

Regional Academic Networks, capacity building and knowledge sharing
Dimo TODOROVSKI (Netherlands) and Jennifer WHITTAL (South Africa)

Abstract

Networks of academic, educational and training institutions are proven platforms for knowledge sharing, exchange experiences in education and capacity development. Capacity building in the area of education is getting appropriate attention and becomes one of the core elements of up-to-date and quality education. The objective of these networks could be considered as: a stage to perform activities that can support capacity development, exchange experiences and increase knowledge in the areas of geodesy, surveying, geoinformatics, geo-information sciences and earth observation, geo-information management, land administration etc. This paper aims to explore and elaborate the nexus between academic networks, capacity building and knowledge sharing.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Academic networks, educational institutions and training organizations are proven programs for knowledge sharing, exchange experiences in education and capacity development. Examples of such networks in our “Geo” domain are UN-HABITAT Global Land Tool Network – GLTN, Eastern Africa Land Administration Network – EALAN, Latin America Land Administration Network - LALAN and others. The objective of these networks could be to: perform activities that can support capacity development, exchange experiences and increase knowledge in the areas of geodesy, surveying, geo-information sciences and earth observation, geo-information management, land administration etc.

Capacity development is a key issue related to development policies and the sustainability of any measures of development. In 2014, the GLTN capacity development strategy articulated the objectives concerning capacity development and expressed the necessity to put together teams and build entities not only in the content and process of land tools, but also in local context and knowledge, and in training and learning practices. ‘Traditionally, capacity development focused on the short term through staff development through formal education and training programs to meet the lack of qualified personnel. But capacity development measures must be seen in the wider context of developing and maintaining institutional infrastructure in a sustainable way. Only then can capacity needs be met and adequate responses to the societal, organizational, and individual level be made.’ (Williamson, et. al., 2010).

On many occasions, the potential of online training and education as well as e-Learning has been proven as an appropriate methodology for knowledge sharing and providing online education (e.g. FIG Publication 46: Enhancing Surveying Education through e-Learning). With the outbreak of the COVID pandemic, almost all activities of work and education were transformed in a short time online using different platforms for small/medium/big meetings, workshops, classes, practical’s and exams. In parallel with fully online work and education, a need arose for a blended (a mix of online and face-to-face) performance. In the times of relaxation of the COVID measures, the blended method is still present. Like all other work and education methods, this has pros and cons. Examples of pros are: education from any part of the world with internet connections; number of students can be scalable; if lectures are recorded students can watch them in their best suited time; micro-lectures have a bigger effect; useful in cases of a pandemic, etc. Examples of cons are: performing practical’s (like field surveying) is a challenge, the examination has some limitations, activating students doesn't work optimal online, usually students behind the screen are not in the focus of the teacher, etc. (Todorovski, 2020).

The blended work and education style regard future performance and development of academic networks could benefit from lessons learned in the education. For example meetings/workshops for knowledge sharing and experiences in latest curriculum developments and exchange of latest publications and research (MSc, PhD, etc.) could be organized in the blended setup. In a same manner capacity development activities could be organized for more entities/members from the network with support of available technology and online platforms. However, when planning and implementing such activities it is important to have in mind higher mentioned pros and cons.

The aim of this paper is to explore and elaborate the nexus between academic networks, capacity building and knowledge sharing. In Chapter 2 developing and straightening of academic networks is addressed. Capacity development in education is elaborated in Chapter

3. Knowledge sharing with examples from one case are discussed in Chapter 4 and finally the paper draws conclusions in Chapter 5.

2.0 DEVELOPING AND STRENGTHENING ACADEMIC NETWORKS

As shown from the previous experiences, networks of academic, educational and training institutions are proven stages for knowledge sharing, exchange experiences in education and capacity development. Examples of such networks are UN-HABITAT Global Land Tool Network – GLTN, Eastern Africa Land Administration Network – EALAN, Latin America Land Administration Network (LALAN) and others. The objective of these networks could be: to perform activities that can support capacity development, exchange experiences and increase knowledge in the areas of geodesy, surveying, geoinformatics, geo-information sciences and earth observation, geo-information management, land administration etc. As Faculty ITC from University of Twente, the Netherland, had and still has specific role in developing and strengthening all these academic networks here follows some good practices that could be beneficial for other networks as well.

For ITC, capacity development implies building capacity in domain-specific knowledge, skills and attitude at the individual and institutional/societal levels. ITC therefore aims to achieve both individual and collaborative capacity building. This development reflects what happens in modern societies with a strong private sector. Individual capacity development aims will be addressed through education (ITC, 2019). ITC has long experience in capacity development in both academic/education and public/governmental sectors. This done via Masters Programmes, Postgraduate Diploma programme, Short Courses, Tailor Made Trainings and Refresher courses. Capacity development projects in education are at the same time promoted and financially supported by European Union via Horizon Europe (known from the past as EU2020) and Erasmus + funded projects. Here, a specific attention is put on the quality and appropriately constructed consortiums of universities from Europe and other developing countries – which in a way is a network within the project itself. In addition, in the last decade establishment of academic networks was proven as a fruitful platform for knowledge and experience sharing in the level of academia and education. Examples of these collaborations can be seen via the work of UN-HABITAT GLTN (<https://glt.net/>), Arab Land Initiative (<https://arabstates.glt.net/>) EALAN (<https://ealan-network.org/>), NEGLA, etc. Faculty ITC has active role in the work of the here listed networks.

3.0 CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT

Capacity development is a crucial topic related to sustainable development. In 2014, the GLTN capacity development strategy expressed the objectives concerning capacity development and stressed the necessity to bring together teams and build entities not only in the content and process of land tools, but also in local context and knowledge, and in training and learning practices.

Capacity development is a key issue related to development policies and the sustainability of any measures of development. Since the *Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness*¹ in 2005, many countries recognized that “the capacity to plan, manage, implement, and account for results of policies and programmes, is critical for achieving development objectives”. With the following

¹ Paris Declaration of Aid Effectiveness (2005)

*Accra Agenda for Action*² in 2008, they recognized that “without robust capacity – strong institutions, systems, and local expertise – developing countries cannot fully own and manage their development processes” and therefore they committed themselves to strengthen capacity development. The process of capacity development is in itself an endogenous process. In 2014, the GLTN capacity development strategy articulated the objectives in relation to capacity development and expressed the necessity to put together teams and build entities not only in the content and process of land tools, but also in local context and knowledge, and in training and learning practices. In this perspective, GLTN positions itself as a “catalyst, bridge builder and facilitator of the necessary linkages, methodologies and learning partnerships for action” and looks for partnerships with in-country organisations that “represent and articulate the contextual information, perspectives, experiences and positions on land”. ‘Capacity development is one of the key issues for designers of land administration systems. Traditionally, capacity development focused on the short term by means of staff development through formal education and training programs to meet the lack of qualified personnel. But capacity development measures must be seen in the wider context of developing and maintaining institutional infrastructure in a sustainable way. Only then can capacity needs be met and adequate responses to the societal, organisational, and individual level be made.’ (Williamson, et. al., 2010).

Levels and dimensions of Capacity Development

Capacity Development relates to three levels: societal level, organisational level and individual level. These levels relate to their application of capacity in society and have been identified as follows:

- The broader system/societal level. The highest level within which capacity initiatives may be cast is the system or enabling environment level. For development initiatives that are national in context the system would cover the entire country or society and all subcomponents that are involved. For initiatives at a sectoral level, the system would include only those components that are relevant.
- The entity/organisational level. An entity may be a formal organisation such as government or one of its departments or agencies, a private sector operation, or an informal organisation such as a community based or volunteer organisation. At this level, successful methodologies examine all dimensions of capacity, including its interactions within the system, other entities, stakeholders, and clients.
- The group-of-people/individual level. This level addresses the need for individuals to function efficiently and effectively within the entity and within the broader system. Human Resource Development is about assessing the capacity needs and addressing the gaps through adequate measures of education and training (FIG, 2008).

European Union (EU) continuously acknowledges the importance and the value of capacity development. There are funds available for this every consecutive year, and here a good examples are Horizon Europe and Erasmus+ (https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/erasmus-plus/node_en).

4.0 KNOWLEDGE SHARING

On many occasions, the potential of online training and education as well as e-Learning has been proven as an appropriate methodology for knowledge sharing and providing online education (e.g. FIG Publication 46: Enhancing Surveying Education through e-Learning). With the outbreak of the COVID pandemic, almost all activities of work and education were

² Accra Agenda for Action (2008)

transformed in a short time online using different platforms for small/medium/big meetings, workshops, classes, practical's and exams. In parallel with fully online work and education, a need arose for a blended (a mix of online and face-to-face) performance. In the times of relaxation of the COVID measures, the blended method is still present nowadays. Like all other work and education methods, this has pros and cons. Examples of pros are: education from every part of the world with internet connections; number of students can be scalable; if lectures are recorded students can watch them in their best suited time; micro-lectures have a bigger effect; useful in cases of a pandemic, etc. Examples of cons are: performing practical's (like field surveying) is a challenge, the examination has some limitations, activating students doesn't work fine online, usually students behind the screen are not in the focus of the teacher, etc. (Todorovski, 2020).

The blended work and education style regard future performance and development of academic networks could benefit from lessons learned in the education. For example meetings/workshops for knowledge sharing and experiences in latest curriculum developments and exchange of latest publications and research (MSc, PhD, etc.) could be organized in the blended setup. In a same manner capacity development activities could be organized for more entities/members from the network with support of available technology and online platforms. However, when planning and implementing such activities it is important to have in mind higher mentioned pros and cons.

Example from practice: In the midst of COVID pandemic lockdowns, social media has played an important role in communicating resources for continuing professional development. The Africa Regional Network (ARN) Facebook platform @FIGARN shares links to online resources such as MOOCs and training sessions, especially those hosted by organizations with relationships with FIG such as FIG Corporate members and FIG partners. Many corporates refocused their way of communicating with clients by embracing these technologies. The increased online and free offerings have helped surveyors in Africa to obtain world-class training and exposure to new technologies. Embracing new ways of continuing professional development will serve to reduce the digital divide – it is an exciting new world and one in which African practitioners in remote locations need not be left behind.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this paper was articulated as: to explore and elaborate the nexus between academic networks, capacity building and knowledge sharing. In order to achieve this aim, firstly the topic of: developing and straightening of academic networks was addressed. In continuation the capacity development in education has been elaborated in the following section. Thereafter, knowledge sharing with examples from one case were discussed.

In conclusion we can confirm that the relationship exists between academic networks capacity building and knowledge sharing. Academic networks are proven platforms for knowledge sharing, exchange experiences in education and capacity development. Development of new and strengthening of existing academic networks is recommendable with attention to avoid overlap and duplication of networks and activities previously established in the same regions. As capacity building in education is getting an appropriate attention, here, knowledge sharing and building the capacities can be facilitated via maximizing the use of new technologies within e-Learning and with support of academic networks.

References

ITC, (2019). About ITC, ITC capacity development landing page. Retrieved from: <https://www.itc.nl/about-itc/#capacity-development> on 16.05.2022.

FIG, (2008). Capacity assessment and land administration, by Enemark, S. and van der Molen, P., International Federation of Surveyors, FIG and FAO, p.37, FIG Publication 41, Copenhagen, Denmark

Todorovski, D. (2020). *Blended learning: lessons from our experience regard response to Covid19; Faculty ITC University of Twente*. s1-s7. FIG Commission 7 Annual Conference, available online (accessed on 6.09.2021): https://fig.net/organisation/comm/7/activities/events/Online_2020/ppt/todorovski.pdf

Williamson, Enemark, Wallace, and Rajabifard, (2010). Land Administration for Sustainable Development, ESRI Press Academic, Redlands, California.

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Dimo Todorovski, is Portfolio Holder Education of PGM department and M-GEO Masters coordinator of Geo-information Management for Land Administration specialization at Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), the University of Twente, in Enschede, the Netherlands, and he is FIG Commission 2 Chair Working Group 2.1: Developing Academic Networks (term 2019-2022). Dimo is also FIG Commission 2 Chair Elect (2023-2026). He holds a PhD from University of Twente and he obtained MSc degree in Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation at ITC, in 2006. Over the 19 years of professional engagement (1992-2011) in the Agency for Real Estate Cadastre in the Republic of Macedonia, last 12 years were on different managerial positions (Digitizing, GIS and Geo-ICT departments), and the final year he was Head of the Department for International Cooperation and European Integrations. His research interest focuses on land administration and land governance, and on land administration in post-conflict contexts.

Jennifer Whittal is a Professor in the Geomatics Division at the University of Cape Town. She obtained a B.Sc. (Surveying) and a M.Sc. (Engineering) specializing in GNSS from the University of Cape Town. In 2008, Jenny obtained her Ph.D from the University of Calgary applying critical realism, systems theory and mixed methods to a case of fiscal cadastral systems reform. She is a Professional Land Surveyor and lectures advanced surveying and land law. Research interests are land tenure and cadastral systems, sustainable development and resilience in landholding for the poor, historical property holding, and cadastral issues in the coastal zone.

CONTACTS

Dimo Todorovski

University of Twente Faculty for Geo-Information Sciences and Earth Observation – ITC
Department of Urban and Region Planning and Geo-Information Management
P.O. Box 217
7500 AE Enschede,

THE NETHERLANDS

Tel: +31 (0)53 4874329

Fax: +31 (0)53 4874575

d.todorovski@utwente.nl

web site: www.itc.nl

Jennifer Whittal

School of Architecture, Planning and Geomatics, University of Cape Town

Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701

Tel: +27 (0) 21 650 3575

jennifer.whittal@uct.ac.za

Internet: www.geomatics.uct.ac.za