3-D shear wave velocity model of the lithosphere below the Sardinia–Corsica continental block based on Rayleigh-wave phase velocities
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SUMMARY
Rayleigh-wave dispersion curves from both ambient noise and teleseismic events allow us to provide the first high-resolution 3-D shear wave velocity ($V_S$) model of the crust and upper mantle below the Sardinia–Corsica microplate, an important continental block for understanding the evolution of the central-western Mediterranean. For a wide range of periods (from 3 to $\sim$30 s), the phase velocities of the study area are systematically higher than those measured within the Italian peninsula, in agreement with a colder geotherm. Relative and absolute variations in the $V_S$ allow us to detect a very heterogeneous upper crust down to 8 km, as opposed to a relatively homogeneous middle and lower crust. The isosurface at 4.1 km $s^{-1}$ is consistent with a rather flat Moho at a depth of 28.0 ± 1.8 km (2$\sigma$). The lithospheric mantle is relatively cold, and we constrain the thermal lithosphere–asthenosphere boundary at $\sim$100 km. We find our estimate consistent with a continental geotherm based on a surface heat flow of 60 mW m$^{-2}$. Our results suggest that most of the lithosphere endured the complex history of deformation experienced by the study area and imply, in general, that deep tectonic processes do not easily destabilize the deeper portion of the continental lithosphere, despite leaving a clear surface signature.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The Sardinia–Corsica microplate is located in the middle of the central-western Mediterranean and represents a crucial continental block for understanding the evolution of both the Liguro-Provencal and the Tyrrhenian basins (Fig. 1). From a geological point of view, this block represents a portion of the southern European palaeomargin from which it has been separated for around 30 Ma, due to the opening of the Liguro-Provencal basin (e.g. Speranza et al. 2002; Facenna et al. 2014). According to several studies (e.g. Alvarez 1972; Facenna et al. 2002), this process was the consequence of the trench retreat that is currently active below Calabria and was accompanied by extensive subduction-related volcanism, which occurred throughout the Miocene with a peak around 20 Ma (Lustrino et al. 2013). The fast opening of the backarc Tyrrhenian basin in the last 6 Ma, probably associated with the tearing and rapid trench retreat of the Apennine slab (e.g. Wortel & Spakman 2000), left the Sardinia–Corsica block behind. Between $\sim$4.8 and $\sim$0.1 Ma, Sardinia also experienced a minor episode of anorogenic volcanism together with a new extensional phase (Lustrino et al. 2013), perhaps associated with a large-scale NW–SE rifting extending up to the Sicily channel (e.g. Corti et al. 2006); this caused the formation of the Campidano graben and the deposition of plio-pleistocenic sediments (Fig. 1). The Variscan (Palaeozoic) units are mostly plutonic (granites/granitoids) and high-grade metamorphic rocks, and the Alpine metamorphic units constitute the so-called Alpine-Corsica (i.e. the northeastern portion of the island; Carmignani et al. 2016; Malusà et al. 2016). The western portion of Sardinia is characterized mostly by the presence of volcanic rocks that are separated from the Varisian basement by the so-called Sardinian Trough, an N–S oriented graben.

The Sardinia–Corsica continental block therefore holds petrological signatures of several tectonic processes, but its role in the complex dynamics occurring in the central Mediterranean is not...
yet fully understood (e.g. Oggiano et al. 2009). In fact, although the central-western Mediterranean has been extensively studied for many decades (e.g. Panza et al. 2007; Jolivet et al. 2009; Lustrino et al. 2011; Carminati et al. 2012; Faccenna et al. 2014), the scarcity of seismic stations on the Sardinian territory has until now hindered the acquisition of seismic images of the study area at lithospheric scale. The recent installation of the UT LiSard (Lithosphere of Sardinia) seismic array, together with the public data available from Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV) and Reseau sismologique et geodésique français (RESIF), provides us with continuous waveforms recorded at 20 receivers scattered across Sardinia and Corsica (Fig. 1), and enables us to investigate the Earth structure beneath this continental block.

In order to characterize the lithosphere of the study area we use Rayleigh-wave phase-velocity measurements. Phase velocities of the fundamental Rayleigh-wave mode have proved to be an essential seismological tool for studying the Earth’s lithosphere and the sublithospheric mantle, allowing discrimination between provinces characterized by different temperatures and/or compositions on the basis of their different wave speeds (e.g. Christensen & Mooney 1995; Shapiro & Ritzwoller 2004). In recent years, an increasing interest in refining and automating the procedures for estimating the surface-wave velocities has brought to a rapid development of the techniques for the measurement of interstation group and phase velocities of both earthquake-based (EQ; e.g. Lin et al. 2009; Yang et al. 2011; Jin & Gaherty 2015; Soomro et al. 2016) and ambient
noise (AN; e.g. Shapiro et al. 2005; Bensen et al. 2007; Ekström et al. 2009; Kästle et al. 2016) fundamental-mode surface waves. Here we make use of both EQ and AN: these two types of seismic signals contain complementary information, and their combination results in a data set that is sensitive to a broad depth range (e.g. Meier et al. 2004; Ritzwoller et al. 2011; Köhler et al. 2012; Zhou et al. 2012; Kästle et al. 2016). Whereas the earthquake-generated signals are dominated by relatively low frequencies—and therefore provide constraints on deeper structures—passive seismic interferometry brings information on the shallow part of the Earth.

For the majority of the stations, more than 2 yr of continuous seismograms (from June 2016 to September 2018) are available. This ensures that a relatively large number of AN sources at different azimuths contribute to the waveforms (which is essential for the application of seismic interferometry; e.g. Boschi & Weemstra 2015) and that a sufficiently large number of earthquakes can be exploited for this study. In the following section, we describe the two complementary methods used for retrieving dispersion measurements at different periods, and therefore for generating the phase-velocity maps of the study area. The data analysis and the inversion results can be found in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In Section 5, we present the discussion of the final model and the interpretation.

2 METHODS

2.1 Ambient noise

We retrieved the Rayleigh-wave dispersion curves from the vertical component of recorded noise using an automated algorithm that measures the phase velocities for a given station pair by cross-correlation in frequency domain and stacking of noise records (Kästle et al. 2016). This algorithm, based on the ideas of Aki (1957) and Ekström et al. (2009), relies on the fact that the spectrum of the two-stations cross-correlation of seismic AN approximately coincides with a 0-order Bessel function of the first kind (Boschi et al. 2013). This circumstance allows us to extract the phase velocities at the zero-crossings, as fully explained in Kästle et al. (2016).

The first stage of data processing consisted of preparing the waveform data from each station individually. To this purpose, the seismograms were pre-filtered using a bandpass filter from 0.005 to 0.75 Hz, with instrument response deconvolved to displacement, de-meaned, de-trended and downsampld to 2 Hz. We zero-padded wherever gaps appeared in the signal, in order to obtain continuous time-series. We cross-correlated the spectra from every combination of station pairs by selecting the longest common time window available between the two stations. Specifically, each cross-correlation was performed by cutting the data into shorter overlapping time intervals and ensemble averaging the cross-spectra calculated in each of them; the overlap parameter (50 per cent; see Seats et al. 2012, for a more detailed discussion on the topic) and the Δt intervals (900 s) have been chosen on the basis of the relatively short interstation distances that characterize the array (∼140 km on average). Spectral whitening was applied to data before multiplication in frequency domain in order to mitigate the effect of possible ballistic signals (i.e. large earthquakes; Boschi et al. 2013) and to reduce the influence from monochromatic sources (e.g. Bensen et al. 2007).

The above procedure allowed us to retrieve average interstation dispersion measurements for periods ranging from 2.5 up to 20 s.

2.2 Helmholtz tomography

We retrieved the Rayleigh phase velocities from teleseismic surface waves using an open source, automated algorithm that measures the frequency-dependent interstation phase delays between all possible nearby stations by cross-correlation in time domain (Jin & Gaherty 2015). Using the Eikonal equation, the phase variations are first inverted for spatial variations in apparent phase velocities; the Helmholtz equation then allows us to correct the bias between apparent phase velocity and structural phase velocity by accounting for the surface wave amplitudes measured at the individual stations (for more details, see Jin & Gaherty 2015). Importantly, this algorithm exploits a multichannel approach, without assuming surface wave propagation along the great-circle path and therefore does not restrict the number of usable measurements.

We selected shallow (<50 km) events with magnitude 6.0 or larger, limiting the epicentral distances to a range between 20° and 160° from the center of the array (∼40°N, 9°E), resulting in 175 exploitable teleseismic earthquakes (Fig. 2). The preparation of the data consisted of removing the instrument response, decimating to 1 Hz after low-pass filtering to prevent aliasing, and, for each event, slicing the waveform data into 7200 s time intervals beginning at the origin time of the respective event. In order to obtain the phase-velocity maps we chose a grid size of 0.25°, and we tested several different combinations of the quality control parameters available in the algorithm, relying on the operating manual provided by Jin & Gaherty (2015) and on previous applications of the method (e.g. Martin-Short et al. 2018).

The above procedure allowed us to retrieve phase-velocity maps (together with their uncertainties) for periods ranging from 20 to 80 s (Fig. 4).

3 DATA ANALYSIS

3.1 Phase velocities

We show in Figs 3 and 4 the phase-velocity maps we obtained using AN and EQ, respectively. The robustness and the uncertainties of the maps are discussed in detail in Section 3.2. It is worth pointing out here, however, that their resolution is maximum in the central part of the study area, where the density of interstation paths is maximum (Fig. 5), as also suggested by the standard deviations of the EQ phase-velocity maps (Fig. 4, bottom panel). At the periods investigated by AN, the phase-velocity maps show an increasing velocity eastwards (Fig. 3) that strongly resembles the subdivision between Palaeozoic units and more recent ones, as illustrated in
Fig. 1. This pattern is smeared out with increasing periods and appears to be completely vanished at \( \sim 18 \) s. At periods longer than 20 s (Fig. 4), instead, the study area is characterized by a different seismic structure. This is particularly visible at periods longer than 30 s, where Sardinia is defined by relatively high phase velocities compared to the Corsica island. These features are interpretable in terms of temperature and composition, and will be further discussed in Section 5.

Fig. 6 shows the velocity profiles we used for inverting the phase velocities to shear wave velocities. These are obtained by interpolating the phase-velocity maps from both AN and EQ on a regular grid defined by longitude and latitude steps of 0.17° and 0.15°, respectively. At the period of 20 s, where both AN and EQ measurements are available, the mean values are taken, and each profile is then smoothed by fitting a ninth-order polynomial to the data. This is justified by the fact that the averaging properties of the surface wave dispersion with depth make any jump in the dispersion curves unrealistic (Kästle et al. 2018). Compared to the Italian peninsula (Molinari et al. 2015), on average Sardinia and Corsica are characterized by higher phase velocities (Fig. 6), with substantially higher median values in a wide range of periods (from \( \sim 5 \) up to \( \sim 30 \) s).

It is worth noting that the 1-D profiles obtained from the phase-velocity maps are characterized by a relatively smooth continuity between the phase velocities we retrieved from AN and EQ. Specifically, in order to quantify their consistency, the period of 20 s turns out to be crucial for this study, representing the only connecting link between the two techniques. In this regard, at 20 s the phase-velocity maps are in overall good agreement, with a mean difference of 0.067 km s\(^{-1}\) and a standard deviation of 0.066 km s\(^{-1}\).

3.2 Uncertainty evaluation of the phase-velocity maps

Mapping the uncertainties on the EQ measurements (Fig. 4) is generally not problematic because for every pair of stations many measurements are available, and a statistical analysis on the agreement of the results can be carried out easily. However, the same does not apply to AN, where the cross-spectrum calculated from continuous waveforms is required. This circumstance hinders the collection of more than one dispersion curve for a given pair of receivers and, therefore, does not allow a direct estimation of the uncertainty of the measurements.

For this reason, in order to estimate the uncertainty relative to the AN-based measurements we performed two different analyses. The first one is based on a bootstrap method and must be considered to be indicative of the robustness of the technique: for every pair of stations we calculate 150 dispersion curves, randomly zero-padding 5 d a month before cross-correlating. For every combination of stations it is then possible to evaluate the probability distribution of the measurements. In this regard, the technique proved to be quite stable, with average frequency-dependent standard deviations systematically below 0.01 km s\(^{-1}\). The second approach we used is based on the method of the triplets, explained by Lin et al. (2008) in detail. For each triplet of stations approximately lying on the same great-circle path (we allowed a maximum deviation of 15°), the phase-velocity measurement from the most distant pair of stations is compared to the remaining two, weighting the velocities according to the interstation distances. Due to the relatively small number of stations constituting our array, the number of usable triplets for carrying out this analysis was obviously restricted. Nonetheless, at each frequency we were able to extract measurements of the bias affecting the AN dispersion curves that closely approximate normal distributions, whose mean and standard deviation give indication on the uncertainty, as shown in Fig. 3.

It must be noted that the measured uncertainty on the AN dispersion curves does not provide any spatial information (i.e. it is not mappable) and turns out to be substantially lower than the one affecting our EQ data (Fig. 4). Indeed, especially in the areas where the density of the interstation paths is lower (i.e. at the boundaries of the maps and in the Corsica island; Fig. 5), at periods longer than 40 s we observe standard deviations as high as the 10 per cent of the average phase velocity at the considered period. The propagation of the uncertainties must be taken into account when inverting the phase-velocity maps for obtaining a shear wave velocity model and will be further discussed in Section 4.2.

4 INVERSION TO SHEAR WAVE VELOCITIES

4.1 Inversion method

The 1-D phase-velocity profiles (Fig. 6) are inverted individually for the 1-D shear-velocity (\( V_S \)) structure (Fig. 7). The generated depth...
profiles are then combined to create a 3-D shear-velocity model. The inversion is performed by means of a damped iterative linearized inversion (Greve et al. 2014). A starting model is calculated from the average dispersion curve of the study area and, for each location, it is iteratively perturbed trying to minimize a misfit function that depends on (1) the misfit between the calculated and the observed phase-velocity dispersion curve, (2) the vertical smoothness of the model and (3) the difference between the background and the inverted model. We discretized the model using layers of progressively increasing thickness with depth, without including any constraints regarding the Moho: layers 2 km thick in the first 10 km, 5 km thick from 10 to 50 km, 10 km thick from 50 to 100 km, and 20 km thick between 100 and 400 km. The inversion was carried out for structures as deep as 400 km in order to avoid leakage from (otherwise fixed) deeper structures into the shallow structure (Greve et al. 2014). Following Ma & Clayton (2014), we tested that the inversion results were independent from the chosen starting model.

**Figure 3.** Upper panel: AN phase-velocity maps at different periods (3, 8 and 16 s). The colour scale (in km s$^{-1}$) is set to ±7 per cent of the mean value at the period of 3 s, and to ±5 per cent at the remaining ones. Lower panel: uncertainties on the AN data at the same periods, calculated with the method of the triplets (see Section 3.2). Red (3 s): $\mu = -0.003$ km s$^{-1}$, $\sigma = 0.014$ km s$^{-1}$. Blue (8 s): $\mu = 0.008$ km s$^{-1}$, $\sigma = 0.028$ km s$^{-1}$. Green (16 s): $\mu = 0.013$ km s$^{-1}$, $\sigma = 0.029$ km s$^{-1}$.
Figure 4. EQ phase-velocity maps at different periods (25, 40 and 65 s—upper panel) and their respective standard deviations (lower panel). For the phase-velocity maps, at each period the colour scale (in km s$^{-1}$) is set to ±5 per cent of the mean value. The colour scale of the standard deviations, instead, is fixed between 0 and 0.5 km s$^{-1}$.

4.2 Uncertainty evaluation of the inverted model

As already mentioned in Section 3.2, the earthquake-based data are affected by a higher uncertainty in comparison to the AN ones, especially at relatively long periods. Therefore, we considered to be worth evaluating how these uncertainties propagate in the inverted model. In this regard, we performed a test based on a Monte Carlo sampling of the inverted model, for convenience parametrized in six layers whose sensitivity kernels are calculated for each period. The test is run for a layer at a time by iteratively perturbing the layer’s shear wave velocity. The perturbation is carried out by randomly...
sampling from a wide Gaussian distribution of $\sigma = 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

The misfit between the forward response of the perturbed model and the observed dispersion curve is then calculated, weighted by the sensitivity kernel of the selected layer, and divided by the data uncertainties. Using the sensitivity kernel of the layer as weighting parameter allows us to isolate the contribution of such layer to the data misfit. In vectorial form, the misfit is

$$\text{misfit} = (d_{\text{obs}} - d_m)^T K C^{-1} (d_{\text{obs}} - d_m),$$

where $d_{\text{obs}}$ and $d_m$ are the observed and forward dispersion curves, $K$ is the diagonal matrix of the sensitivity kernel, $C$ is the diagonal matrix of the uncertainties of observed data. Considering the models with the best 10 per cent misfit, these show an average deviation of $\sim 1.2$ per cent. We therefore conclude that the inverted model can be considered rather robust in light of the data uncertainties.

### 4.3 Horizontal and vertical resolution of the inverted model

Before presenting the interpretation of the results, we want to emphasize that the resolution of the final model directly depends on the resolution of the phase-velocity maps. Therefore, the horizontal and the vertical information brought by the final model must be treated differently. Following Yoshizawa & Kennett (2002), we consider the horizontal resolution of the phase-velocity maps to be regulated by $\lambda/3$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength. Since $\lambda = vT$ (e.g. Cassidy et al. 2002), the horizontal resolution of the seismic structure linearly decreases with the investigated period $T$. In other words, increasing the period, the measurement will be representative of a phase velocity averaged over increasingly larger volumes, and therefore will bring less and less information about the lateral variations. A sensitivity-kernel analysis shows that the maximum sensitivity for a period of 40 s (at which the horizontal resolution is $\sim 50 \text{ km}$) is located at...
that is characterized by the lowest velocities than the western part of Sardinia. Notably, the region Corsica island and the east of Sardinia are characterized by higher ∼ surface down to Cenozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks. In our model, from the that clearly separates them (Fig. 1). Whereas the Variscan basement gies are subdivided in Palaeozoic units and more recent ones, it is possible to follow an N–S oriented line (along the 9° E meridian) that clearly separates them (Fig. 1). Whereas the Variscan basement outcrops especially in the east of Sardinia and is fairly widespread in Corsica, the west of Sardinia is dominated by the presence of Cenozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks. In our model, from the surface down to ∼8 km, we observe a very similar geometry: the Corsica island and the east of Sardinia are characterized by higher velocities than the western part of Sardinia. Notably, the region that is characterized by the lowest $V_S$ (Fig. 8, slice at 5 km) is located exactly in correspondence with the plio-paleoiocenic sediments that cover the Campidano graben, and the northeastern part of Corsica (Alpine Corsica) shows lower velocities than the plutonic region characterizing central Corsica (Fig. 1). A seismic refraction survey by Egger et al. (1988) showed no evidence of strong lateral variations in terms of compressional wave speed within the crust of Sardinia and Corsica. This would imply that the strong lateral $V_S$ heterogeneity we observe in the shallow part of the study area be mainly driven by compositional differences between the granitoids of the Variscan basement and the porous/wet volcano-sedimentary cover (e.g. Christensen 1996; Behn & Kelemen 2003). The strong lateral variations in velocity observed within the upper crust quickly disappear at greater depths. Indeed, between ∼8 and ∼30 km the study area shows a rather homogeneous seismic structure (Fig. 8). We consider this result to be relevant especially in light of the recent volcanism that affected the Sardinia island (e.g. Lustrino et al. 2013), whose evidence is particularly visible in its western part. In this regard, the seismic structure of the middle and of the lower crust does not suggest any possible source of the Miocene volcanism. Nonetheless, deeper lithospheric slices (>35 km, Fig. 8) suggest the presence of a laterally non-homogeneous structure, with high velocities in the northern and in the southern part of Sardinia, which is accentuated at increasing depths. We consider this change from a homogeneous to a more heterogeneous seismic structure to be representative of the transition from the lower crust to the lithospheric mantle. This consideration is supported by previous studies based on receiver functions (e.g. van der Meijde et al. 2003; Di Stefano et al. 2011) and seismic refraction surveys (Egger et al. 1988), which locate the Moho in the study area at a depth of ∼30 km. Using an isosurface at $V_S = 4.1$ km s$^{-1}$ as a proxy for Moho depth, we obtain a rather flat surface, characterized by a mean depth of 28.0 km and a standard deviation of 0.9 km. It should be noted that the choice of an isosurface for constraining the Moho depth from a $V_S$ model is inevitably arbitrary. However, a value of 4.1 km s$^{-1}$, besides resulting in Moho depths in agreement with the aforementioned studies, returns the depth values that best fit those estimated at the LiSard stations on the basis of independent, yet unpublished receiver function results. The presence of a heterogeneous seismic structure in the upper portion of the Sardinian lithospheric mantle supports the idea of lower crustal lithologies present at upper-mantle depths, suggested, for example, by Lustrino et al. (2013). According to the same authors, this would be consistent with a delamination process occurred when the Sardinian crust was hotter (as proven by the past magmatism) and more unstable due to the eastward mantle flow that led to the opening of the Liguro-Provençal and the Tyrrhenian basins, and can explain the mantle signature that has been observed in the fluids of the geothermal system in the central-western Sardinia (e.g. Paternoster et al. 2017). We should point out, however, that while intriguing and geologically sounding, the occurrence of such a process cannot be fully confirmed based on our study only; more in-depth, multi-disciplinary studies including, for example, gravity, petrophysics and seismic wave attenuation would be necessary for this purpose (e.g. Seber et al. 1996). It is worth mentioning that the persistence of an heterogeneous mantle with depth cannot rule out completely the hypothesis of a plume-like body within the asthenosphere below the central-western Mediterranean, whose upwellings would feed the volcanic activity in part of the Italian peninsula and in the Tyrrhenian Sea. This model, supported by geochemical arguments, has been proposed in several studies (e.g. Gasperini et al. 2000, 2002; Lavecchia & Creati 2006; Bell et al. 2013), and whether or not it is realistic, at the present time, is still strongly debated (see e.g. Lustrino et al. 2011, and citations therein). In this regard, a larger-scale geophysical study would certainly better clarify the origin of such a deep structure. However, the absolute velocities, which allow us to estimate the temperatures within the mantle of the study area, give us an indication of the unlikelihood of this idea and will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

5.2 Depth profiles: the lithosphere–asthenosphere boundary (LAB)

In Section 3.1, we already presented a comparison between the absolute phase-velocities we measured in the study area and the ones of the Italian peninsula (Fig. 6). The high absolute velocities below the crust indicate a relatively cold lithospheric mantle. Considering a normal mantle of pyrolic composition, we estimate a temperature of ∼850 °C for the average $V_S$ of 4.4 km s$^{-1}$ that we observe at 50 km (the conversion into temperature has been performed following Cammarano et al. 2003, 2011; Cammarano & Guerri 2017, and relies on thermodynamic modelling and experimentally based elastic properties of mantle minerals). Therefore, we argue that the actual crustal temperatures in the study area are mostly controlled
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by internal radiogenic heating within the crystalline basement. This interpretation is supported by the presence of thermal springs and high heat flow values (up to 180 mW m$^{-2}$) in the northwest Sardinia, which have been proved to be related to radiogenic heating of Variscan granites and hydrothermal circulation (Cuccuru et al. 2015).

At depths greater than 50 km, our model clearly shows a decrease in $V_s$ (Fig. 7). This trend is maintained at least until 100 km, and we consider this depth to be representative of the base of the thermal lithosphere. It is worth noting that although this value differs from a previous estimate of the LAB depth made by Panza et al. (2007) for the study area, this discrepancy derives from the very definition...
of the LAB depth. Indeed, Panza et al. (2007) locate the LAB at a
depth corresponding to the initial inversion of the $V_S$ gradient, and
not at the end of the negative gradient. Regardless of the choice to
be preferred, applying the same definition of LAB depth as Panza
et al. (2007), our results turn out to be quite in good agreement
($\sim 60$–$70$ km). In addition, our LAB estimate is also consistent
with a steady-state continental geotherm based on an average heat-
flow of 60 mW m$^{-2}$, an approximate value calculated by Scrocca
et al. (2003) for Sardinia. Finally, from the conversion of $V_S$ into
temperature using a pyrolitic composition we obtain a value at the
estimated LAB depth that is consistent with a potential temperature
at surface of 1300 $^\circ$C. The careful reader may note that the average
velocity we observe at the LAB depth ($\sim 100$ km) is similar to what
we obtain at $\sim 40$ km (Fig. 7); even though this result might appear
ambiguous, the pressure effect makes the pyrolitic composition
return a temperature that is in agreement with the expected mantle
adiabat only at the LAB depth.

5.3 Implications
Our estimate of the LAB depth is consistent with a classical conduc-
tional continental geotherm. Surprisingly, this result would indicate
that most of the continental lithosphere endured the complex history
of deformation experienced by the study area, and has general im-
lications on the role of deep tectonic processes in the erosion of the
continental lithosphere. Whereas it is well known that old cratonic
regions overlie cold and chemically depleted lithospheric mantles
(which can reach depths greater than 200 km; Gung et al. 2003),
how continental lithosphere can survive for billions of years is still
a matter of debate. As we mentioned in Section 1, the Sardinia–
Corsica microplate is an old continental block that underwent, in
the last 30 Ma, several tectonic processes such as separation, drift,
rotation from the Variscan European basement, subduction-related
volcanism and, more recently, anorogenic volcanism. Considering
the seismic structure, these are immediately evident in the upper
crust, where the heterogeneity in temperature, porosity, presence of
fluids, and composition manifests itself in the strong $V_S$ variations
between the eastern and the western sides. On the other hand, our
results show that the same tectonic processes did not disassemble
the Sardinian lithospheric mantle as much as could be expected, at
least at the degree of resolution that we have been able to achieve.
For this reason, we consider the study area to be interesting for
investigating the complex interaction between the lithospheric and
the asthenospheric mantle at larger scale, and this will be the subject
of a future study.

6 CONCLUSIONS
This study represents the first record of a high-resolution litho-
spheric imaging of the Sardinia–Corsica continental block, cru-
cial for understanding the geodynamical processes that have con-
tributed to the formation and evolution of the central Mediterranean.
Rayleigh phase velocities calculated from both teleseismic ev-
events and seismic ambient noise allowed us to retrieve a
shear wave velocity model, whose seismic structure appears to be
highly consistent with independent results published in previous
studies.

For a wide range of periods (from 5 to 30 s), the phase velocities
of the study area turned out to be systematically higher than those
measured for the Italian peninsula, suggesting a colder geotherm.
A methodical evaluation of the uncertainty affecting our data allowed
us to discriminate the robust features of the final model. Whereas at
crustal depths we observe relatively low standard deviations; larger
uncertainties at long periods restrict the reliable seismic images to
the study area below Sardinia and southern Corsica.

The lateral variations of the $V_S$ in the upper crust closely resem-
ble the geology of the study area, highlighting the velocity contrast
between the outcropping Variscan basement and the more recent
units. Remarkably, we found the middle and the lower crust to be
rather homogeneous, bearing no sign of the Miocene volcanism.
At greater depths, an inhomogeneous seismic structure suggests an
heterogeneous lithospheric mantle. Interestingly, the lateral vari-
ations we observe would be consistent with the hypothesis of a
Mediterranean plume-like body, suggested by several authors on geochemical arguments. The high absolute velocities characteriz-
ing the crust, together with the temperatures we estimated, induce
us to rule out this idea, even though a larger-scale geophysical
study would be needed in order to resolve such a deep structure and
unravel its nature.

The absolute values of the $V_S$ also allow us to directly constrain
the depth of the LAB (that we locate at $\sim 100$ km). Remarkably, this
result suggests that most of the Sardinian lithosphere endured the
complex history of deformation experienced by the study area and
has general implications on the ability of lithospheric fragments to
be preserved despite the interaction with deeper processes.
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