

References

References

- Adesope, O. O., & Nesbit, J. C. (2013). Animated and static concept maps enhance learning from spoken narration. *Learning and Instruction, 27*, 1-10. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2013.02.002
- Anderson, J. R., Fincham, J. M., & Douglass, S. (1997). The role of examples and rules in the acquisition of a cognitive skill. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 23*, 932-945. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.23.4.932
- Andre, T., & Ding, P. (1991). Student misconceptions, declarative knowledge, stimulus conditions, and problem solving in basic electricity. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 16*, 303-313. doi:10.1016/0361-476X(91)90011-9
- Atkinson, R. K., Derry, S. J., Renkl, A., & Wortham, D. (2000). Learning from examples: Instructional principles from the worked examples research. *Review of Educational Research, 70*, 181-214. doi:10.3102/00346543070002181
- Austin, L. B., & Shore, B. M. (1995). Using concept mapping for assessment in physics. *Physics Education, 30*, 41-45. doi:10.1088/0031-9120/30/1/009
- Azevedo, R. (2005). Computer environments as metacognitive tools for enhancing learning. *Educational Psychologist, 40*, 193-197. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep4004_1
- Bannert, M. (2006). Effects of reflection prompts when learning with hypermedia. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 35*, 359-375. doi:10.2190/94v6-r58h-3367-g388
- Bannert, M., & Mengelkamp, C. (2013). Scaffolding hypermedia learning through metacognitive prompts. In R. Azevedo & V. Aleven (Eds.), *International handbook of metacognition and learning technologies* (pp. 171-195). New York, NY: Springer.
- Barron, B. (2003). When smart groups fail. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 12*, 307-359. doi:10.1207/S15327809JLS1203_1
- Belland, B. R. (2014). Scaffolding: Definition, current debates, and future directions. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology* (pp. 505-518). New York, NY: Springer New York.
- Belland, B. R., Kim, C., & Hannafin, M. J. (2013). A framework for designing scaffolds that improve motivation and cognition. *Educational Psychologist, 48*, 243-270. doi:10.1080/00461520.2013.838920
- Berthold, K., Eysink, T. H. S., & Renkl, A. (2009). Assisting self-explanation prompts are more effective than open prompts when learning with multiple representations. *Instructional Science, 37*, 345-363. doi:10.1007/s11251-008-9051-z
- Bijleveld, M., & Heuzels, L. (2020). *Ict-monitor mbo 2019* [Ict monitor secondary vocational education 2019]. Zoetermeer: Kennisnet.

- Bjork, R. A. (1999). Assessing our own competence: Heuristics and illusions. In D. Gopher & A. Koriat (Eds.), *Attention and performance XVII. Cognitive regulation of performance: Interaction of theory and application* (pp. 435-459). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cañas, A. J., Novak, J. D., & Reiska, P. (2012). Freedom vs. restriction of content and structure during concept mapping - possibilities and limitations for construction and assessment. In A. J. Cañas, J. D. Novak, & J. Vanhear (Eds.), *Concept maps: Theory, methodology, technology. Proceedings of the 5th international conference on concept mapping* (Vol. 2, pp. 247-257). Valletta, Malta: University of Malta.
- Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek. (2021a). *Bevolking; hoogstbehaald onderwijsniveau en onderwijsrichting, 2020* [Population; highest educational level and educational direction, 2020]. Retrieved from: <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/cijfers/detail/82816ned?dl=4CFE3#shortTableDescription>
- Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek. (2021b). *Leerlingen, deelnemers en studenten; onderwijssoort, 2020* [Learners, participants and students; type of education, 2020]. Retrieved from: <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/82816ned/table?dl=8083>
- Chang, K.-E., Sung, Y.-T., & Chen, I.-D. (2002). The effect of concept mapping to enhance text comprehension and summarization. *The Journal of Experimental Education, 71*, 5-23. doi:10.1080/00220970209602054
- Chen, J., Wang, M., Kirschner, P. A., & Tsai, C.-C. (2018). The role of collaboration, computer use, learning environments, and supporting strategies in CSCL: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 88*, 799-843. doi:10.3102/0034654318791584
- Chen, N.-S., Wei, C.-W., Wu, K.-T., & Uden, L. (2009). Effects of high level prompts and peer assessment on online learners' reflection levels. *Computers & Education, 52*, 283-291. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2008.08.007
- Chi, M. T. H. (2009). Active-constructive-interactive: A conceptual framework for differentiating learning activities. *Topics in Cognitive Science, 1*, 73-105. doi:10.1111/j.1756-8765.2008.01005.x
- Chi, M. T. H., Bassok, M., Lewis, M. W., Reimann, P., & Glaser, R. (1989). Self-explanations: How students study and use examples in learning to solve problems. *Cognitive Science, 13*, 145-182. doi:10.1016/0364-0213(89)90002-5
- Chi, M. T. H., De Leeuw, N., Chiu, M.-H., & Lavancher, C. (1994). Eliciting self-explanations improves understanding. *Cognitive Science, 18*, 439-477. doi:10.1016/0364-0213(94)90016-7

- Christoffels, I., & Baay, P. (2016a). *21ste-eeuwse vaardigheden in het mbo. 'Vaardig' voor de toekomst* [21st-century skills in secondary vocational education. 'Skillful' for the future]. 's-Hertogenbosch: Expertisecentrum Beroepsonderwijs.
- Christoffels, I., & Baay, P. (2016b). *De toekomst begint vandaag. 21ste-eeuwse vaardigheden in het beroepsonderwijs* [The future starts today. 21st-century skills in vocational education]. 's-Hertogenbosch: Expertisecentrum Beroepsonderwijs.
- Cimolino, L., Kay, J., & Miller, A. (2003). Incremental student modelling and reflection by verified concept-mapping. In S. Bull, P. Brna, & V. Dimitrova (Eds.), *Supplementary proceedings of the AIED2003: Learner modelling for reflection* (Vol. 5, pp. 219-227).
- Daniel, S. R., & Jordan, M. E. (2017). Effects of a heedful interrelating intervention on collaborative teams. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 18*, 199-212. doi:10.1177/1469787417715201
- Davis, E. A. (2000). Scaffolding students' knowledge integration: Prompts for reflection in KIE. *International Journal of Science Education, 22*, 819-837. doi:10.1080/095006900412293
- Davis, E. A. (2003). Prompting middle school science students for productive reflection: Generic and directed prompts. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 12*, 91-142. doi:10.1207/S15327809JLS1201_4
- de Bruijn, E. (2012). Teaching in innovative vocational education in the Netherlands. *Teachers and Teaching, 18*, 637-653. doi:10.1080/13540602.2012.746499
- de Bruijn, E., Billett, S., & Onstenk, J. (2017). Vocational education in the Netherlands. In E. de Bruijn, S. Billett, & J. Onstenk (Eds.), *Enhancing teaching and learning in the Dutch vocational education system: Reforms enacted* (pp. 3-36). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- de Bruijn, E., & Leeman, Y. (2011). Authentic and self-directed learning in vocational education: Challenges to vocational educators. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 27*, 694-702. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2010.11.007
- de Jong, T. (2019). Moving towards engaged learning in STEM domains; there is no simple answer, but clearly a road ahead. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 35*, 153-167. doi:10.1111/jcal.12337
- de Jong, T., Gillet, D., Rodríguez-Triana, M. J., Hovardas, T., Dikke, D., Doran, R., ... Zacharia, Z. C. (2021). Understanding teacher design practices for digital inquiry-based science learning: The case of Go-Lab. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 69*, 417- 444. doi:10.1007/s11423-020-09904-z
- de Jong, T., Sotiriou, S., & Gillet, D. (2014). Innovations in stem education: The Go-Lab federation of online labs. *Smart Learning Environments, 1*, 1-16. doi:10.1186/s40561-014-0003-6.

- Dehler, J., Bodemer, D., Buder, J., & Hesse, F. W. (2011). Guiding knowledge communication in CSCL via group knowledge awareness. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *27*, 1068-1078. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2010.05.018
- Dekker, H., Schönrock-Adema, J., Snoek, J. W., van der Molen, T., & Cohen-Schotanus, J. (2013). Which characteristics of written feedback are perceived as stimulating students' reflective competence: An exploratory study. *BMC Medical Education*, *13*, 1-7. doi:10.1186/1472-6920-13-94
- Dijkstra, P., Kuyper, H., van der Werf, G., Buunk, A. P., & van der Zee, Y. G. (2008). Social comparison in the classroom: A review. *Review of Educational Research*, *78*, 828-879. doi:10.3102/0034654308321210
- Dillenbourg, P. (2002). Over-scripting CSCL: The risks of blending collaborative learning with instructional design. In P. A. Kirschner (Ed.), *Three worlds of CSCL. Can we support CSCL?* (pp. 61-91): Heerlen: Open Universiteit Nederland.
- Dillenbourg, P., Baker, M. J., Blaye, A., & O'Malley, C. (1995). The evolution of research on collaborative learning. In P. Reiman, & H. Spada (Eds.), *Learning in humans and machine: Towards an interdisciplinary learning science* (pp. 189-211). Oxford (UK): Elsevier.
- Dmoshinskaia, N., Gijlers, H., & de Jong, T. (2021). Learning from reviewing peers' concept maps in an inquiry context: Commenting or grading, which is better? *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, *68*, 100959. doi:10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100959
- Dochy, F., Segers, M., & Sluijsmans, D. (1999). The use of self-, peer and co-assessment in higher education: A review. *Studies in Higher Education*, *24*, 331-350. doi:10.1080/03075079912331379935
- Dunlosky, J., & Lipko, A. R. (2007). Metacomprehension: A brief history and how to improve its accuracy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *16*, 228-232. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00509.x
- Dunlosky, J., & Rawson, K. A. (2012). Overconfidence produces underachievement: Inaccurate self evaluations undermine students' learning and retention. *Learning and Instruction*, *22*, 271-280. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2011.08.003
- Dunning, D., Heath, C., & Suls, J. M. (2004). Flawed self-assessment: Implications for health, education, and the workplace. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *5*, 69-106. doi:10.1111/j.1529-1006.2004.00018.x
- Elffers, L. (2014). *Transitie van vmbo naar mbo* [Transition from pre-vocational education to secondary vocational education]. Retrieved from <https://canonberoepsonderwijs.nl/onderwijsstelsel-wetgeving-en-beleid/transitie-van-vmbo-naar-mbo/>

References

- Ellis, G. W., Rudnitsky, A., & Silverstein, B. (2004). Using concept maps to enhance understanding in engineering education. *International Journal of Engineering Education, 20*, 1012-1021.
- Eshuis, E. H., ter Vrugte, J., Anjewierden, A., Bollen, L., Sikken, J., & de Jong, T. (2019). Improving the quality of vocational students' collaboration and knowledge acquisition through instruction and joint reflection. *International Journal of Computer Supported Collaborative Learning, 14*, 53-76. doi:10.1007/s11412-019-09296-0
- Eshuis, E. H., ter Vrugte, J., Anjewierden, A., & de Jong, T. (2021). Expert examples and prompted reflection in learning with self-generated concept maps. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1111/jcal.12615
- Fazekas, M., & Litjens, I. (2014). *A skills beyond school review of the Netherlands*. OECD reviews of vocational education and training. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Gabelica, C., van den Bossche, P., de Maeyer, S., Segers, M., & Gijssels, W. (2014). The effect of team feedback and guided reflexivity on team performance change. *Learning and Instruction, 34*, 86-96. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2014.09.001
- Gabelica, C., van den Bossche, P., Segers, M., & Gijssels, W. (2012). Feedback, a powerful lever in teams: A review. *Educational Research Review, 7*, 123-144. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2011.11.003
- Gadgil, S., Nokes-Malach, T. J., & Chi, M. T. H. (2012). Effectiveness of holistic mental model confrontation in driving conceptual change. *Learning and Instruction, 22*, 47-61. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2011.06.002
- Ge, X., Chen, C.-H., & Davis, K. A. (2005). Scaffolding novice instructional designers' problem-solving processes using question prompts in a web-based learning environment. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 33*, 219-248. doi:10.2190/5f6j-hhvf-2u2b-8t3g
- Ge, X., & Land, S. M. (2003). Scaffolding students' problem-solving processes in an ill-structured task using question prompts and peer interactions. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 51*, 21-38. doi:10.1007/bf02504515
- Gijssels, H., Saab, N., van Joolingen, W. R., de Jong, T., & van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (2009). Interaction between tool and talk: How instruction and tools support consensus building in collaborative inquiry- learning environments. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 25*, 252-267. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2008.00302.x
- Gonzalez de Sande, J. C., & Godino Llorente, J. I. (2014). Peer assessment and self-assessment: Effective learning tools in higher education. *International Journal of Engineering Education, 30*, 711-721.

- Gouli, E., Gogoulou, A., & Grigoriadou, M. (2003). A coherent and integrated framework using concept maps for various educational assessment functions. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 2, 215-240.
- Guest, D. (1991, September 17). The hunt is on for the Renaissance man of computing. *The Independent*.
- Groot, A., Houtkoop, W., Steehouder, P., & Buisman, M. (2015). *Taalniveaus op het mbo. De leesvaardigheid van Nederlandse mbo'ers in (inter)nationaal perspectief* [Language levels in secondary vocational education. The reading skills of Dutch secondary vocational educational students from an (inter)national perspective]. 's-Hertogenbosch: Expertisecentrum Beroepsoponderwijs.
- Hattie, J., & Donoghue, G. M. (2016). Learning strategies: A synthesis and conceptual model. *Npj Science of Learning*, 1, 16013. doi:10.1038/npjscilearn.2016.13
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77, 81-112. doi:10.3102/003465430298487.
- Haugwitz, M., Nesbit, J. C., & Sandmann, A. (2010). Cognitive ability and the instructional efficacy of collaborative concept mapping. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20, 536-543. doi:10.1016/j.lindif.2010.04.004
- Hilbert, T. S., & Renkl, A. (2008). Concept mapping as a follow-up strategy to learning from texts: What characterizes good and poor mappers? *Instructional Science*, 36, 53-73. doi:10.1007/s11251-007-9022-9
- Hilbert, T. S., & Renkl, A. (2009). Learning how to use a computer-based concept-mapping tool: Self-explaining examples helps. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25, 267-274. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2008.12.006
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., & Barrows, H. S. (2006). Goals and strategies of a problem-based learning facilitator. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1, 21-39. doi:10.7771/1541-5015.1004
- Ifenthaler, D. (2010). Relational, structural, and semantic analysis of graphical representations and concept maps. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 58, 81-97. doi:10.1007/s11423-008-9087-4
- Ifenthaler, D. (2012). Determining the effectiveness of prompts for self-regulated learning in problem-solving scenarios. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 15, 38-32.
- Ion, G., Sánchez Martí, A., & Agud Morell, I. (2019). Giving or receiving feedback: Which is more beneficial to students' learning? *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 44, 124-138. doi:10.1080/02602938.2018.1484881
- Isaacson, R. M., & Fujita, F. (2006). Metacognitive knowledge monitoring and self-regulated learning: Academic success and reflections on learning. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, 6, 39-55.

- Janssen, J., Erkens, G., Kanselaar, G., & Jaspers, J. (2007). Visualization of participation: Does it contribute to successful computer-supported collaborative learning? *Computers & Education*, *49*, 1037-1065. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2006.01.004
- Järvelä, S., Kirschner, P. A., Hadwin, A. F., Järvenoja, H., Malmberg, J., Miller, M., & Laru, J. (2016). Socially shared regulation of learning in CSCL: Understanding and prompting individual- and group-level shared regulatory activities. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, *11*, 263-280. doi:10.1007/s11412-016-9238-2
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Smith, K. (2007). The state of cooperative learning in postsecondary and professional settings. *Educational Psychology Review*, *19*, 15-29. doi:10.1007/s10648-006-9038-8
- Johnston, L., & Miles, L. (2004). Assessing contributions to group assignments. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, *29*, 751-768. doi:10.1080/0260293042000227272
- Jones, D. W. (1996). Empowered teams in the classroom can work. *The Journal for Quality and Participation*, *19*, 80-86.
- Kao, G. Y. M., Lin, S. S. J., & Sun, C. T. (2008). Breaking concept boundaries to enhance creative potential: Using integrated concept maps for conceptual self-awareness. *Computers & Education*, *51*, 1718-1728. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2008.05.003
- Kim, D., & Lee, S. (2002). Designing collaborative reflection supporting tools in e-project-based learning environments. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, *13*, 375-392.
- Kinchin, I. M. (2014). Concept mapping as a learning tool in higher education: A critical analysis of recent reviews. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education*, *62*, 39-49. doi:10.1080/07377363.2014.872011
- Kirschner, P. A., Kreijns, K., Phielix, C., & Fransen, J. (2015). Awareness of cognitive and social behavior in a CSCL environment. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *31*, 59-77. doi:10.1111/jcal.12084
- Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, *119*, 254-284. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.119.2.254
- Kollar, I., Fischer, F., & Hesse, F. W. (2006). Collaboration scripts—a conceptual analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, *18*, 159-185. doi:10.1007/s10648-006-9007-2
- Kollöffel, B., & de Jong, T. (2013). Conceptual understanding of electrical circuits in secondary vocational engineering education: Combining traditional

- instruction with inquiry learning in a virtual lab. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 102, 375-393. doi:10.1002/jee.20022
- Kollöffel, B., & de Jong, T. (2016). Can performance feedback during instruction boost knowledge acquisition? Contrasting criterion-based and social comparison feedback. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 24, 1428-1438. doi:10.1080/10494820.2015.1016535
- Kori, K., Pedaste, M., Leijen, Ä., & Mäeots, M. (2014). Supporting reflection in technology-enhanced learning. *Educational Research Review*, 11, 45-55. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2013.11.003
- Labuhn, A. S., Zimmerman, B. J., & Hasselhorn, M. (2010). Enhancing students' self-regulation and mathematics performance: The influence of feedback and self-evaluative standards. *Metacognition and Learning*, 5, 173-194. doi:10.1007/s11409-010-9056-2
- Larson, L. C., & Miller, T. N. (2011). 21st century skills: Prepare students for the future. *Kappa Delta Pi Record*, 47, 121-123. doi:10.1080/00228958.2011.10516575
- Li, L., Liu, X., & Steckelberg, A. L. (2010). Assessor or assessee: How student learning improves by giving and receiving peer feedback. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 41, 525-536. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8535.2009.00968.x
- Li, H., Xiong, Y., Hunter, C. V., Guo, X., & Tywoniw, R. (2020). Does peer assessment promote student learning? A meta-analysis. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45, 193-211. doi:10.1080/02602938.2019.1620679
- Lim, K. Y., Lee, H. W., & Grabowski, B. (2009). Does concept-mapping strategy work for everyone? The levels of generativity and learners' self-regulated learning skills. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40, 606-618. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8535.2008.00872.x
- Lin, X., Hmelo, C., Kinzer, C. K., & Secules, T. J. (1999). Designing technology to support reflection. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47, 43-62. doi:10.1007/bf02299633
- Lin, X., & Lehman, J. D. (1999). Supporting learning of variable control in a computer-based biology environment: Effects of prompting college students to reflect on their own thinking. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 36, 837-858. doi:10.1007/BF02214052
- Linn, M. C. (1995). Designing computer learning environments for engineering and computer science: The scaffolded knowledge integration framework. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 4, 103-126. doi:10.1007/bf02214052

References

- Lou, Y., Abrami, P. C., & d'Apollonia, S. (2001). Small group and individual learning with technology: A meta- analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 71*, 449-521. doi:10.3102/00346543071003449
- Lou, Y., Abrami, P. C., Spence, J. C., Poulsen, C., Chambers, B., & d'Apollonia, S. (1996). Within-class grouping: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 66*, 423-458. doi:10.3102/00346543066004423
- Lundstrom, K., & Baker, W. (2009). To give is better than to receive: The benefits of peer review to the reviewer's own writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 18*, 30-43. doi:10.1016/j.jslw.2008.06.002
- McNeill, K. L., & Krajcik, J. (2009). Synergy between teacher practices and curricular scaffolds to support students in using domain-specific and domain-general knowledge in writing arguments to explain phenomena. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 18*, 416-460. doi:10.1080/10508400903013488
- Meijers, F. (2008). Mentoring in Dutch vocational education: An unfulfilled promise. *British Journal of Guidance & Counselling, 36*, 237-256. doi:10.1080/03069880802090008
- Mercer, N. (1996). The quality of talk in children's collaborative activity in the classroom. *Learning and Instruction, 6*, 359-377. doi:10.1016/S0959-4752(96)00021-7
- Merrill, M. D. (2002). First principles of instruction. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 50*, 43-59. doi:10.1007/bf02505024
- Morse, D., & Jutras, F. (2008). Implementing concept-based learning in a large undergraduate classroom. *CBE – Life Sciences Education, 7*, 243-253. doi:10.1187/cbe.07-09-0071
- Murphy, P. K., Wilkinson, I. A. G., Soter, A. O., Hennessey, M. N., & Alexander, J. F. (2009). Examining the effects of classroom discussion on students' comprehension of text: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 101*, 740-764. doi:10.1037/a0015576
- Nebel, S., Beege, M., Schneider, S., & Rey, G. D. (2016). The higher the score, the higher the learning outcome? Heterogeneous impacts of leaderboards and choice within educational videogames. *Computers in Human Behavior, 65*, 391-401. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2016.08.042
- Nebel, S., Schneider, S., Beege, M., & Rey, G. D. (2017). Leaderboards within educational videogames: The impact of difficulty, effort and gameplay. *Computers & Education, 113*, 28-41. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2017.05.011
- Nesbit, J. C., & Adesope, O. O. (2006). Learning with concept and knowledge maps: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 76*, 413-448. doi:10.3102/00346543076003413

- Noroozi, O., Weinberger, A., Biemans, H. J. A., Mulder, M., & Chizari, M. (2013). Facilitating argumentative knowledge construction through a transactive discussion script in CSCL. *Computers & Education*, *61*, 59-76. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2012.08.013
- Novak, J. D. (2002). Meaningful learning: The essential factor for conceptual change in limited or inappropriate propositional hierarchies leading to empowerment of learners. *Science Education*, *86*, 548-571. doi:10.1002/sce.10032
- Novak, J. D. (2005). Results and implications of a 12-year longitudinal study of science concept learning. *Research in Science Education*, *35*, 23-40. doi:10.1007/s11165-004-3431-4
- Novak, J. D., & Cañas, A. J. (2008). *The theory underlying concept maps and how to construct and use them*. Pensacola: Institute for Human and Machine Cognition.
- Novak, J. D., & Gowin, D. B. (1984). *Learning how to learn*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Novak, J. D., Mintzes, J. I., & Wandersee, J. H. (2005). Learning, teaching, and assessment: A human constructivist perspective. In J. J. Mintzes, J. H. Wandersee, & J. D. Novak (Eds.), *Assessing science understanding* (pp. 1-13). Burlington, VT: Academic Press.
- O'Donnell, A. M., Dansereau, D. F., & Hall, R. H. (2002). Knowledge maps as scaffolds for cognitive processing. *Educational Psychology Review*, *14*, 71-86. doi:10.1023/a:1013132527007
- Ohtani, K., & Hisasaka, T. (2018). Beyond intelligence: A meta-analytic review of the relationship among metacognition, intelligence, and academic performance. *Metacognition and Learning*, *13*, 179-212. doi:10.1007/s11409-018-9183-8
- Onderwijsconsument. (2019). *Wat betekent kwalificatieplicht* [What does 'obligation to qualify' mean]? Retrieved from <https://www.onderwijsconsument.nl/kwalificatieplicht>
- Onderwijsraad. (2014). *Een eigentijds curriculum* [A contemporary curriculum]. Den Haag.
- Paas, F. (1992). Training strategies for attaining transfer of problem-solving skill in statistics: A cognitive-load approach. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *84*, 429-434. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.84.4.429
- Paas, F., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (1994). Variability of worked examples and transfer of geometrical problem-solving skills: A cognitive-load approach. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *86*, 122-133. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.86.1.122

- Phielix, C. (2012). *Enhancing collaboration through assessment & reflection* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands.
- Phielix, C., Prins, F. J., & Kirschner, P. A. (2010). Awareness of group performance in a CSCL -environment: Effects of peer feedback and reflection. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26, 151-161. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2009.10.011
- Phielix, C., Prins, F. J., Kirschner, P. A., Erkens, G., & Jaspers, J. (2011). Group awareness of social and cognitive performance in a CSCL environment: Effects of a peer feedback and reflection tool. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27, 1087-1102. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2010.06.024
- Pitt, E., & Norton, L. (2017). 'Now that's the feedback I want!' Students' reactions to feedback on graded work and what they do with it. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 42, 499-516. doi:10.1080/02602938.2016.1142500
- Platform Talent voor Technologie. (n.d.). Draaitabel instroom in het mbo 2018 [Pivot table enrollment in secondary vocational education 2018] [Excel file]. Retrieved from <https://ptvt.nl/ruwe-data/>
- Poulos, A., & Mahony, M. J. (2008). Effectiveness of feedback: The students' perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33, 143-154. doi:10.1080/02602930601127869
- Prinsen, F., Terwel, J., Volman, M., & Fakkert, M. (2008). Feedback and reflection to promote student participation in computer supported collaborative learning: A multiple case study. In R. M. Gillies, A. F. Ashman, & J. Terwel (Eds.), *The teacher's role in implementing cooperative learning in the classroom* (pp. 132-162). Boston, MA: Springer US.
- Quillin, K., & Thomas, S. (2015). Drawing-to-learn: A framework for using drawings to promote model-based reasoning in biology. *CBE – Life Sciences Education*, 14, 1-16. doi:10.1187/cbe.14-08-0128
- Quintana, C., Zhang, M., & Krajcik, J. (2005). A framework for supporting metacognitive aspects of online inquiry through software-based scaffolding. *Educational Psychologist*, 40, 235-244. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep4004_5
- Quinton, S., & Smallbone, T. (2010). Feeding forward: Using feedback to promote student reflection and learning – A teaching model. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 47, 125-135. doi:10.1080/14703290903525911
- Radović, S., Firssova, O., Hummel, H. G. K., & Vermeulen, M. (2021). Improving academic performance: Strengthening the relation between theory and practice through prompted reflection. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/14697874211014411

- Ramaprasad, A. (1983). On the definition of feedback. *Behavioral Science*, 28, 4-13. doi:10.1002/bs.3830280103
- Reiner, M., Slotta, J. D., Chi, M. T., & Resnick, L. B. (2000). Naive physics reasoning: A commitment to substance-based conceptions. *Cognition and Instruction*, 18, 1-34. doi:10.1207/S1532690XCI1801_01
- Reisslein, J., Atkinson, R. K., Seeling, P., & Reisslein, M. (2006). Encountering the expertise reversal effect with a computer-based environment on electrical circuit analysis. *Learning and Instruction*, 16, 92-103. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2006.02.008
- Renkl, A. (1997). Learning from worked-out examples: A study on individual differences. *Cognitive Science*, 21, 1-29. doi:10.1016/S0364-0213(99)80017-2
- Renkl, A. (2005). The worked-out-example principle in multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 229-246). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Renkl, A. (2014a). Toward an instructionally oriented theory of example-based learning. *Cognitive Science*, 38, 1-37. doi:10.1111/cogs.12086
- Renkl, A. (2014b). The worked examples principle in multimedia learning. In R. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 391-412). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Renkl, A., & Schwonke, R. (2013). Static visual displays for deeper understanding: How to support learners to make use of them. In G. Schraw, M. McCrudden, & D. Robinson (Eds.), *Learning through visual displays* (pp. 165-185). Charlotte, NC: Information Age.
- Renner, B., Kimmerle, J., Cavael, D., Ziegler, V., Reinmann, L., & Cress, U. (2014). Web-based apps for reflection: A longitudinal study with hospital staff. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 16, e85. doi:10.2196/jmir.3040
- Renner, B., Prilla, M., Cress, U., & Kimmerle, J. (2016). Effects of prompting in reflective learning tools: Findings from experimental field, lab, and online studies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1-9. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00820
- Rijksoverheid. (n.d.). *Leerplicht en kwalificatieplicht* [Compulsory schooling and 'obligation to qualify']. Retrieved from <https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/leerplicht/leerplicht-en-kwalificatieplicht>
- Ritchhart, R., Turner, T., & Hadar, L. (2009). Uncovering students' thinking about thinking using concept maps. *Metacognition and Learning*, 4, 145-159. doi:10.1007/s11409-009-9040-x

References

- Roberts, L. (1999). Using concept maps to measure statistical understanding. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 30, 707-717. doi:10.1080/002073999287707
- Rodríguez-Triana, M. J., Prieto, L. P., Vozniuk, A., Boroujeni, M. S., Schwendimann, B. A., Holzer, A., & Gillet, D. (2017). Monitoring, awareness and reflection in blended technology enhanced learning: A systematic review. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 9, 126-150. doi:10.1504/ijtel.2017.084489
- Rogers, R. R. (2001). Reflection in higher education: A concept analysis. *Innovative Higher Education*, 26, 37-57. doi:10.1023/A:1010986404527
- Romero, C., Cazorla, M., & Buzón, O. (2017). Meaningful learning using concept maps as a learning strategy. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 7, 313-332. doi:10.3926/jotse.276
- Ruiz-Primo, M. A., Schultz, S. E., Li, M., & Shavelson, R. J. (2001). Comparison of the reliability and validity of scores from two concept-mapping techniques. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38, 260-278. doi:10.1002/1098-2736(200102)38:2<260::AID-TEA1005>3.0.CO;2-F
- Rummel, N., & Spada, H. (2005). Learning to collaborate: An instructional approach to promoting collaborative problem solving in computer-mediated settings. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 14, 201-241. doi:10.1207/s15327809jls1402_2
- Rummel, N., Spada, H., & Hauser, S. (2009). Learning to collaborate while being scripted or by observing a model. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 4(1), 69-92. doi:10.1007/s11412-008-9054-4
- Saab, N., van Joolingen, W. R., & van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (2007). Supporting communication in a collaborative discovery learning environment: The effect of instruction. *Instructional Science*, 35, 73-98. doi:10.1007/s11251-006-9003-4
- Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18, 119-144. doi:10.1007/bf00117714
- Saleh, M., Lazonder, A. W., & de Jong, T. (2005). Effects of within-class ability grouping on social interaction, achievement, and motivation. *Instructional Science*, 33, 105-119. doi:10.1007/s11251-004-6405-z
- Schneider, S., Beege, M., Nebel, S., Schnaubert, L., & Rey, G. D. (2021). The cognitive-affective-social theory of learning in digital environments (CASTLE). *Educational Psychology Review*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1007/s10648-021-09626-5
- Schroeder, N. L., Nesbit, J. C., Anguiano, C. J., & Adesope, O. O. (2018). Studying and constructing concept maps: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 431-455. doi:10.1007/s10648-017-9403-9

- Schwendimann, B. A., & Linn, M. C. (2016). Comparing two forms of concept map critique activities to facilitate knowledge integration processes in evolution education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 53, 70-94. doi:10.1002/tea.21244
- Sedrakyan, G., Malmberg, J., Verbert, K., Järvelä, S., & Kirschner, P. A. (2018). Linking learning behavior analytics and learning science concepts: Designing a learning analytics dashboard for feedback to support learning regulation. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 107, 1-15. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2018.05.004
- Slaats, A., Lodewijks, H. G. L. C., & van der Sanden, J. M. M. (1999). Learning styles in secondary vocational education: Disciplinary differences. *Learning and Instruction*, 9, 475-492. doi:10.1016/S0959-4752(99)00007-9
- Stenning, K., McKendree, J., Lee, J., Cox, R., Dineen, F., & Mayes, T. (1999). Vicarious learning from educational dialogue. *Proceedings of the Computer Support for Collaborative Learning (CSCL) Conference, 1999*. In C. M. Hoadley & J. Roschelle (Eds.), *International society of the learning sciences* (pp. 341-347). Palo Alto, California: Stanford University.
- Strautmene, M. (2012). Concept map-based knowledge assessment tasks and their scoring criteria: An overview. In A. J. Cañas, J. D. Novak, & V. J. (Eds.), *Concept maps: Theory, methodology, technology. Proceedings of the 5th international conference on concept mapping* (Vol. 2, pp. 80-89). Valletta, Malta: University of Malta.
- Streveler, R. A., Litzinger, T. A., Miller, R. L., & Steif, P. S. (2008). Learning conceptual knowledge in the engineering sciences: Overview and future research directions. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 97, 279-294. doi:10.1002/j.2168-9830.2008.tb00979.x
- Strijbos, J., Ochoa, T. A., Sluijsmans, D. M. A., Segers, M. S. R., & Tillema, H. H. (2009). Fostering interactivity through formative peer assessment in (web-based) collaborative learning environments. In C. Mourlas, N. Tsianos, & P. Germanakos (Eds.), *Cognitive and emotional processes in web-based education: Integrating human factors and personalization* (pp. 375-395). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.
- ter Vrugte, J., & de Jong, T. (2017). Self-explanations in game-based learning: From tacit to transferable knowledge. In P. Wouters & H. van Oostendorp (Eds.), *Instructional techniques to facilitate learning and motivation of serious games* (pp. 141-159). New York, NY: Springer.
- ter Vrugte, J., de Jong, T., Vandercruyssen, S., Wouters, P., van Oostendorp, H., & Elen, J. (2017). Computer game-based mathematics education: Embedded faded worked examples facilitate knowledge acquisition. *Learning and Instruction*, 50, 44-53. doi:10.1016/j.learninstruc.2016.11.007

- ter Vrugte, J., de Jong, T., Wouters, P., Vandercruysse, S., Elen, J., & van Oostendorp, H. (2015). When a game supports prevocational math education but integrated reflection does not. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 31*, 462-480. doi:10.1111/jcal.12104
- Trede, F., & Jackson, D. (2019). Educating the deliberate professional and enhancing professional agency through peer reflection of work-integrated learning. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1177/1469787419869125
- Topping, K. (1998). Peer assessment between students in colleges and universities. *Review of Educational Research, 68*, 249-276. doi:10.2307/1170598
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Tsui, A. B. M., & Ng, M. (2000). Do secondary L2 writers benefit from peer comments? *Journal of Second Language Writing, 9*, 147-170. doi:10.1016/S1060-3743(00)00022-9
- van Boxtel, C., van der Linden, J., Roelofs, E., & Erkens, G. (2002). Collaborative concept mapping: Provoking and supporting meaningful discourse. *Theory Into Practice, 41*, 40-46. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4101_7
- van der Linden, J., Erkens, G., Schmidt, H., & Renshaw, P. (2000). Collaborative learning. In P. R. J. Simons, J. van der Linden, & T. Duffy (Eds.), *New learning* (pp. 37-54). Dordrecht: Springer.
- van der Meer, M. (2017). *Naar een lerend bestel in het mbo: Over enkele institutionele voorwaarden van onderwijskwaliteit* [Towards a learning system in secondary vocational education: About some institutional conditions for educational quality]. Den Haag.
- van Gog, T. (2011). Effects of identical example-problem and problem-example pairs on learning. *Computers & Education, 57*, 1775-1779. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2011.03.019
- van Gog, T., & Rummel, N. (2010). Example-based learning: Integrating cognitive and social-cognitive research perspectives. *Educational Psychology Review, 22*, 155-174. doi:10.1007/s10648-010-9134-7
- van Gog, T., Kester, L., & Paas, F. (2011). Effects of worked examples, example-problem, and problem-example pairs on novices' learning. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 36*, 212-218. doi:10.1016/j.cedpsych.2010.10.004
- van Merriënboer, J. J. G., & Kester, L. (2005). The four-component instructional design model: Multimedia principles in environments for complex learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (pp. 71-93). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

- van Popta, E., Kral, M., Camp, G., Martens, R. L., & Simons, P. R. J. (2017). Exploring the value of peer feedback in online learning for the provider. *Educational Research Review, 20*, 24-34. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2016.10.003
- Veenman, M. V. J., van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations. *Metacognition and Learning, 1*, 3-14. doi:10.1007/s11409-006-6893-0
- Vogel, F., Wecker, C., Kollar, I., & Fischer, F. (2017). Socio-cognitive scaffolding with computer-supported collaboration scripts: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review, 29*, 477-511. doi:10.1007/s10648-016-9361-7
- Weaver, M. R. (2006). Do students value feedback? Student perceptions of tutors' written responses. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 31*, 379-394. doi:10.1080/02602930500353061
- Wecker, C., & Fischer, F. (2014). Where is the evidence? A meta-analysis on the role of argumentation for the acquisition of domain-specific knowledge in computer-supported collaborative learning. *Computers & Education, 75*, 218-228. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2014.02.016
- Weinberger, A., & Fischer, F. (2006). A framework to analyze argumentative knowledge construction in computer-supported collaborative learning. *Computers & Education, 46*, 71-95. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2005.04.003
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2000). Expectancy-value theory of achievement motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*, 68-81. doi:10.1006/ceps.1999.1015
- Wu, P.-H., Hwang, G.-J., Milrad, M., Ke, H.-R., & Huang, Y.-M. (2012). An innovative concept map approach for improving students' learning performance with an instant feedback mechanism. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 43*, 217-232. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8535.2010.01167.x
- Wylie, R., & Chi, M. T. H. (2014). The self-explanation principle in multimedia learning. In R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning* (2nd ed., pp. 413-432). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Xie, Y., Ke, F., & Sharma, P. (2008). The effect of peer feedback for blogging on college students' reflective learning processes. *The Internet and Higher Education, 11*, 18-25. doi:10.1016/j.iheduc.2007.11.001
- Xun, G. E., & Land, S. M. (2004). A conceptual framework for scaffolding ill-structured problem-solving processes using question prompts and peer interactions. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 52*, 5-22. doi:10.1007/BF02504836

References

- Yin, Y., Vanides, J., Ruiz-Primo, M. A., Ayala, C. C., & Shavelson, R. J. (2005). Comparison of two concept-mapping techniques: Implications for scoring, interpretation, and use. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42, 166-184. doi:10.1002/tea.20049
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41, 64-70. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2