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ABSTRACT: Plasma-based ammonia synthesis is studied as an option for electrifying the chemical industry. However, the energy efficiency of plasma-based ammonia synthesis is severely limited by ammonia decomposition in the plasma phase. We show that the use of zeolite 4A as an adsorbent for in situ ammonia removal from the plasma phase suppresses product decomposition, thereby increasing the ammonia yield. It is found that the ammonia yield for plasma-chemical ammonia synthesis with in situ ammonia removal is improved by a factor of 2 compared to steady-state plasma-chemical ammonia synthesis. Plasma-induced surface heating limits the effective ammonia adsorption capacity of the zeolite, decreasing the adsorption capacity. In situ product removal is of interest for plasma chemistry and plasma catalysis, in general, as the product decomposition in the plasma is a general phenomenon limiting the product yield.
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INTRODUCTION

A circular economy with renewable energy sources is required to decrease greenhouse emissions.1 However, most renewable energy sources are intermittent, which leads to the requirement for energy storage. Among the storage options, chemical storage in the form of hydrogen (H2) and hydrogen carriers is the only feasible option for seasonal energy storage.2 As hydrogen is difficult to store and transport,3 hydrogen carriers are proposed.4−8 Ammonia (NH3) is one of these hydrogen carriers,9−14 formed through the reaction of hydrogen with atmospheric nitrogen (N2).

With the emergence of low cost electricity from renewables, electrification of chemical reactions is imminent.15 Therefore, plasma-catalytic ammonia synthesis has recently gained interest.16−19 However, the reaction mechanisms and the relevant process parameters are not fully understood.20−22 The best reported energy yield for plasma-catalytic ammonia synthesis is 37.9 g-NH3 kWh−1,23 and most studies report an energy yield below 5 g-NH3 kWh−1,18,19 for example, below the benchmark of 150−200 g-NH3 kWh−1 required for commercialization.24,25

The low energy yields are in part due to ammonia decomposition by the plasma. Recently, ’t Veer et al.26 suggested that the ammonia formed in the plasma is also decomposed by the plasma, based on modeling studies with gas phase reactions and surface reactions. The authors reported that ammonia is decomposed during the microdischarges via electron impact dissociation, while ammonia is formed from N and H radicals during the afterglow. Further experimental evidence was provided by Navascués et al.,27,28 who demonstrated with isotope labeling studies that ammonia is decomposed in the plasma by electron impact dissociation.7 In conclusion, plasma decomposition of ammonia significantly limits the energy yield for ammonia formation in the plasma. In situ removal of ammonia from the plasma phase might offer a solution for this challenge. Solid acids such as zeolites can be used to remove ammonia from a gas mixture via adsorption.29,30 Therefore, we propose to use zeolites for in situ ammonia removal from the plasma phase in the current work, as zeolites are stable solid materials. Zeolite 4A is used as a case study in the current work.

Peng et al.31 also showed that MgCl2 can be used to absorb ammonia during plasma-chemical ammonia synthesis. A drawback of this method is the limited stability of MgCl2, forming MgN2 during plasma operation. The zeolite used in the current work is more chemically stable against changes in chemical compositions with nitrogen species.

Zeolites were previously researched for plasma-based ammonia synthesis by Gorky et al.32 and Shah et al.33
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However, the authors report on steady-state ammonia production rates over zeolite 5A and zeolite beta in the presence of a plasma, rather than the effect of the in situ ammonia adsorption on the zeolite. The authors reported a higher steady-state ammonia production rate for zeolite 5A and zeolite beta as compared to other oxides, such as silica and alumina. In the current work, we observe that the ammonia yield produced with in situ ammonia removal from the plasma zone is about two times that of the steady-state experiments. This demonstrates that in situ ammonia removal from the plasma zone is able to suppress ammonia decomposition by the plasma, thereby increasing the overall ammonia formation rate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental procedures and details can be found in the Supporting Information. In this section, the results and discussion for the in situ removal of ammonia by zeolite 4A are presented. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) results in absence of plasma can be found in the Supporting Information. The results of the sorbent characterization with BET analysis, XRF, XRD, and plasma characterization with Lissajous plots and UV-vis spectroscopy can be found in the Supporting Information.

Plasma-Based Ammonia Synthesis with In Situ Adsorption. Plasma-based ammonia synthesis was studied in the presence of a packed bed with zeolite 4A particles with diameters of 250–300 μm. The plasma reactor is a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor operating at atmospheric pressure. Ammonia adsors on the zeolite until saturation, after which the zeolite cannot adsorb additional ammonia, and the ammonia concentration in the reactor outlet increases to a steady-state value.

The ammonia concentration in the outlet as a function of time is shown in Figure 1, for a H₂:N₂ ratio of 1:4 and at a constant flow rate of 10 mL min⁻¹ and a constant plasma power of 6.4 W. As shown in Figure 3b, the amount of desorbed ammonia scales linearly with the plasma duration for several different H₂:N₂ ratios. The amount of adsorbed ammonia stabilizes at about 2.4 mmol-NH₃ g-zeolite⁻¹ for all H₂:N₂ ratios. This coincides with the plasma duration required to cause ammonia breakthrough (Figure 1), confirming that the zeolite is saturated with ammonia, and the outlet ammonia concentration increases to a steady-state value.

Figure 4 compares the amount of ammonia produced in the first 5 min of plasma-based ammonia synthesis with in situ ammonia removal, i.e. remote from any saturation effects (Figure 3b), with the amount of ammonia produced in the same time during steady-state plasma-based ammonia synthesis. The steady-state plasma-based ammonia synthesis yield over 5 min is calculated from the steady-state ammonia outlet concentration, for example, after zeolite saturation. The amount of ammonia produced by in situ removal operation is typically two times the amount of ammonia during steady-state operation, indicating a beneficial effect of adsorbing ammonia on zeolite 4A. Furthermore, the ammonia yield is found to be highest for H₂:N₂ ratios of 1:1 and 1:2 during 5 min of plasma illumination, both for steady-state operation and plasma-based ammonia synthesis with in situ ammonia removal, in line with observations in the literature for steady-state operation at relatively high plasma power. It should be noted that the optimal H₂:N₂ ratio shifts to more N₂-rich values when extending the plasma illumination time to, for example, 75 min, as shown in Figure S7, consistent with the data in Figure 3b. This can be attributed to more extended heating of the zeolite during longer exposure to plasma, which apparently decreases the rate of NH₃ adsorption for H₂-rich plasmas.

The highest energy yield for plasma-based ammonia synthesis is about 2.3 g-NH₃ kWh⁻¹ for a H₂:N₂ ratio of 1:2 and a plasma power of 6.4 W. This is about a factor of 2 better than the energy yield for steady-state operation, i.e., 1.1 g-NH₃ kWh⁻¹. This improvement is significant and demonstrates the necessity of product removal from plasma-chemical synthesis. The energy consumption of zeolite regeneration is found to be negligible as compared to the plasma energy input (Section S2.6, Supporting Information).

It should be noted that this is still far below the benchmark of 150–200 g-NH₃ kWh⁻¹ required for commercialization of plasma-based ammonia synthesis for small-scale applications (kW range). This benchmark is based on the energy consumption of a small-scale Haber–Bosch ammonia synthesis loop. The highest energy yield so far reported is 37.9 g-NH₃ kWh⁻¹ for plasma-driven ammonia synthesis with a Ru-based catalyst. Note that the goal of this work is not to achieve the highest energy yield but to demonstrate the positive effect of in situ ammonia adsorption.
Figure 2. Example experiment for plasma-based ammonia synthesis with in situ adsorption. Reactor temperature (left axis) and outlet ammonia MS signal (right axis) as a function of time. Oven temperature set point during plasma, 25 °C; total flow rate, 10 mL min⁻¹; H₂:N₂ = 1:4; plasma power, 6.4 W (SIE = 38.4 kJ L⁻¹); zeolite loading, 600 mg (250–300 µm); plasma duration, 67 min; heating rate after plasma-based ammonia synthesis, 25 °C min⁻¹; pure N₂, 10 mL min⁻¹.

Figure 3. Effect of plasma duration on the amount of ammonia adsorbed on the zeolite. (a) Thermal ammonia TPD signal after ammonia adsorption in absence of plasma (2.0 mol % NH₃ in N₂, 10 mL min⁻¹). Ammonia TPD signal after plasma-based ammonia synthesis with in situ removal (total flow rate, 10 mL min⁻¹; H₂:N₂ = 1:4; plasma power, 6.4 W for various plasma durations). Ammonia desorption in absence of plasma in pure N₂ at 10 mL min⁻¹; heating rate, 25 °C min⁻¹. (b) Effect of plasma duration on the amount of ammonia desorbed for various H₂:N₂ ratios during plasma.
Plasma-Zeolite Interactions. It cannot be ruled out that the zeolite also influences the chemical reactions taking place in the reactor. Zeolites dissociate neither N2 nor H2 and have no activity for ammonia synthesis under thermal conditions. Nevertheless, the external zeolite surface may interact with H and N radicals in the plasma, possibly enabling reactions between activated species in the plasma with adsorbed radicals. However, the differences between operation with unsaturated zeolite and the steady-state performance after reaching saturation are clearly due to the effect of ammonia adsorption.

The macroscopic zeolite particles sized with radii between 125 and 150 μm contain internal pores between the primary zeolite particles smaller than 0.1 μm, while the zeolite cages have even smaller sizes in the subnanometer range. Plasma cannot form inside pores with diameters below typically 1−10 μm. According to Paschen’s law, the breakdown voltage is determined by the product of the electrode distance and the pressure, so that plasma cannot form in submicrometer pores.

Plasma radicals such as N and NH formed outside pores may penetrate into pores via diffusion up to typically 1 μm, based on the lifetime of such species and their diffusivity. The resulting penetration depth is typically 1 μm for plasma radicals, much smaller than the radius of the zeolite 4A particles of 125−150 μm, as used in this work. Thus, the vast majority of the zeolites pores are not accessible for plasma species. Therefore, adsorbed ammonia is not in contact with the plasma and thereby protected against the plasma. The ammonia concentration in the intraparticle spaces is decreased from typically 1.3% to about 0.15% (Figure 1), diminishing ammonia decomposition by the plasma through, for instance, electron-impact dissociation, an important pathway for ammonia decomposition in a DBD reactor. In conclusion, the higher ammonia production by in situ ammonia removal operation as compared to steady-state operation can be attributed to decreased ammonia decomposition in the plasma phase.

Effect of Heating. As shown in Figure 3a, the total ammonia desorbed from the zeolite after plasma-based ammonia synthesis (2.4 mmol-NH3 g−1) is lower than in the case of ammonia TPD after adsorption of ammonia at ambient temperature and for 2 mol % NH3 in N2 at atmospheric temperature and for 2 mol % NH3 in N2 at atmospheric
pressure (6.3 mmol-NH$_3$ g$^{-1}$). For the thermal ammonia TPD study, two peaks can be identified: the first at 200 °C and the second at 400 °C. The peak at 400 °C is attributed to strongly bound ammonia through electrostatic attraction between Na$^+$ and the lone electron pair of ammonia. The peak at 200 °C is attributed to physisorbed NH$_3$. Exclusively, the peak at 400 °C is observed after plasma-based ammonia synthesis. This indicates that only strongly bound ammonia is detected, while physisorbed ammonia is not observed. This suggests that the zeolite is heated, preventing physisorption of ammonia. Plasmas contain highly energetic species, and heat is dissipated by collisions with the surface as well as by recombination of plasma species.

To test the hypothesis of reactor heating, the temperature at the outside of the plasma reactor was measured for various plasma durations using a thermocouple (Section S1.4, Supporting Information). The temperature of the oven was regulated at 25 °C. As shown in Figure 5, the measured temperature at the outside of the reactor increases upon plasma illumination, stabilizing at about 57 °C. This confirms that the plasma heats the reactor. It should be noted that the temperature inside the plasma reactor is probably higher than outside the plasma reactor. As follows from the adsorption isotherm for zeolite 4A (Figure S6), a temperature of about 145 °C is required to attain an ammonia capacity of 2.4 mmol-NH$_3$ g$^{-1}$ for 1.3 mol % ammonia in the reactor outlet.

### STRATEGIES FOR IN SITU PRODUCT REMOVAL FROM PLASMA-CHEMICAL REACTORS

In this work, we show the beneficial effect of product removal from the plasma phase for ammonia synthesis. This concept could be more widely applicable for plasma-chemical and plasma-catalytic reactions, as decomposition of products is probably a general concern in plasma catalysis. In fact, the reverse reaction in the plasma phase was also demonstrated for CO$_2$ splitting, methane coupling, and dry reforming of methane. Hereafter, we briefly address strategies for product removal from the plasma phase.

Product absorption in, or adsorption on, solid materials is commercially applied in the chemical industry, such as for removal of heavy hydrocarbons from natural gas, as well as for removal of H$_2$O, CO, and CO$_2$ from gas streams. Furthermore, sorption-enhanced processes have been proposed for CO$_2$ removal during reforming reactions and during the water gas shift reaction. In the current work, we demonstrated the use of zeolites for ammonia removal. Likewise, Peng et al. showed that MgCl$_2$ can be used to absorb ammonia during plasma-chemical ammonia synthesis. A drawback of this is the fact that MgCl$_2$ is converted to MgN$_2$ in the plasma to an important extent, deactivating the sorbent and releasing Cl$_2$ gas. The zeolite used in the current work is chemically stable allowing for multiple adsorption–desorption cycles. A solid material can also be used as a sacrificial material. For example, we propose that surplus oxygen from the CO$_2$ splitting reaction can be removed by oxidation of a metal, thereby preventing the oxidation of CO to CO$_2$. Reactor configurations that can be used in a DBD reactor include a fixed bed configuration and a trickle bed configuration. A fluidized bed configuration may be used in, for instance, a gliding arc reactor, although operating this does not seem trivial.

The product can also be removed from the plasma phase by using a membrane. For example, Hayakawa et al. demonstrated hydrogen production from ammonia decomposition in a plasma reactor with and without a membrane. It was observed that the hydrogen yield was almost doubled upon using a membrane for hydrogen removal from the plasma reactor, apparently limiting the backward reaction, in this case ammonia synthesis. Lastly, the product can be removed in the liquid phase, either by absorbing in a liquid medium or by condensation of the product. Product removal by absorption in a liquid medium was recently demonstrated for nitrogen fixation. Gorbanev et al. and Hawtof et al. contacted a nitrogen and steam plasma jet with liquid water, thereby producing ammonia and nitrogen oxides, which were subsequently absorbed in the liquid. Furthermore, Wang et al. produced oxygenates from CO$_2$ and H$_2$ in a dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor. Upon cooling the reactor wall with a water jacket, methanol and ethanol were liquefied, thereby limiting product decomposition. This resulted in a higher oxygenate yield as compared to the configuration without water cooling.

### CONCLUSION

In this work, we show that in situ adsorption of ammonia with a zeolite during plasma-chemical ammonia synthesis increases the ammonia yield as compared to steady-state plasma-based ammonia synthesis. This can be attributed to ammonia adsorption on the zeolite, thereby suppressing ammonia decomposition in the plasma phase. This improves the energy yield for ammonia synthesis by a factor of 2, for example, from 1.1 to 2.3 g-NH$_3$ kWh$^{-1}$. However, this is still far below the benchmark of 150–200 g-NH$_3$ kWh$^{-1}$ required for commercialization of plasma-based ammonia synthesis for small-scale applications (kW range). As plasmas cannot penetrate the pores of the zeolite, surface-adsorbed ammonia inside the zeolite pores is protected from reactive plasma species. However, plasma-induced heating reduces the sorbent capacity.

In situ product removal from the plasma phase is of interest for plasma conversions, in general. Therefore, we propose various strategies for product removal from the plasma phase, including product liquefaction, membrane separation, and the use of absorbent or adsorbents.
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