 Field Visit
– Lukla – Chhukung (25 settlements)

May 2008 Field Visit
– Lukla – Evt. Base camp and Gokyo (32 settlements)

• Questionnaire (Demand/Supply)

• Measured components of building like, wall thickness, room dimension, building materials

• Spot measurement of solar irradiation, wind velocity, river discharge
Discharge Measurement in SNP BZ
Measurement of Wind Velocity and Solar Irradiation
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ENERGY MANAGEMENT IN SNPBZ

- SNPBZ’s area: around 1300 km²
- No. of hydropower stations in SNPBZ: 6, for a total power amount of 900 kW (Khumbu Bijuli Company: 630 kW)
- Total Energy Demand in SNPBZ: 60,000 kWh/day (from 40,000 kWh/day in July to 80,000 kWh/day in October)
CURRENT ENERGY DEMAND AND SUPPLY SCENARIO

Current Energy Demand

- Cooking: 64%
- Space heating: 23%
- Others: 13%

Current Energy Supply

- Indegenous: 56%
- Commercial: 29%
- Non-Conventional: 15%
The model allows assessing different energy scenarios to:

- Explore and discover current and future energy consumption patterns;
- Assess options and potentials regarding substitution of conventional energy sources based on non-conventional energy sources;
- Evaluate options to reduce the overall energy demand by improving insulation of buildings and promoting the use of energy-efficient utilities;
- Discover the most effective energy awareness raising and capacity building activities.
Qualitative model (CMAP)
Quantitative model (Simile)
The main performance indicators of this model are:

- **Energy balance**: represents the total balance between energy supply and energy demand in each settlement.

- **Total cost**: the implementation of the management levers is associated with financial cost. This indicator simply sums up the cost for all management levers as set by the user. If no management action is taken – all management levers set to 0 – this indicator will be 0 and the model will run based on a “business as usual”-scenario.
At park level (SNPBZ) the yearly deficit is around 7% (±5%)

...and at single settlement level
Scenario 1:
How is it possible to decrease the energy demand?

1. Introducing energy saving lamps

2. Decreasing the thermal conductivity of buildings, in other words improving the insulation
Scenario 1: Decrease of the energy demand

At park level, the energy balance shifts from a deficit of 7% to a surplus of 7% (possible error ±5%).

The investment cost of this management policy is 6.6 mln NRs for all SNPBZ.

Consumption costs: 300,000 NRs/day for all SNPBZ.

……..at local level
Scenario 2: Which alternative energy source could be proposed to reduce the current deficit?

2a) Introduce a micro-hydropower station to fill the energy deficit of each settlement

2b) Introduce solar thermal panels to cover the energy demanded for hot water, plus an hydropower station to support the remaining deficit
Scenario 2a: A new hydropower station/more hydropower stations supplying 700 kW

At park level the balance shifts from a deficit of 7% to a surplus of 3% (Possible error ±5%)

The investment cost of this management policy is 168 mln NRs (plus possible costs to create new electric grids)

Consumption costs: 350,000 NRs/day for all SNPBZ
Scenario 2b: Solar thermal panels for 270 m² and a new hydropower station/more hydropower stations supplying 500 kW

At park level the balance shifts from a deficit of 7% to a surplus of 3% (Possible error ±5%)

……..at local level
Comparison of Household Energy Use in Khumbu region

- **Chaurikharka**
  - (1999)
  - (2007/08)

- **Namche**
  - (1999)
  - (2007/08)

- **Khumjung**
  - (1998)
  - (2007/08)

**Energy Sources**
- Wood
- Kerosene
- Electricity
- Dung
- LPG
Energy used and CO$_2$ emission from different household activities

![Bar chart showing energy used and CO$_2$ emission from different household activities.](image-url)
Based on architecture and construction materials:

- **Traditional type**: Local materials

- **Semi-modern/ Medium type**: Commercial + local material (limited insulation)

- **Modern type**: Commercial + heavy insulation
Comparison of Energy-efficiency in different types of building

Heat demand (W/m³)

Type of buildings
- Traditional
- Medium
- Modern

- Residential
- Commercial
- Institute
Reduction in CO2 emission by use of proper insulation
Further Work

Study on the Thermal Efficiency of Insulation Material made up of Locally Available Material at SNPBZ

Material Used:
• Kamero (White Soil)
• Cow dung
• Wooden grain
• Rice husk
• Plastic grain from Mineral Water Bottle (the major waste of SNPBZ)
• Paper
• Brick Powder
Preparation of model room
Testing of Material
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of insulating material</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Thermal Conductivity (W/mK)</th>
<th>R (m2K/W)</th>
<th>U (W/m2K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empty box</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>41.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterofoam</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>2.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 1 (Kamero, Wooden grain, cow dung)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>3.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7 (Kamero, Wooden grain, plastic thread, cow dung)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>2.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2 (Kamero, Rice husk, cow dung)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>3.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 10 (Kamero, Brick powder, cow dung)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>3.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 13 (Kamero, paper pulp, cow dung)</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>2.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11 (Kamero, paper pulp, cow dung, baking powder)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>3.439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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