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Hadi Alidoustaghdam*, Yang Miao and André Kokkeler
Radio Systems group, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Twente
Enschede, the Netherlands
✉: hadi.alidoustaghdam@utwente.nl

Abstract—In this paper, we design interleaved transmitter (Tx) and receiver (Rx) apertures co-located in one planar array for enabling joint communication and sensing. A fixed number of antennas in a rectangular configuration is allocable for the apertures, and we use a genetic algorithm to determine the allocation. We assume that the Tx aperture transmits one beam to an active communication user (downlink communication of time division duplex) and another beam for sensing the passive target, and the Rx aperture receives backscattered sensing signals simultaneously (full duplex). The array apertures’ design criteria are based on the effect of interference mitigation when using linear beamforming. The optimal solution is determined when the side lobes for the beams are minimized at different scanning angles in the field of view. Our numerical results indicate that the near-optimal antenna allocation for the apertures does not perform uniformly for all desired beam directions, but yet, we could conclude a near-optimal solution based on the least discrepancy between the sidelobe suppression effects for the aperture patterns.

Index Terms—6G, joint communication and sensing, planar array apertures, interference mitigation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The evolution toward 6G is featured by joint communication and sensing (JCAS) [1], [2]. To leverage advanced communication capabilities for sensing and to integrate innovative sensing into communication systems, fundamental changes to communication systems are required, both in hardware (transceiver, antenna array, etc.) design [3] and signal processing [4], wherein the antenna array geometry/configuration is crucial for the spatial resolution of radio signals and hence the performance of both sensing [5] and communication [6].

Due to the long communication frames and the necessity for a perpetual sensing of the surrounding environment, in-band full-duplex (FD) JCAS is proposed [7] where communication and sensing operate simultaneously. In a simplified scenario, a transmitter (Tx) aperture generates two beams toward a communication user and a sensing target, whilst a receiver (Rx) aperture has a single beam toward the sensing target. The radiation patterns of the Tx and Rx apertures, when linear beamforming is adopted while guaranteeing the minimum interference among the patterns, rely on the geometries of arrays. It is discussed in [7] that the uniform linear co-located Tx and Rx apertures suffer from undesired mirror beams. A random displacement of array elements suppresses these mirror beams.

Designing proper co-located apertures for the transmitter and receiver does not only influence the performance of JCAS but also ensures an efficient spatial usage, e.g., the interleaved shared apertures. The shared aperture designs have been discussed in [8]–[10]. The intertwined Tx subarrays for dual function of radar and communication have been studied in [8] where the problem is formulated for a linear array design via convex optimization. In [9], designing sparse subarrays for multitask receivers has been investigated also via convex optimization.

Beyond the above-mentioned literature, this paper investigates the allocation of a limited number of antennas in a rectangular planar array for forming communication and sensing beams in various 3D directions in its field of view. The null-space pro-
projection matrix is used to guarantee the minimum interference between the Tx/Rx apertures. As a first step, the performance metrics for both communication and sensing are the side lobe level suppression in Tx/Rx aperture patterns. Considering the inherent non-convexity of the optimization problem, a genetic algorithm (GA) is used, where the GA has been customized for array topology design [11], array thinning [12] and also shared aperture design for a dual-band radar [10].
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Fig. 1; the angles denote the desired beam focusing respectively in the local coordinate of the array Tx and Rx apertures. The Tx aperture with array are divided, possibly non-uniformly, for the N \( N \) array thinning [12] and also shared aperture design beam steering vector [13] for a phased array with target, whereas the Rx aperture with genetic algorithm (GA) is used, where the GA has non-convexity of the optimization problem, a communication user and another beam toward the sensing target.

Let us consider a scenario as in Fig. 1 where \( N_x \times N_y \) antenna elements in a rectangular planar array are divided, possibly non-uniformly, for the Tx and Rx apertures. The Tx aperture with \( N_{Tx} \) antennas generates one beam toward the communication user and another beam toward the sensing target, whereas the Rx aperture with \( N_{Rx} \) antennas has a single beam scanning for sensing signals. The beam steering vector [13] for a phased array with \( N \) isotropic antennas is defined as:

\[
a(\phi, \theta) = [e^{j\beta(x_1u+y_1v)}, \ldots, e^{j\beta(x_Nu+y_Nv)}]^T, \tag{1}
\]

where \( u = \cos \phi \sin \theta, v = \sin \phi \sin \theta \) in which \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) are the azimuth and co-elevation angles respectively in the local coordinate of the array whose origin is at the array center as is shown in Fig. 1; the angles denote the desired beam focusing direction. \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) in (1) are the coordinates of the \( i \)-th antenna. Assuming narrowband beamforming, \( \beta \) denotes the wave-number at the center frequency of antennas.

Using linear beamforming, the weights for the antennas \( w_t \) at the Tx aperture can be obtained by:

\[
w_t = \frac{\sqrt{\rho a_t(\phi_c, \theta_c)} + \sqrt{1 - \rho a_t(\phi_r, \theta_r)}}{\sqrt{\rho a_t(\phi_c, \theta_c)} + \sqrt{1 - \rho a_t(\phi_r, \theta_r)}}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( a_t \) denotes the steering vector of Tx aperture, \( \zeta_c = (\phi_c, \theta_c) \) is the direction towards the communication user and \( \zeta_r = (\phi_r, \theta_r) \) is the direction towards the sensing target, which are estimated beforehand. The power allocation between the communication beam and sensing beam is controlled by \( 0 < \rho < 1 \) which is chosen 0.5 here. The radiated power from the Tx aperture is:

\[
D_{Tx}^{\phi_c, \zeta_c} (\phi, \theta) = 20 \log_{10} |a_t(\phi, \theta)^T w_t|. \tag{3}
\]

In order to mitigate the mutual interference and nullify the received reflected power from the communication user, one can combine the null space projection matrix and linear beamforming for calculating the weights \( w_r \) for the Rx aperture as [7]:

\[
w_r = \frac{(I - FF^T)a_r(\phi_r, \theta_r)^*}{|| (I - FF^T)a_r(\phi_r, \theta_r) ||}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix, \( F = [H_S w_t^T, a_r(\phi_c, \theta_c)] \), \( F^T \) is the pseudo-inverse for \( F \), \( H_S \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{Rx} \times N_{Tx}} \) denotes the inherent coupling from Tx antennas to Rx antennas which can be obtained by combination of electromagnetic simulations and mathematical calculation as in [6], [14], [15], besides \( a_r(\phi_c, \theta_c) \) and \( a_r(\phi_r, \theta_r) \) are the beam steering vectors in the Rx aperture toward the communication user and the sensing target, respectively. The received power from the Rx aperture by using linear beamforming is:

\[
D_{Rx}^{\phi_c, \zeta_c} (\phi, \theta) = 20 \log_{10} |a_r(\phi, \theta)^T w_r|. \tag{5}
\]

A. Optimization by Genetic Algorithm

A binary genetic algorithm [12] will be employed for dividing antenna elements between Tx and Rx apertures. To decrease the complexity, we consider a symmetric design where only the antennas at the first quarter of the rectangular array are the optimization variables and the other quarters are its mirrored replica. In total, \( N_y \) matrices of \( B \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{Rx} \times N_{Tx}} \)
The algorithm iterates $N_{it}$ times and $\max(f)$ is monitored to assure the convergence of optimization.
Fig. 3. (a) The optimized Tx and Rx apertures, (b) the convergence of $\max(f)$ and (c) the average of side lobes of its radiation pattern where x-axis is the enumeration of $\{\zeta_c, \zeta_r\}$ pairs.

Fig. 3 (a) shows the resultant geometry after the optimization and Fig. 3 (b) indicates the convergence of $\max(f)$. In order to check the performance of this geometry, $S_{\text{sl,Tx}}^{k_{\text{opt},\zeta_c,\zeta_r}}$ and $S_{\text{sl,Rx}}^{k_{\text{opt},\zeta_c,\zeta_r}}$ which are the average of side lobe suppression for the optimum solution $k_{\text{opt}}$, are compared in different angles of $\{\zeta_c, \zeta_r\}$ pairs as in Fig. 3 (c). It is observed that the average side lobe levels in the patterns of Tx and Rx apertures depends on the scanning angles, besides, $S_{\text{sl,Tx}}^{k_{\text{opt},\zeta_c,\zeta_r}}$ and $S_{\text{sl,Rx}}^{k_{\text{opt},\zeta_c,\zeta_r}}$ are not equal. Let us demonstrate the Tx and Rx pattern for some angles as in Figs. 4 (a)-(b). It is observed that side lobe levels in the radiation pattern of the Tx aperture are lower than the side lobe levels of the Rx aperture, these high side lobe levels in the Rx pattern are detrimental for radar detections. The reason is that the weights of the antennas in the Rx aperture are constrained to cancel the interference in addition to beamforming toward the sensing target according to (4), which results in mirror beams for some angles as in the Rx patterns of Figs. 4 (a)-(b).

The number of iterations is $N_{it} = 30$.

of the Rx aperture from the angle of the communication user, we do not consider the cases when $\zeta_c = \zeta_r$, in which the angles of the communication and sensing are overlapping. The number of genes $N_g$ is chosen 60 which half of them are mutated with a rate of $\mu = 0.5$ at each iteration and the

Fig. 4. The radiation patterns of optimized aperture for the angles (a) $(\phi_c, \theta_c) = (120^\circ, 30^\circ)$, $(\phi_r, \theta_r) = (90^\circ, 30^\circ)$ and (b) $(\phi_c, \theta_c) = (120^\circ, 30^\circ)$, $(\phi_r, \theta_r) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ)$. The colorbars are in dB.
To control side lobe levels in the patterns of Rx aperture, one can add a tuning parameter $-1 < \alpha < 1$ to the $S_{sl,C_T,\zeta_{R}} = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}S_{sl,Tx}^{k,\zeta_{C_T},\zeta_{R}} + \frac{1+\alpha}{2}S_{sl,Rx}^{k,\zeta_{C_T},\zeta_{R}}$ which controls the average side lobe levels of Tx and Rx apertures. The optimum solutions are obtained for different $\alpha$s and shown in Figs. 5 (a)-(d), when $\alpha = +0.5$ which resulted in $N_{TX} = 20$ and $N_{RX} = 44$, the Rx pattern has higher side lobe suppression as in Figs. 5 (b), however when $\alpha = -0.5$ which resulted in $N_{TX} = 40$ and $N_{RX} = 24$, the Tx pattern has higher side lobe suppression, therefore considering the communication and active sensing via this aperture, the case of $\alpha = 0$ which resulted in the equal antenna allocation $N_{TX} = N_{RX} = 32$ and fair average side lobe suppression ($|S_{sl,Tx}^{k_{opt},\zeta_{C_T},\zeta_{R}} - S_{sl,Rx}^{k_{opt},\zeta_{C_T},\zeta_{R}}| < 3$ dB) as in Fig. 3 (c), is still the best choice.

III. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the interleaved transmit and receive apertures are designed for integrating communication and sensing functionalities. With the assumptions of fixed number of antenna elements and fixed aperture size of a planar array, a genetic algorithm is used to determine a near-optimal global allocation of antennas, to mitigate interference between the transmitting communication and sensing beams, and the receiving focusing direction (beam).

In the numerical results, we showed that the side lobe suppression of Rx beam pattern can be outweighed to Tx beams’ patterns through a tuning parameter, however the scenario of TDD communication and fullduplex sensing needs an equal side lobe suppression for both apertures. Wherein, the case when $N_{TX} = N_{RX} = 32$ has the best performance since the maximum difference of the average side lobe suppression between the Tx and Rx apertures’ patterns is only 3 dB. Besides, the average sidelobe suppression (in power levels) of this geometry differs for different beam focusing directions, varying from 15.5 dB to 20 dB.
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