

THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY FOR WEIGHTED SPACES OF DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

KARSTEN KRUSE

*Institute of Mathematics, Hamburg University of Technology
Am Schwarzenberg-Campus 3, 21073 Hamburg, Germany
E-mail: karsten.kruse@tuhh.de*

Abstract. We study spaces $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ of k -times continuously partially differentiable functions on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with values in a locally convex Hausdorff space E . The space $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ is given a weighted topology generated by a family of weights \mathcal{V}^k . For the space $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and its subspace $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ of functions that vanish at infinity in the weighted topology we try to answer the question whether their elements can be approximated by functions with values in a finite dimensional subspace. We derive sufficient conditions for an affirmative answer to this question using the theory of tensor products.

1. Introduction. This paper is dedicated to the following problem: *Which vector-valued k -times continuously partially differentiable functions can be approximated in a weighted topology by functions with values in a finite dimensional subspace?* The answer to this question is closely related to the theory of tensor products and the so-called approximation property. A locally convex Hausdorff space X is said to have (Schwartz') approximation property if the identity I_X on X is contained in the closure of $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ in $L_\kappa(X)$ where $L_\kappa(X)$ denotes the space of continuous linear operators from X to X equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the absolutely convex compact subsets of X and $\mathfrak{F}(X)$ its subspace of operators with finite rank.

The case $k = 0$ is well-studied. In [1], [2] and [3] Bierstedt considered the space $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega, E)$ of all continuous functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow E$ from a completely regular Hausdorff space Ω to a locally convex Hausdorff space $(E, (p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}})$ over a field \mathbb{K} with a topology

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46E40, 46A32; Secondary 46E10.

Key words and phrases: approximation property, tensor product, differentiable, weight, vector-valued.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

induced by a Nachbin-family $\mathcal{V} := (\nu_j)_{j \in J}$ of weights, i.e. the space

$$\mathcal{CV}(\Omega, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, E) \mid \forall j \in J, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : |f|_{j,\alpha} < \infty\}$$

where $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, E) := \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega, E)$ is the space of continuous functions from Ω to E and

$$|f|_{j,\alpha} := \sup_{x \in \Omega} p_\alpha(f(x))\nu_j(x).$$

Recall that a family $\mathcal{V} := (\nu_j)_{j \in J}$ of non-negative functions $\nu_j: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a Nachbin-family of weights if the functions ν_j are upper semi-continuous and the family is directed, i.e. for every $j, i \in J$ there are $k \in J$ and $C > 0$ such that $\max(\nu_i, \nu_j) \leq C\nu_k$. The notion $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ for two Nachbin-families means that for every $\mu \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $\nu \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mu \leq \nu$.

From the perspective of our problem the space $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega, E)$ has an interesting topological subspace, namely, the space $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, E)$ consisting of the functions that vanish at infinity when weighted which is given by

$$\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{CV}(\Omega, E) \mid \forall \varepsilon > 0, j \in J, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} \exists K \subset \Omega \text{ compact} : |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, \alpha} < \varepsilon\}$$

where

$$|f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, \alpha} := \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K} p_\alpha(f(x))\nu_j(x).$$

One of the main results from [2] solves our problem for $k = 0$, Nachbin-families of weights and involves $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces. A completely regular space Ω is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space if for any completely regular space Y and any map $f: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ whose restriction to each compact $K \subset \Omega$ is continuous the map is already continuous on Ω (see [5, (2.3.7) Proposition, p. 22]). Obviously, every locally compact Hausdorff space is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space. Further examples of $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces are metrisable spaces by [13, Proposition 11.5, p. 181] and [8, 3.3.20, 3.3.21 Theorem, p. 152] as well as strong duals of Fréchet–Montel spaces by [9, Proposition 3.27, p. 95] and [16, 4.11 Theorem, p. 39].

THEOREM 1.1 ([2, 5.5 Theorem, p. 205–206]). *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space, Ω a completely regular Hausdorff space and \mathcal{V} a Nachbin-family on Ω such that one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (i) $\mathcal{Z} := \{v: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid v \text{ constant}, v \geq 0\} \leq \mathcal{V}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{W} := \{\mu\chi_K \mid \mu > 0, K \subset \Omega \text{ compact}\} \leq \mathcal{V}$, where $\chi_K: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic function of K , and Ω is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space.

Then the following holds.

- a) $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, E)$.
- b) If E is complete, then

$$\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega)_\varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E.$$

- c) $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega)$ has the approximation property.

Here $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega) \otimes E$ stands for the tensor product, $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ for the completion of the injective tensor product and $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega)_\varepsilon E := L_e(\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega)'_\kappa, E)$ for the ε -product of Schwartz of the spaces $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega) := \mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ and E . Part a) gives an affirmative answer to our

question for the space $\mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, E)$ since it implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, $j \in J$ and $f \in \mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega, E)$ there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n \in \mathcal{CV}_0(\Omega)$ and $e_n \in E$, $1 \leq n \leq m$, such that

$$\left| f - \sum_{n=1}^m f_n e_n \right|_{j, \alpha} < \varepsilon.$$

Concerning $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega, E)$, the answer to our question is not that satisfying but still affirmative if we make some restrictions on E . If E has the approximation property, then $E \otimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$ is dense in $E \varepsilon \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$. Due to the symmetries $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega) \otimes_\varepsilon E \cong E \otimes_\varepsilon \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega) \varepsilon E \cong E \varepsilon \mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$, we infer that $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega) \otimes_\varepsilon E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega) \varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{CV}(\Omega, E)$ if E is a semi-Montel space with approximation property and $\mathcal{Z} \leq \mathcal{V}$ or Ω is a $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space by [3, 2.12 Satz (1), p. 141]. A second condition for an affirmative answer without supposing that E has the approximation property but putting more restrictions on $\mathcal{CV}(\Omega)$ can be found in [3, 2.12 Satz (2), p. 141].

We aim to prove a version of Bierstedt’s theorem for spaces of weighted continuously partially differentiable functions. To the best of our knowledge the approximation problem was not considered in a general setting for $k > 0$ and open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. to derive sufficient conditions on the weights and the spaces such that the answer is positive. For special cases with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ like the Schwartz space an affirmative answer was already given in e.g. [21, Proposition 9, p. 108] and [21, Théorème 1, p. 111]. For the space of k -times continuously partially differentiable functions on open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with the topology of uniform convergence of all partial derivatives up to order k on compact sets a positive answer can be found in e.g. [23, Proposition 44.2, p. 448] and [23, Theorem 44.1, p. 449]. Let us consider for a moment the latter space and the corresponding proof given by Trèves in [23]. The space $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ of k -times continuously partially differentiable functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω if $k = 0$, resp. open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ if $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, is equipped with the system of seminorms given by

$$q_{K,l,\alpha}(f) := \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha(\partial^\beta f(x)), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E), \tag{1}$$

for $K \subset \Omega$ compact, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq l \leq k$ if $k < \infty$, and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. For $E = \mathbb{K}$ we fix the notion $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega) := \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, \mathbb{K})$ and denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega)$ the space of all functions in $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega)$ having compact support. Trèves’ affirmative answer to our question has the following form.

THEOREM 1.2 ([23, Proposition 44.2, p. 448] and [23, Theorem 44.1, p. 449]). *Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and Ω a locally compact Hausdorff space if $k = 0$, resp. an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d if $k > 0$. Then the following is true.*

- a) $\mathcal{C}_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}^0(\Omega, E)$.
- b) $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$.
- c) If E is complete, then

$$\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E.$$

We observe that $\mathcal{CW}(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CW}_0(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{C}^0(\Omega, E)$ equipped with the usual topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of Ω which means that Theorem 1.1 contains the case $k = 0$ of the preceding theorem since locally compact Hausdorff spaces

are $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -spaces. The proofs of Theorem 1.1 a) and Theorem 1.2 a) are done by using different partitions of unity, the first uses the partition of unity from [20, 23, Lemma 2, p. 71] and the second the one from [4, Chap. IX, §4.3, Corollary, p. 186]. The key idea for the proof of Theorem 1.2 b) is an approximation in three steps relying on part a) and convolution. First, for every $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ there is an approximation $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ of f by multiplication of f with a suitable cut-off function. Second, for every $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ the convolution $\tilde{f} * \rho_n$ of \tilde{f} with a sequence (ρ_n) of mollifiers in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)$ converges to \tilde{f} in $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, \widehat{E})$ where \widehat{E} denotes the completion of E (approximation by regularisation). Third, for every $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ there is an approximation $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$ in the topology of $\mathcal{C}^0(\Omega, E)$ by part a). Using the properties of the convolution, one gets that $g * \rho_n \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ and approximates $\tilde{f} * \rho_n$ for n large enough in $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, \widehat{E})$ which itself is identical to the completion of $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$.

The outline of our paper is along the lines of Trèves' proof. After introducing some notation and preliminaries in Section 2, we define the weighted spaces $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ in Section 3 and show that they are complete if the family of weights \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded away from zero (see Definition 3.6). Then we treat their relation to the space $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ of functions in $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ with compact support where the condition of local boundedness of a family of weights comes into play (see Definition 3.8). We formulate a cut-off criterion (see Definition 3.10) which is a sufficient condition for the density of $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ for locally bounded \mathcal{V}^k . We close the third section with the relation between tensor products and our problem on finite dimensional approximation. In Section 4 we define the convolution $f * g$ of $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when one of them is compactly supported and prove an approximation by regularisation result. In the last section we verify the corresponding part a) of Theorem 1.2 for $\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega, E)$ with locally compact Ω where we adapt the proof of Theorem 1.1 a) in a way that we can use the partition of unity from [4, Chap. IX, §4.3, Corollary, p. 186] instead and weaken the condition of upper semi-continuity of the weights to being locally bounded and locally bounded away from zero. Then we mix all ingredients to get our main Theorem 5.2 which is a version of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 for barrelled $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ with a family of weights \mathcal{V}^k being locally bounded and locally bounded away from zero if $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ fulfils the cut-off criterion.

2. Notation and preliminaries. We set $\mathbb{N}_\infty := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0,\infty} := \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ we use the notation $\langle k \rangle := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid 0 \leq n \leq k\}$ if $k \neq \infty$ and $\langle k \rangle := \mathbb{N}_0$ if $k = \infty$. We equip the spaces \mathbb{R}^d , $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and \mathbb{C} with the usual Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$, write \overline{M} for the closure of a subset $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and denote by $\mathbb{B}_r(x) := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |w - x| < r\}$ the ball around $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with radius $r > 0$.

By E we always denote a non-trivial locally convex Hausdorff space, in short lCHs, over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} equipped with a directed fundamental system of seminorms $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}}$. If $E = \mathbb{K}$, then we set $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}} := \{|\cdot|\}$. Further, we denote by \widehat{E} the completion of a locally convex Hausdorff space E . For details on the theory of locally convex spaces see [10], [14] or [18].

A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow E$ on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ to a locally convex Hausdorff space E is called continuously partially differentiable (f is \mathcal{C}^1) if for the n -th unit vector $e_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the limit

$$(\partial^{e_n})f(x) := (\partial^{e_n})^E f(x) := (\partial_{x_n})^E f(x) := \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}, h \neq 0}} \frac{f(x + he_n) - f(x)}{h}$$

exists in E for every $x \in \Omega$ and $\partial^{e_n} f$ is continuous on Ω ($\partial^{e_n} f$ is \mathcal{C}^0) for every $1 \leq n \leq d$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ a function f is said to be k -times continuously partially differentiable (f is \mathcal{C}^k) if f is \mathcal{C}^1 and all its first partial derivatives are \mathcal{C}^{k-1} . A function f is called infinitely continuously partially differentiable (f is \mathcal{C}^∞) if f is \mathcal{C}^k for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ the linear space of all functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow E$ which are \mathcal{C}^k is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$. Its subspace of functions with compact support is written as $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ where we denote the support of $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ by $\text{supp } f$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ with $|\beta| := \sum_{n=1}^d \beta_n \leq k$ we set $\partial^{\beta_n} f := (\partial^{\beta_n})^E f := f$ if $\beta_n = 0$, and

$$\partial^{\beta_n} f := (\partial^{\beta_n})^E f := \underbrace{(\partial^{e_n})^E \dots (\partial^{e_n})^E}_{\beta_n\text{-times}} f$$

if $\beta_n \neq 0$ as well as

$$\partial^\beta f := (\partial^\beta)^E f := \partial^{\beta_1} \dots \partial^{\beta_d} f.$$

Due to the vector-valued version of Schwarz' theorem $\partial^\beta f$ is independent of the order of the partial derivatives on the right-hand side and we call $|\beta|$ the order of differentiation. Further, we observe that $e' \circ f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega)$ and $(\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}(e' \circ f) = e' \circ (\partial^\beta)^E f$ for every $e' \in E'$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $|\beta| \leq k$.

By $L(F, E)$ we denote the space of continuous linear operators from F to E where F and E are locally convex Hausdorff spaces. If $E = \mathbb{K}$, we just write $F' := L(F, \mathbb{K})$ for the dual space. If F and E are (linearly topologically) isomorphic, we write $F \cong E$. The so-called ε -product of Schwartz is defined by

$$F\varepsilon E := L_e(F'_\kappa, E) \tag{2}$$

where F' is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on absolutely convex compact subsets of F and $L(F'_\kappa, E)$ is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on equicontinuous subsets of F' (see [22, Chap. I, §1, Définition, p. 18]). It is symmetric which means that $F\varepsilon E \cong E\varepsilon F$ and in the literature the definition of the ε -product is sometimes done the other way around, i.e. $E\varepsilon F$ is defined by the right-hand side of (2). We write $F \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E$ for the completion of the injective tensor product $F \otimes_\varepsilon E$ and denote by $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ the space of linear operators from E to E with finite rank. We recall from the introduction that a locally convex Hausdorff space E is said to have (Schwartz') approximation property if the identity I_E on E is contained in the closure of $\mathfrak{F}(E)$ in $L_\kappa(E) := L_\kappa(E, E)$ which is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on the absolutely convex compact subsets of E . The space E has the approximation property if and only if $E \otimes F$ is dense in $E\varepsilon F$ for every locally convex Hausdorff space (every Banach space) F by [15, Satz 10.17, p. 250]. For more information on the theory of ε -products and tensor products see [6], [14] and [15].

3. Weighted vector-valued differentiable functions and the ε -product. In this section we introduce the spaces $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ we want to consider. Then we turn to the question of completeness of $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ and when $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ is dense in the latter space. At the end of this section we describe their connection to the ε -product and the (completion of the) injective tensor product and derive sufficient conditions such that they coincide.

DEFINITION 3.1 (weight). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$. We say that $\mathcal{V}^k := (\nu_{j,l})_{j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle}$ is a (directed) family of weights on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω if $\nu_{j,l} : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for every $j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle$ and

$$\forall j_1, j_2 \in J, l_1, l_2 \in \langle k \rangle \exists j_3 \in J, l_3 \in \langle k \rangle, C > 0 \forall i \in \{1, 2\} : \nu_{j_i, l_i} \leq C \nu_{j_3, l_3}$$

as well as

$$\forall l \in \langle k \rangle, x \in \Omega \exists j \in J : 0 < \nu_{j,l}(x).$$

DEFINITION 3.2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and a (directed) family $\mathcal{V}^k := (\nu_{j,l})_{j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle}$ of weights on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω if $k = 0$ or an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ we define the space of weighted continuous, resp. k -times continuously partially differentiable, functions with values in an lchEs E as

$$\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E) \mid \forall j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : |f|_{j,l,\alpha} < \infty\}$$

where

$$|f|_{j,l,\alpha} := \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \nu_{j,l}(x).$$

We define the topological subspace of $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ consisting of the functions that vanish with all their derivatives when weighted at infinity by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E) \mid \forall j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}, \varepsilon > 0 \\ \exists K \subset \Omega \text{ compact} : |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} < \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} := \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \setminus K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \nu_{j,l}(x).$$

It is easily seen that these spaces are locally convex Hausdorff spaces with a directed system of seminorms due to our assumptions on the family \mathcal{V}^k of weights.

REMARK 3.3. Suppose that in the definition of the space $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ the weights also depend on $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, i.e. the seminorms used to define $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ are of the form

$$|f|_{j,l,\alpha}^\sim := \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \nu_{j,l,\beta}(x).$$

Without loss of generality we may always use weights which are independent of β . Namely, by setting $\nu_{j,l} := \max_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l} \nu_{j,l,\beta}$ for $j \in J$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$, we can switch to the usual system of seminorms $(|f|_{j,l,\alpha})$ induced by the weights $(\nu_{j,l})$ which is equivalent to $(|f|_{j,l,\alpha}^\sim)$.

The standard structure of a directed family \mathcal{V}^k of weights on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω is given by the following. Let $(\Omega_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of sets such that $\Omega_j \subset \Omega_{j+1}$

for all $j \in J$ with $\Omega = \bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega_j$. Let $\tilde{\nu}_{j,l}: \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be continuous for all $j \in J$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$, increasing in $j \in J$, i.e. $\tilde{\nu}_{j,l} \leq \tilde{\nu}_{j+1,l}$, and in $l \in \langle k \rangle$, i.e. $\tilde{\nu}_{j,l} \leq \tilde{\nu}_{j,l+1}$ if $l+1 \in \langle k \rangle$, such that

$$\nu_{j,l}(x) = \chi_{\Omega_j}(x)\tilde{\nu}_{j,l}(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

for every $j \in J$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$ where χ_{Ω_j} is the indicator function of Ω_j . Further, we remark that the spaces $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ might coincide which is already mentioned in [2, 1.3 Bemerkung, p. 189] for $k = 0$.

REMARK 3.4. If for every $j \in J$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$ there are $i \in J$ and $m \in \langle k \rangle$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ with $\nu_{j,l}(x) \leq \varepsilon\nu_{i,m}(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus K$, then $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$.

Examples of spaces where this happens are $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ with the topology of uniform convergence of all partial derivatives up to order k on compact subsets of Ω and the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$.

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let E be an lchS, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Then

- a) $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CW}^k(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CW}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ with $\mathcal{W}^k := \{\nu_{j,l} := \chi_{\Omega_j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \langle k \rangle\}$ where $(\Omega_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compact exhaustion of Ω ,
- b) $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ with $\mathcal{V}^\infty := \{\nu_{j,l} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ where $\nu_{j,l}(x) := (1 + |x|^2)^{l/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof.

a) $(\Omega_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ being a compact exhaustion of Ω means that $\Omega = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_j$, Ω_j is compact and $\Omega_j \subset \overset{\circ}{\Omega}_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\overset{\circ}{\Omega}_{j+1}$ is the set of inner points of Ω_{j+1} . For compact $\Omega_j \subset \Omega$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$ our claim follows from Remark 3.4 with the choice $i := j$, $m := l$ and $K := \Omega_j$.

b) We recall that the Schwartz space is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \mid \forall l \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : \|f\|_{l,\alpha} < \infty\}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{l,\alpha} := \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x))(1 + |x|^2)^{l/2}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$. We note that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $r > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\nu_{j,l}(x)}{\nu_{j,2(l+1)}(x)} = \frac{(1 + |x|^2)^{l/2}}{(1 + |x|^2)^{l+1}} = (1 + |x|^2)^{-(l/2)-1} < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \notin \overline{\mathbb{B}_r(0)} =: K$ yielding $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ by Remark 3.4. ■

The question of finite dimensional approximation from the introduction is closely connected to the property of a family of weights being locally bounded away from zero.

DEFINITION 3.6 (locally bounded away from zero). Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$. A family of weights \mathcal{V}^k is called *locally bounded away from zero* on Ω if

$$\forall K \subset \Omega \text{ compact, } l \in \langle k \rangle \exists j \in J : \inf_{x \in K} \nu_{j,l}(x) > 0.$$

For $k = 0$ (and locally compact Hausdorff Ω) this coincides with condition (ii) of Theorem 1.1. It even guarantees that the spaces $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ are complete for complete E .

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let E be a complete lchS, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and \mathcal{V}^k be a family of weights which is locally bounded away from zero on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω ($k = 0$) or an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($k > 0$). Then $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ are complete locally convex Hausdorff spaces. In particular, they are Fréchet spaces if E is a Fréchet space and J countable.*

Proof. Let $(f_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}}$ be a Cauchy net in $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$. The space $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ equipped with the usual system of seminorms $(q_{K,l,\alpha})$ given in (1) is complete by [23, Proposition 44.1, p. 446]. Let $K \subset \Omega$ compact, $l \in \langle k \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded away from zero, there is $j \in J$ such that

$$q_{K,l,\alpha}(f) \leq \sup_{x \in K} \nu_{j,l}(x)^{-1} |f|_{j,l,\alpha} = \left(\inf_{x \in K} \nu_{j,l}(x) \right)^{-1} |f|_{j,l,\alpha}, \quad f \in \mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E),$$

implying that the inclusion $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ is continuous. Thus (f_τ) is a Cauchy net in $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ as well and has a limit f in this space due to the completeness. Let $j \in J$, $l \in \langle k \rangle$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. As this convergence implies pointwise convergence, we have that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\beta| \leq l$, there exists $\tau_{j,l,\beta,x} \in \mathcal{T}$ such that for all $\tau \geq \tau_{j,l,\beta,x}$

$$p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\nu_{j,l}(x)} \quad (3)$$

if $\nu_{j,l}(x) > 0$. Furthermore, there exists $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that for all $\tau, \mu \geq \tau_0$

$$|f_\tau - f_\mu|_{j,l,\alpha} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (4)$$

by assumption. Hence we get for all $\tau \geq \tau_0$ by choosing $\mu \geq \tau_{j,l,\beta,x}, \tau_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) - p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) \\ & \leq p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) \\ & \leq p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f_\mu(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) + p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\mu(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) \\ & \stackrel{(3)}{<} \sup_{z \in \Omega} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(z) - (\partial^\beta)^E f_\mu(z))\nu_{j,l}(z) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ & \leq \sup_{\substack{z \in \Omega \\ \gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\gamma| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\gamma)^E f_\tau(z) - (\partial^\gamma)^E f_\mu(z))\nu_{j,l}(z) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = |f_\tau - f_\mu|_{j,l,\alpha} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \stackrel{(4)}{<} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

if $\nu_{j,l}(x) > 0$. We deduce that for all $\tau \geq \tau_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) - p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) \\ & \leq p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_\tau(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x))\nu_{j,l}(x) < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

if $\nu_{j,l}(x) > 0$. If $\nu_{j,l}(x) = 0$, then this estimate is also fulfilled and so $|f_\tau - f|_{j,l,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon$ as well as $|f|_{j,l,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon + |f_\tau|_{j,l,\alpha}$ for all $\tau \geq \tau_0$. This means that $f \in \mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ and that (f_τ) converges to f in $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$. Therefore $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ is complete and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ as well because it is a closed subspace of the complete space $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$. ■

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and locally compact Hausdorff Ω ($k = 0$) or open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($k > 0$) we define $\mathcal{CV}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ to be the subspace of $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ of functions with compact support. Obviously we have $\mathcal{CV}_c^k(\Omega, E) \subset \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_c^k(\Omega, E) \subset \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$. On the other hand, the space $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{CV}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ if the family of weights \mathcal{V}^k fulfils the definition of local boundedness.

DEFINITION 3.8 (locally bounded). Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$. A family of weights \mathcal{V}^k is called *locally bounded* on Ω if

$$\forall K \subset \Omega \text{ compact, } j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle : \sup_{x \in K} \nu_{j,l}(x) < \infty.$$

Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$, then we have for $K := \text{supp } f$

$$|f|_{j,l,\alpha} = \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \nu_{j,l}(x) \leq \left(\sup_{\substack{z \in K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(z)) \right) \sup_{x \in K} \nu_{j,l}(x)$$

for all $j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Hence we have:

REMARK 3.9. Let E be an lcHS and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$. If \mathcal{V}^k is a family of locally bounded weights, then $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CV}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ algebraically.

Next, we phrase a sufficient criterion for the density of $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open and locally bounded \mathcal{V}^k .

DEFINITION 3.10 (cut-off criterion). Let E be an lcHS, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open and \mathcal{V}^k be a family of weights on Ω . We say that $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ satisfies *the cut-off criterion* if

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E), j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} \exists \delta > 0 \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K \subset \Omega \text{ compact} : \\ (K + \overline{\mathbb{B}_\delta(0)}) \subset \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} < \varepsilon.$$

REMARK 3.11. If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, then the cut-off criterion is satisfied for any $\delta > 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.12. Let E be an lcHS, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open. The space $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ with the usual topology of uniform convergence of all partial derivatives up to order k on compact subsets of Ω and the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ fulfil the cut-off criterion.

Proof. For the Schwartz space this follows directly from Example 3.5 b) and Remark 3.11. By Example 3.5 a) we have $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CW}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ with $\mathcal{W}^k := \{\nu_{j,l} := \chi_{\Omega_j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \langle k \rangle\}$ where $(\Omega_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compact exhaustion of Ω . Choosing $K := \Omega_j$ and $\delta := \inf\{|z - x| \mid z \in \partial\Omega_j, x \in \partial\Omega_{j+1}\} > 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we note that the cut-off criterion is fulfilled. ■

The proof of the density given below uses cut-off functions and the additional $\delta > 0$ independent of $\varepsilon > 0$ allows us to choose a suitable cut-off function whose derivatives can be estimated independently of ε . But first we recall the following definitions since we need the product rule. Let $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$. We write $\gamma \leq \beta$ if $\gamma_n \leq \beta_n$ for all $1 \leq n \leq d$, and define

$$\binom{\beta}{\gamma} := \prod_{n=1}^d \binom{\beta_n}{\gamma_n}$$

if $\gamma \leq \beta$ where the right-hand side is defined by ordinary binomial coefficients. Now, we can phrase the product rule whose proof follows by induction (just adapt the proof for scalar-valued functions).

PROPOSITION 3.13 (product rule). *Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega)$. Then $gf \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ and*

$$(\partial^\beta)^E(gf)(x) = \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (\partial^{\beta-\gamma})^{\mathbb{K}} g(x) (\partial^\gamma)^E f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq k.$$

LEMMA 3.14. *Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and \mathcal{V}^k be a family of locally bounded weights on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. If $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ satisfies the cut-off criterion, then the space $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$.*

Proof. The local boundedness of \mathcal{V}^k yields that $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ by Remark 3.9 which we equip with the induced topology. Let $f \in \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$, $j \in J$, $l \in \langle k \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. Due to the cut-off criterion there is $\delta > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $K \subset \Omega$ compact with $(K + \mathbb{B}_\delta(0)) \subset \Omega$ and $|f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} < \varepsilon$. We choose a cut-off function $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ so that $\psi = 1$ in a neighbourhood of K and

$$|(\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}} \psi| \leq C_\beta \delta^{-|\beta|}$$

on Ω for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ where $C_\beta > 0$ only depends on β (see [12, Theorem 1.4.1, p. 25]). We set $K_0 := \text{supp } \psi$, note that $\psi f \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ by the product rule and

$$\begin{aligned} |f - \psi f|_{j, l, \alpha} &= \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \setminus K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E(f - \psi f)(x)) \nu_{j, l}(x) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \setminus K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \nu_{j, l}(x) + \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \setminus K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E(\psi f)(x)) \nu_{j, l}(x) \\ &= |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} + \sup_{\substack{x \in (\Omega \setminus K) \cap K_0 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha \left(\sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} (\partial^{\beta-\gamma})^{\mathbb{K}} \psi(x) (\partial^\gamma)^E f(x) \right) \nu_{j, l}(x) \\ &\leq |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} + \sup_{\substack{z \in K_0 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} |(\partial^{\beta-\gamma})^{\mathbb{K}} \psi(z)| \left(\sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \setminus K \\ \tau \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\tau| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\tau)^E f(x)) \nu_{j, l}(x) \right) \\ &\leq |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} + \underbrace{\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l} \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \binom{\beta}{\gamma} C_{\beta-\gamma} \delta^{-|\beta-\gamma|}}_{=: C_{l, \delta} < \infty} |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} \\ &= (1 + C_{l, \delta}) |f|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, l, \alpha} < (1 + C_{l, \delta}) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The independence of $C_{l, \delta}$ from ε implies the statement. ■

We complete this section by pointing out the link between our question on finite dimensional approximation and the tensor product. If \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded away from zero, there is a nice relation between our spaces of vector-valued functions and the ε -product which uses that the point-evaluation functionals $\delta_x : f \mapsto f(x)$ are continuous on $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)$ by our definition of a weight.

PROPOSITION 3.15. *Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$, \mathcal{V}^k be a family of weights which is locally bounded away from zero on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω ($k = 0$) or an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($k > 0$).*

a) *In addition, let $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ be barrelled if $k > 0$. Then*

$$S_{\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)}: \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E \rightarrow \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E), \quad u \mapsto [x \mapsto u(\delta_x)],$$

is an isomorphism into, i.e. an isomorphism to its range.

b) *In addition, let $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)$ be barrelled if $k > 0$. Then*

$$S_{\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)}: \mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E \rightarrow \mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E), \quad u \mapsto [x \mapsto u(\delta_x)],$$

is an isomorphism into.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E$, resp. $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E$, and as a simplification we omit the index of S . The continuity of $S(u)$ is a consequence of [17, 4.1 Proposition, p. 18] and [17, 4.2 Lemma (i), p. 19] since \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded away from zero. If $k > 0$, then the continuous partial differentiability of $S(u)$ up to order k follows from [17, 4.12 Proposition, p. 22] as $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$, resp. $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)$, is barrelled and \mathcal{V}^k locally bounded away from zero. If $u \in \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E$, then $S(u)$ vanishes together with all its derivatives when weighted at infinity by [17, 4.13 Proposition, p. 23]. Thanks to these observations [17, 3.9 Theorem, p. 9] proves our statement. ■

In particular, if J is countable and \mathcal{V}^k locally bounded away from zero, then the Fréchet spaces $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ are barrelled. This result allows us to identify the injective tensor product of $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)$, resp. $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$, and E with a subspace of $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$, resp. $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$. Let us use the symbol \mathcal{F} for \mathcal{CV}^k or \mathcal{CV}_0^k . We consider $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$ as an algebraic subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E$ by means of the linear injection

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}: \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E, \quad \sum_{n=1}^m f_n \otimes e_n \mapsto \left[y \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^m y(f_n)e_n \right].$$

Via $\Theta_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}$ the topology of $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E$ induces a locally convex topology on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes_\varepsilon E$ denotes $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$ equipped with this topology. From the preceding proposition and the composition $S_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)} \circ \Theta_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}$ we obtain:

COROLLARY 3.16. *Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$, \mathcal{V}^k be a family of weights which is locally bounded away from zero on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω ($k = 0$) or an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($k > 0$). Fix the notation $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{CV}^k$ or \mathcal{CV}_0^k and let $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ be barrelled if $k > 0$.*

a) *We get by identification of isomorphic subspaces*

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes_\varepsilon E \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E \subset \mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$$

and the embedding $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$ is given by $f \otimes e \mapsto [x \mapsto f(x)e]$.

b) *Let $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and E be complete. If $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$, then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ has the approximation property if $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$ for every complete E .

Proof.

a) The inclusions hold by Proposition 3.15 and $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$ induce the same topology on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$. Further, we have

$$f \otimes e \xrightarrow{\Theta_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}} [y \mapsto y(f)e] \xrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}} [x \mapsto [y \mapsto y(f)e](\delta_x)] = [x \mapsto f(x)e].$$

b) If $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and E are complete, then we obtain that $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E$ is complete by [15, Satz 10.3, p. 234]. In addition, we get the completion of $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes_{\varepsilon} E$ as its closure in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)\varepsilon E$ which coincides with the closure in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$. The rest follows directly from a). ■

Looking at part a), we derive

$$(S_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)} \circ \Theta_{\mathcal{F}(\Omega)}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m f_n \otimes e_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^m f_n e_n$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ and $e_n \in E$, $1 \leq n \leq m$. Hence we see that the answer to our question is affirmative if $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, E)$. For the sake of completeness we remark the following.

PROPOSITION 3.17. *Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$, \mathcal{V}^k be a family of weights which is locally bounded away from zero on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω ($k = 0$) or an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ($k > 0$).*

a) *In addition, let $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ be barrelled if $k > 0$. If E is quasi-complete and \mathcal{V}^k locally bounded on Ω , then*

$$\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E) \quad \text{via } S_{\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)}.$$

b) *In addition, let $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)$ be barrelled if $k > 0$. If E is a semi-Montel space, then*

$$\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)\varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E) \quad \text{via } S_{\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. For $k > 0$ this is [17, 5.10 Example a), p. 28], resp. [17, 3.21 Example a), p. 14]. Statement a) for $k = 0$ is a consequence of [17, 3.20 Corollary, p. 13] in combination with [17, 4.1 Proposition, p. 18], [17, 4.2 Lemma (i), p. 19] and [17, 4.13 Proposition, p. 23]. For $k = 0$ statement b) follows from [17, 3.19 Corollary, p. 13] in combination with [17, 4.1 Proposition, p. 18] and [17, 4.2 Lemma (i), p. 19]. ■

The corresponding results for $k = 0$ and a Nachbin-family \mathcal{V}^0 of weights are given in [3, 2.4 Theorem, p. 138–139] and [3, 2.12 Satz, p. 141]. In combination with our preceding observation, we deduce that every element of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ can be approximated in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ by functions with values in a finite dimensional subspace if E is a quasi-complete space with approximation property and the assumptions of the proposition above are fulfilled. The same is true for $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$ if E is a semi-Montel space with approximation property. Due to the strong conditions on E this is not really satisfying but actually the best we get for general $\mathcal{CV}^k(\Omega, E)$. For $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ there is a better result available, whose proof we prepare on the next pages.

4. Convolution via the Pettis-integral. In this section we review the notion of the Pettis-integral. Trèves uses the Riemann-integral to define the convolution $f * g$ of a function $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ and a function $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.2 and states

(without a proof) that the convolution defined in this way is a function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \widehat{E})$ and has all the properties known from the convolution of two scalar-valued functions. We use the Pettis-integral instead to define the convolution. The reason is that we can use the dominated convergence theorem for the Pettis-integral [19, Theorem 2, p. 162–163] to get the Leibniz’ rule for differentiation under the integral sign which enables us to prove that the convolution has some of the key properties known from the scalar-valued case.

Let us fix some notation first. For a measure space (X, Σ, μ) let

$$\mathfrak{L}^1(X, \mu) := \left\{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ measurable} \mid q_1(f) := \int_X |f(x)| \, d\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

and define the quotient space of integrable functions with respect to the measure μ by $\mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu) := \mathfrak{L}^1(X, \mu) / \{f \in \mathfrak{L}^1(X, \mu) \mid q_1(f) = 0\}$. From now on we do not distinguish between equivalence classes and their representatives anymore. We say that $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is integrable on $\Lambda \in \Sigma$ and write $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\Lambda, \mu)$ if $\chi_\Lambda f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu)$ where χ_Λ is the characteristic function of Λ . Then we set

$$\int_\Lambda f(x) \, d\mu(x) := \int_X \chi_\Lambda(x) f(x) \, d\mu(x).$$

DEFINITION 4.1 (Pettis-integral). Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and E an lchS. A function $f : X \rightarrow E$ is called *weakly (scalarly) measurable* if the function $e' \circ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $(e' \circ f)(x) := \langle e', f(x) \rangle := e'(f(x))$, is measurable for all $e' \in E'$. A weakly measurable function is said to be *weakly (scalarly) integrable* if $e' \circ f \in \mathcal{L}^1(X, \mu)$. A function $f : X \rightarrow E$ is called *Pettis-integrable* on $\Lambda \in \Sigma$ if it is weakly integrable on Λ and

$$\exists e_\Lambda \in E \forall e' \in E' : \langle e', e_\Lambda \rangle = \int_\Lambda \langle e', f(x) \rangle \, d\mu(x).$$

In this case e_Λ is unique due to E being Hausdorff and we set

$$\int_\Lambda f(x) \, d\mu(x) := e_\Lambda.$$

A function f is called Pettis-integrable on Σ if it is Pettis-integrable on all $\Lambda \in \Sigma$.

We write \mathcal{N}_μ for the set of μ -null sets of a measure space (X, Σ, μ) and for $\Lambda \in \Sigma$ we use the notion $(\Lambda, \Sigma|_\Lambda, \mu|_\Lambda)$ for the restricted measure space given by $\Sigma|_\Lambda := \{\omega \in \Sigma \mid \omega \subset \Lambda\}$ and $\mu|_\Lambda := \mu|_{\Sigma|_\Lambda}$. If we consider the measure space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d), \lambda)$ of Lebesgue measurable sets, we just write $dx := d\lambda(x)$.

REMARK 4.2. Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space, E an lchS and f Pettis-integrable on $\Lambda \in \Sigma$. If $\omega \in \Sigma$ such that $\omega \subset \Lambda$ and $(\Lambda \setminus \omega) \subset \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0\}$, then f is Pettis-integrable on ω and

$$\int_\omega f(x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_\Lambda f(x) \, d\mu(x). \tag{5}$$

This follows directly from

$$\left\langle e', \int_\Lambda f(x) \, d\mu(x) \right\rangle = \int_\Lambda \langle e', f(x) \rangle \, d\mu(x) = \int_\omega \langle e', f(x) \rangle \, d\mu(x), \quad e' \in E'.$$

LEMMA 4.3. Let E be a quasi-complete lcHs, (X, Σ, μ) a measure space, T a metric space and suppose that $f: X \times T \rightarrow E$ fulfils the following conditions.

- a) $f(\cdot, t)$ is Pettis-integrable on Σ for all $t \in T$,
- b) $f(x, \cdot): T \rightarrow E$ is continuous in a point $t_0 \in T$ for μ -almost all $x \in X$,
- c) there is a neighbourhood $U \subset T$ of t_0 and a Pettis-integrable function ψ on Σ such that

$$\forall t \in U, e' \in E' \exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu \forall x \in X \setminus N : |\langle e', f(x, t) \rangle| \leq |\langle e', \psi(x) \rangle|.$$

Then $g_\Lambda: T \rightarrow E, g_\Lambda(t) := \int_\Lambda f(x, t) d\mu(x)$, is well-defined and continuous in t_0 for every $\Lambda \in \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda \in \Sigma$ and (t_n) be a sequence in U converging to t_0 . From the continuous dependency of a scalar integral on a parameter (see [7, 5.6 Satz, p. 147]) we derive

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Lambda \underbrace{\langle e', f(x, t_n) \rangle}_{=: f_n(x)} d\mu(x) = \int_\Lambda \underbrace{\langle e', f(x, t_0) \rangle}_{=: f(x)} d\mu(x). \tag{6}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e' \in E'$ there is $N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$ such that

$$|\langle e', f_n(x) \rangle| = |\langle e', f(x, t_n) \rangle| \leq |\langle e', \psi(x) \rangle| \tag{7}$$

for every $x \in X \setminus N$. Due to (6) for every $\Lambda \in \Sigma$ and $e' \in E'$, (7) and the quasi-completeness of E we can apply the dominated convergence theorem for the Pettis-integral [19, Theorem 2, p. 162–163] and deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_\Lambda(t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Lambda f_n(x) d\mu(x) = \int_\Lambda \tilde{f}(x) d\mu(x) = g_\Lambda(t_0). \blacksquare$$

The next lemma is the Leibniz' rule for differentiation under the integral sign for the Pettis-integral.

LEMMA 4.4 (Leibniz' rule). Let E be a quasi-complete lcHs, (X, Σ, μ) a measure space, $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open and suppose that $f: X \times T \rightarrow E$ fulfils the following conditions.

- a) $f(\cdot, t)$ is Pettis-integrable on Σ for all $t \in T$,
- b) there is a μ -null set $N_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\mu$ with $f(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^1(T, E)$ for all $x \in X \setminus N_0$,
- c) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq d$, there is a Pettis-integrable function ψ_j on Σ such that

$$\forall e' \in E' \exists N \in \mathcal{N}_\mu \forall x \in X \setminus (N \cup N_0) : |(\partial_{t_j})^{\mathbb{K}} \langle e', f(x, \cdot) \rangle| \leq |\langle e', \psi_j(x) \rangle|.$$

Then $g_\Lambda: T \rightarrow E, g_\Lambda(t) := \int_\Lambda f(x, t) d\mu(x)$, is well-defined for every $\Lambda \in \Sigma, g_\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}^1(T, E)$ and

$$(\partial_{t_j})^E g_\Lambda(t) = \int_\Lambda (\partial_{t_j})^E f(x, t) d\mu(x), \quad t \in T.$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Lambda \in \Sigma, j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq d, t \in T$ and (h_n) be a real sequence converging to 0 such that $h_n \neq 0$ and $t + h_n e_j \in T$ for all n where e_j is the j -th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^d . Then

$$\frac{g_\Lambda(t + h_n e_j) - g_\Lambda(t)}{h_n} = \int_\Lambda \underbrace{\frac{f(x, t + h_n e_j) - f(x, t)}{h_n}}_{=: f_n(x)} d\mu(x).$$

We define the function $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow E$ given by $\tilde{f}(x) := (\partial_{t_j})^E f(x, t)$ for $x \in X \setminus N_0$ and $\tilde{f}(x) := 0$ for $x \in N_0$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda} \langle e', f_n(x) \rangle d\mu(x) &= \int_{\Lambda} (\partial_{t_j})^{\mathbb{K}} \langle e', f(x, t) \rangle d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} \langle e', \partial_{t_j}^E f(x, t) \rangle d\mu(x) = \int_{\Lambda} \langle e', \tilde{f}(x) \rangle d\mu(x) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

holds for every $e' \in E'$ where we used the scalar Leibniz' rule for differentiation under the integral sign for the first equation which can be applied due to our assumptions (see [7, 5.7 Satz, p. 147–148]). For $e' \in E'$ there is $N \in \mathcal{N}_{\mu}$ such that for every $x \in X \setminus (N \cup N_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\theta \in [0, 1]$ with

$$\langle e', f_n(x) \rangle = \frac{\langle e', f(x, t + h_n e_j) \rangle - \langle e', f(x, t) \rangle}{h_n} = (\partial_{t_j})^{\mathbb{K}} \langle e', f(x, t + \theta h_n e_j) \rangle$$

by the mean value theorem ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) implying

$$|\langle e', f_n(x) \rangle| = |(\partial_{t_j})^{\mathbb{K}} \langle e', f(x, t + \theta h_n e_j) \rangle| \leq |\langle e', \psi_j(x) \rangle|. \tag{9}$$

Due to (8) for every $\Lambda \in \Sigma$ and $e' \in E'$, (9) and the quasi-completeness of E we can apply the dominated convergence theorem for the Pettis-integral [19, Theorem 2, p. 162–163] again and obtain that \tilde{f} is Pettis-integrable on Σ plus

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{t_j})^E g_{\Lambda}(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{\Lambda}(t + h_n e_j) - g_{\Lambda}(t)}{h_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda} f_n(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} \tilde{f}(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\Lambda} (\partial_{t_j})^E f(x, t) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

The continuity of $(\partial_{t_j})^E g_{\Lambda}$ follows from Lemma 4.3 by replacing f with $(\partial_{t_j})^E f$. For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ we just have to substitute $\langle e', \cdot \rangle$ by $\text{Re}\langle e', \cdot \rangle$ (real part) and $\text{Im}\langle e', \cdot \rangle$ (imaginary part) in the considerations above. ■

Now, we are able to define the convolution of a vector-valued and a scalar-valued continuous function via the Pettis-integral, if one of them has compact support, and to show some of its basic properties which are known from the convolution of scalar-valued functions (scalar convolution). For the properties of the scalar convolution see e.g. [23, Chap. 26, p. 278–283].

LEMMA 4.5. *Let E be a quasi-complete lchS, $k, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0, \infty}$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{R}^d)$, either one having compact support. The convolution*

$$f * g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E, \quad (f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)g(x - y) dy,$$

*is well-defined, $\text{supp}(f * g) \subset \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g$, $f * g = g * f$, where*

$$g * f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E, \quad (g * f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(y)f(x - y) dy,$$

*and $f * g \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ plus*

$$(\partial^{\beta})^E (f * g) = f * ((\partial^{\beta})^{\mathbb{K}} g), \quad |\beta| \leq n, \tag{10}$$

$$(\partial^{\beta})^E (f * g) = ((\partial^{\beta})^E f) * g, \quad |\beta| \leq \min(k, n). \tag{11}$$

Proof. Let $h: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow E$, $h(y, x) := f(y)g(x - y)$. First, we show that $h(\cdot, x)$ is Pettis-integrable on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ implying that $f * g$ is well-defined. We note that $\langle e', h(\cdot, x) \rangle \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \lambda)$ for every $e' \in E'$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We define the linear map

$$I_{\Lambda, x}: E' \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad I_{\Lambda, x}(e') := \int_{\Lambda} \langle e', h(y, x) \rangle dy.$$

Setting $K_f := \text{supp } f$ and $K_g := \text{supp } g$, we observe that

$$I_{\Lambda, x}(e') = \int_{\Lambda \cap K_f} \langle e', f(y)g(x - y) \rangle dy = \int_{\Lambda \cap (x - K_g)} \langle e', f(y)g(x - y) \rangle dy.$$

If $K_f = \text{supp } f$ is compact, we get

$$|I_{\Lambda, x}(e')| \leq \lambda(K_f) \sup\{ |e'(z)| \mid z \in f(K_f)g(x - K_f) \}.$$

The set $f(K_f)g(x - K_f)$ is compact in E and thus the closure of its absolutely convex hull is compact in E as well by [24, 9-2-10 Example, p. 134] because E is quasi-complete. Hence it follows that $I_{\Lambda, x} \in (E'_\kappa)'$ $\cong E$ by the theorem of Mackey–Arens meaning that there is $e_\Lambda(x) \in E$ such that

$$\langle e', e_\Lambda(x) \rangle = I_{\Lambda, x}(e') = \int_{\Lambda} \langle e', h(y, x) \rangle dy$$

for all $e' \in E'$. Thus $h(\cdot, x)$ is Pettis-integrable on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$(f * g)(x) = e_{\mathbb{R}^d}(x) \stackrel{(5)}{=} e_{K_f}(x) = e_{x - K_g}(x)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if $K_f = \text{supp } f$ is compact. If $K_g = \text{supp } g$ is compact, then the estimate

$$|I_{\Lambda, x}(e')| \leq \lambda(x - K_g) \sup\{ |e'(z)| \mid z \in f(x - K_g)g(K_g) \}$$

yields to the Pettis-integrability in the same manner.

Let $x \notin \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g$. If $y \notin \text{supp } f$, then $h(y, x) = 0$. If $y \in \text{supp } f$, then $x - y \notin \text{supp } g$ and thus $h(y, x) = 0$. Hence we have $h(\cdot, x) = 0$ implying $\text{supp}(f * g) \subset \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g$. From

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e', (f * g)(x) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle e', f(y)g(x - y) \rangle dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle e', f(y) \rangle g(x - y) dy \\ &= ((e' \circ f) * g)(x) = (g * (e' \circ f))(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle e', g(y)f(x - y) \rangle dy \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $e' \in E'$, where we used the commutativity of scalar convolution for the fourth equation, it follows that

$$(f * g)(x) = e_{\mathbb{R}^d}(x) = (g * f)(x)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Next, we show that $f * g \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and (10) holds by applying Lemma 4.3 and 4.4. So we have to check that the conditions a)–c) of these lemmas are fulfilled. First, fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\beta| \leq n$. If $K_f = \text{supp } f$ is compact, we set $h_{f, \beta} := (\partial_x^\beta)^E h|_{K_f \times \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)}$ and observe that $h|_{K_f \times \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)}(y, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0), E)$ for every $y \in K_f$

(condition b)). It follows from the theorem of Mackey–Arens and

$$\left| \int_{\omega} \langle e', h_{f,\beta}(y, x) \rangle dY \right| \leq \lambda(K_f) \sup\{|e'(z)| \mid z \in f(K_f)(\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}g(\overline{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)} - K_f)\}$$

for every $e' \in E'$, $\omega \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid_{K_f}$ and $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)$ that $h_{f,\beta}(\cdot, x)$ is Pettis-integrable on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid_{K_f}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)$ (condition a)). Now, we check that condition c) is satisfied. We observe that the estimate

$$\left| \int_{\omega} \langle e', f(y) \rangle dy \right| \leq \lambda(K_f) \sup\{|e'(z)| \mid z \in f(K_f)\}$$

for every $e' \in E'$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid_{K_f}$ implies that $f \mid_{K_f}$ is Pettis-integrable on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid_{K_f}$ due to the theorem of Mackey–Arens again. The inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e', h_{f,\beta}(y, x) \rangle| &= |\langle e', f(y)(\partial_x^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}[x \mapsto g(x - y)] \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle e', f(y) \rangle| \sup\{|(\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}g(z)| \mid z \in \overline{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)} - K_f\} \\ &\leq |\langle e', q_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)} - K_f, n}(g) \cdot f(y) \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

for every $e' \in E'$ and $(y, x) \in K_f \times \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)$ with the seminorm $q_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)} - K_f, n}$ from (1) yields to condition c) being satisfied. Hence $f * g \in \mathcal{C}^n(\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0), E)$ by Lemma 4.3 if $n = 0$ and by Lemma 4.4 if $n = 1$ as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_j}^E(f * g)(x) &= \partial_{x_j}^E \left[x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)g(x - y) dy \right] \stackrel{(5)}{=} \partial_{x_j}^E \left[x \mapsto \int_{K_f} f(y)g(x - y) dy \right] \\ &= \int_{K_f} f(y)(\partial_{x_j}^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}[x \mapsto g(x - y)] dy \stackrel{(5)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)(\partial^{e_j})^{\mathbb{K}}g(x - y) dy \\ &= (f * ((\partial^{e_j})^{\mathbb{K}}g))(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the result for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ if $K_f = \text{supp } f$ is compact. For $n \geq 2$ it follows from induction on the order $|\beta|$. If $K_g = \text{supp } g$ is compact, the same approach with $h_{g,\beta} := (\partial_x^\beta)^E h \mid_{K_g \times \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon(x_0)}$ instead of $h_{f,\beta}$ proves the statement. Furthermore, for $|\beta| \leq \min(k, n)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle e', (\partial^\beta)^E(f * g)(x) \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle e', f(y)(\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}g(x - y) \rangle dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e' \circ f)(y)(\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}g(x - y) dy \\ &= ((e' \circ f) * ((\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}g))(x) = ((\partial^\beta)^{\mathbb{K}}(e' \circ f) * g)(x) \\ &= ((e' \circ (\partial^\beta)^E f) * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle e', (\partial^\beta)^E f(y)g(x - y) \rangle dy \end{aligned}$$

for every $e' \in E'$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where we used the corresponding result for the scalar convolution for the fourth equation, implying $(\partial^\beta)^E(f * g) = ((\partial^\beta)^E f) * g$. ■

Looking at the lemma above, we see that it differs a bit from the properties known from the convolution of two scalar-valued functions. It is an open problem whether we actually have $f * g \in \mathcal{C}^{\max(k, n)}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ and (11) for $|\beta| \leq k$ under the assumptions of the lemma. But since we only apply the lemma above in the case $n = \infty$, this does not affect us.

We recall the construction of a mollifier from [23, p. 155–156]. Let

$$\rho: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho(x) := \begin{cases} C \exp(-1/(1 - |x|^2)), & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $C := (\int_{\mathbb{B}_1(0)} \exp(-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}) dx)^{-1}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the mollifier ρ_n given by $\rho_n(x) := n^d \rho(nx)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have $\rho_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\rho_n \geq 0$, $\text{supp } \rho_n = \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/n}(0)}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_n(x) dx = 1$.

We can extend a function $f \in C_c^k(\Omega, E)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, to a function $f_{\text{ex}} \in C_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ by setting $f_{\text{ex}} := f$ on Ω and $f_{\text{ex}} := 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega$. In this way the convolution $f * g := (f_{\text{ex}} * g)|_\Omega$ with a function $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a well-defined function on Ω if E is quasi-complete, and we have the following approximation by regularisation in analogy to the scalar-valued case (see e.g. [23, Chap. 15, Corollary 1, p. 158]).

LEMMA 4.6. *Let E be a quasi-complete lchS, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0,\infty}$, \mathcal{V}^k be a family of locally bounded weights on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in C_c^k(\Omega, E)$. Then $(f * \rho_n)$ converges to f in $C\mathcal{V}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 4.5 we obtain that $f_{\text{ex}} * \rho_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded on Ω , we derive $f * \rho_n \in C\mathcal{V}_0^k(\Omega, E)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $j \in J$, $l \in \langle k \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$, $|\beta| \leq l$, there is $\delta_\beta > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|y| = |(x - y) - x| \leq \delta_\beta$ we have

$$p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f_{\text{ex}}(x - y) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) < \varepsilon \tag{12}$$

because the function $(\partial^\beta)^E f_{\text{ex}}$ is uniformly continuous on whole \mathbb{R}^d as it is continuous with compact support. Therefore we deduce for all $n > 1/\delta_\beta$ that $\text{supp } \rho_n = \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/n}(0)} \subset \overline{\mathbb{B}_{\delta_\beta}(0)}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E (f * \rho_n - f)(x)) \\ & \stackrel{(11)}{=} p_\alpha(((\partial^\beta)^E f) * \rho_n(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \\ & = p_\alpha(\rho_n * ((\partial^\beta)^E f)(x) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \\ & = p_\alpha\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial^\beta)^E f_{\text{ex}}(x - y) \rho_n(y) dy - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x)\right) \\ & = p_\alpha\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial^\beta)^E f_{\text{ex}}(x - y) \rho_n(y) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x) \rho_n(y) dy\right) \\ & \stackrel{(5)}{=} p_\alpha\left(\int_{\overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/n}(0)}} (\partial^\beta)^E f_{\text{ex}}(x - y) \rho_n(y) - (\partial^\beta)^E f(x) \rho_n(y) dy\right) \\ & \stackrel{(12)}{\leq} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_n(y) dy = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.5 for every $x \in \Omega$. As $0 \in \text{supp } \rho_n$, we get

$$\text{supp}(\partial^\beta)^E (f * \rho_n - f) \subset (\text{supp } f + \text{supp } \rho_n) = (\text{supp } f + \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/n}(0)})$$

for every $|\beta| \leq l$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by virtue of Lemma 4.5. Since $\text{supp } f \subset \Omega$ is compact and Ω open, there is $r > 0$ such that $(\text{supp } f + \overline{\mathbb{B}_r(0)}) \subset \Omega$ yielding

$$\text{supp}(\partial^\beta)^E (f * \rho_n - f) \subset (\text{supp } f + \overline{\mathbb{B}_r(0)}) =: K$$

for all $n \geq 1/r$. Choosing $\delta := \min\{\delta_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l\} > 0$, we obtain for all $n > \max\{1/\delta, 1/r\}$ that

$$|f * \rho_n - f|_{j,l,\alpha} = \sup_{\substack{x \in K \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E(f * \rho_n - f)(x)) \nu_{j,l}(x) \leq \varepsilon \sup_{x \in K} \nu_{j,l}(x)$$

which implies our statement since \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded on Ω and $K \subset \Omega$ is compact. ■

5. Approximation property. Finally, we dedicate our last section to our main theorem. We start with the case $k = 0$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let E be an lcHs and \mathcal{V}^0 a family of locally bounded weights which is locally bounded away from zero on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω . Then the following statements hold.*

- a) $\mathcal{C}_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega, E)$.
- b) For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\Omega, E)$ and any open neighbourhood V of $\text{supp } f$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $j \in J$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$, there is $g \in \mathcal{C}_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$ such that $\text{supp } g \subset V$ and $|f - g|_{j,0,\alpha} \leq \varepsilon$.
- c) If E is complete, then

$$\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega) \varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E.$$

- d) $\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega)$ has the approximation property.

Proof. First, we consider part a). Due to Corollary 3.16 a) and Remark 3.9 $\mathcal{C}_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$ can be identified with a subspace of $\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega, E)$ equipped with the induced topology since \mathcal{V}^0 is locally bounded and locally bounded away from zero.

Let $f \in \mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega, E)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $j \in J$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ and fix the notation $\nu_j := \nu_{j,0}$. Then there is a compact set $\tilde{K} \subset \Omega$ such that

$$|f|_{\Omega \setminus \tilde{K}, j, 0, \alpha} = \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus \tilde{K}} p_\alpha(f(x)) \nu_j(x) < \varepsilon.$$

Let $K := \tilde{K}$. Since Ω is locally compact, every $w \in K$ has an open, relatively compact neighbourhood $U_w \subset \Omega$. As K is compact and $K \subset \bigcup_{w \in K} U_w$, there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w_i \in K$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{w_i} =: W \subset \Omega.$$

The set W is open and relatively compact because it is a finite union of open, relatively compact sets. The local boundedness of \mathcal{V}^0 and relative compactness of W imply that

$$N := 1 + \sup_{x \in \overline{W}} \nu_j(x) < \infty.$$

For $x \in K$ we define $V_x := \{y \in \Omega \mid p_\alpha(f(y) - f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{N}\}$. Then $V_x = f^{-1}(B_\alpha(f(x), \frac{\varepsilon}{N}))$, where $B_\alpha(f(x), \frac{\varepsilon}{N}) := \{e \in E \mid p_\alpha(e - f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{N}\}$, implying that V_x is open in Ω since f is continuous. Hence we get $K \subset \bigcup_{x \in K} V_x$ and conclude that there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_i \in K$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{x_i}$ from the compactness of K . We note that

$$K = (K \cap \overline{W}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W}). \tag{13}$$

The sets $V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W}$ are open in the compact Hausdorff space \overline{W} with respect to the topology induced by Ω . Since the compact Hausdorff space \overline{W} is normal by [4, Chap. IX, §4.1, Proposition 1, p. 181] and K is closed in \overline{W} , there is a family of non-negative real-valued continuous functions (φ_i) with $\text{supp } \varphi_i \subset (V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i = 1$ on K and $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \leq 1$ on \overline{W} by [4, Chap. IX, §4.3, Corollary, p. 186]. By trivially extending φ_i on $\Omega \setminus \overline{W}$, we obtain $\varphi_i \in C_c^0(\Omega)$ because \overline{W} is compact. We define

$$g := \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \otimes f(x_i) \in C_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$$

and observe $\text{supp } g \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W})$. If $x \in K$, then $\varphi_i(x)p_\alpha(f(x) - f(x_i)) = 0$ if $x \notin V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} p_\alpha(f(x) - g(x)) &= p_\alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x)(f(x) - f(x_i))\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x)p_\alpha(f(x) - f(x_i)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \frac{\varepsilon}{N} = \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \end{aligned}$$

yielding to

$$\sup_{x \in K} p_\alpha((f - g)(x))\nu_j(x) \leq \sup_{x \in K} \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \nu_j(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \overline{W}} \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \nu_j(x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \cdot (N - 1) < \varepsilon.$$

If $x \notin K$, then $\varphi_i(x)f(x_i) = 0$ if $x \notin (V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W}) \setminus K$. If $x \in (V_{x_i} \cap \overline{W}) \setminus K$, then

$$p_\alpha(\varphi_i(x)f(x_i)) \leq \varphi_i(x)(p_\alpha(f(x_i) - f(x)) + p_\alpha(f(x))) \leq \varphi_i(x)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{N} + p_\alpha(f(x))\right)$$

yielding to

$$\begin{aligned} &|f - g|_{\Omega \setminus K, j, 0, \alpha} \\ &= \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K} p_\alpha((f - g)(x))\nu_j(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K} (p_\alpha(f(x)) + p_\alpha(g(x)))\nu_j(x) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K} \sum_{i=1}^n p_\alpha(\varphi_i(x)f(x_i))\nu_j(x) \leq \varepsilon + \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{N} + p_\alpha(f(x))\right)\nu_j(x) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus K} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x)\nu_j(x) \leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \sup_{x \in \overline{W}} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x)\nu_j(x) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{N} \cdot (N - 1) < 3\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

implying

$$|f - g|_{j, 0, \alpha} < 4\varepsilon$$

which proves part a).

Part c) follows from a) and Corollary 3.16 b) because $\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega)$ is complete by Proposition 3.7. Part d) is implied by part c). Let us turn to part b). Let $f \in C_c^0(\Omega, E)$ and V be an open neighbourhood of $\tilde{K} := \text{supp } f$. Then we can replace (13) by

$$K = (K \cap V \cap \overline{W}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{x_i} \cap V \cap \overline{W})$$

and then the open sets V_{x_i} by the open sets $V_{x_i} \cap V$ in what follows (13). This gives

$$\text{supp } g \subset \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (V_{x_i} \cap V \cap \overline{W}) \right) \subset V$$

proving b). ■

If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^d , we can choose a smooth partition of unity (see e.g. [12, Theorem 1.4.5, p. 28]) and even deduce that $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^0(\Omega, E)$ under the assumptions of the proposition above.

The proof of part a) is a modification of the proof of [2, 5.1 Satz, p. 204] by Bierstedt. Since Ω is locally compact and not just a completely regular Hausdorff space, we can use the partition of unity from [4, Chap. IX, §4.1, Proposition 1, p. 181]. Bierstedt has to use the partition of unity from [20, 23, Lemma 2, p. 71] and due to the assumptions of this lemma he cannot choose $K = \tilde{K}$ but has to use

$$K' := \{x \in \Omega \mid p_\alpha(f(x))\nu_j(x) \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \tilde{K}.$$

Bierstedt's assumption that ν_j is upper semi-continuous guarantees that K' is closed and thus compact as a closed subset of the compact set \tilde{K} . Choosing $K := K'$, the proof above works as well where the existence of the open set $W \subset \Omega$ is a consequence of the upper semi-continuity of ν_j again. Comparing Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 5.1, we see that Theorem 1.1 is far more general concerning the spaces Ω involved but the condition of \mathcal{V}^0 being a locally bounded family in Proposition 5.1 is weaker than the condition of being a family of upper semi-continuous weights in Theorem 1.1. Let us phrase our main theorem.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let E be an lcHs, $k \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ and \mathcal{V}^k be a family of locally bounded weights which is locally bounded away from zero on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ be barrelled and $C_c^k(\Omega, E)$ dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$. Then the following statements hold.*

- a) $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$.
- b) If E is complete, then

$$\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E) \cong \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)_\varepsilon E \cong \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon E.$$

- c) $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ has the approximation property.

Proof. It suffices to prove part a) because part b) follows from a) and Corollary 3.16 b) since $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ is complete by Proposition 3.7. Then part c) is a consequence of b). Let us turn to part a). Since $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ is barrelled, \mathcal{V}^k locally bounded and locally bounded away from zero, the space $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ can be considered as a topological subspace of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega) \otimes_\varepsilon E$ by Corollary 3.16 a) and Remark 3.9 when equipped with the induced topology.

Let $f \in \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $j \in J$, $l \in \langle k \rangle$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}$ where $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \widehat{\mathfrak{A}}}$ is the system of seminorms describing the locally convex topology of the completion \widehat{E} of E . In the following we consider functions with values in E also as functions with values in \widehat{E} and note that $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, \widehat{E})$ is the completion of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ by Proposition 3.7. Thus the topologies of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, \widehat{E})$ coincide on $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$. The density of $C_c^k(\Omega, E)$ in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ yields that there is $\tilde{f} \in C_c^k(\Omega, E)$ such that $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_{j,l,\alpha} < \varepsilon/3$. Further, there

is $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|\tilde{f} - \tilde{f} * \rho_n|_{j,l,\alpha} < \varepsilon/3$ for all $n \geq N_0$ by Lemma 4.6 as \widehat{E} is complete. Let $K_1 := \text{supp } \tilde{f}$ and choose an open neighbourhood V of K_1 such that V is relatively compact in Ω which is possible since K_1 is compact and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Since \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded away from zero, there is $i \in J$ such that

$$C_1 := \sup_{x \in \overline{V}} \nu_{i,0}(x)^{-1} = \left(\inf_{x \in \overline{V}} \nu_{i,0}(x) \right)^{-1} < \infty.$$

From the relative compactness of V in Ω it follows that there is $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\overline{V} + \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/n}(0)} \subset \Omega$$

for all $n \geq N_1$. Choosing $N_2 := \max\{N_0, N_1\}$ and defining the compact set $K_2 := \overline{V} + \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/N_2}(0)} \subset \Omega$, we get that

$$C_2 := \sup_{x \in K_2} \nu_{j,l}(x) < \infty$$

because \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded. Further, we estimate

$$C_3 := \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\beta \rho_{N_2}(y)| \, dy \leq (N_2)^l \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial^\beta \rho(y)| \, dy < \infty.$$

By virtue of Proposition 5.1 b) there is $g = \sum_{m=1}^q g_m \otimes e_m \in C_c^0(\Omega) \otimes E$ such that $\text{supp } g \subset V$ and

$$|\tilde{f} - g|_{i,0,\alpha} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3C_1 C_2 C_3}.$$

By Lemma 4.5 we observe that $g * \rho_{N_2} \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, E)$ with

$$\text{supp}(g * \rho_{N_2}) \subset \overline{V} + \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/N_2}(0)} = K_2 \subset \Omega$$

and

$$g * \rho_{N_2} = \sum_{m=1}^q (g_m * \rho_{N_2}) \otimes e_m \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E.$$

Thus we have by Lemma 4.5

$$\text{supp}(\tilde{f} * \rho_{N_2}) \subset \overline{V} + \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/N_2}(0)} = K_2$$

yielding

$$\text{supp}(\tilde{f} * \rho_{N_2} - g * \rho_{N_2}) \subset \overline{V} + \overline{\mathbb{B}_{1/N_2}(0)} = K_2 \subset \Omega$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\tilde{f} * \rho_{N_2} - g * \rho_{N_2}|_{j,l,\alpha} \stackrel{(10)}{=} \sup_{\substack{x \in K_2 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\tilde{f} - g) * (\partial^\beta \rho_{N_2}))(x) \nu_{j,l}(x) \\ &= \sup_{\substack{x \in K_2 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\partial^\beta \rho_{N_2})(x - y) (\tilde{f}_{\text{ex}}(y) - g_{\text{ex}}(y)) \, dy \right) \nu_{j,l}(x) \\ &\leq \sup_{\substack{x \in K_2 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\partial^\beta \rho_{N_2})(x - y)| \, dy \sup_{\substack{z \in \text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \\ \cup \text{supp}(g)}} p_\alpha(\tilde{f}(z) - g(z)) \nu_{j,l}(x) \\ &= \sup_{\substack{x \in K_2 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\partial^\beta \rho_{N_2})(y)| \, dy \sup_{z \in \overline{V}} p_\alpha(\tilde{f}(z) - g(z)) \nu_{j,l}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_3 \left(\sup_{x \in K_2} \nu_{j,l}(x) \right) \left(\sup_{z \in \tilde{V}} p_\alpha(\tilde{f}(z) - g(z)) \right) \\ &= C_3 C_2 \sup_{z \in \tilde{V}} p_\alpha(\tilde{f}(z) - g(z)) \nu_{i,0}(z) \nu_{i,0}(z)^{-1} \\ &\leq C_3 C_2 C_1 |\tilde{f} - g|_{i,0,\alpha} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we deduce

$$|f - g * \rho_{N_2}|_{j,l,\alpha} \leq |f - \tilde{f}|_{j,l,\alpha} + |\tilde{f} - \tilde{f} * \rho_{N_2}|_{j,l,\alpha} + |\tilde{f} * \rho_{N_2} - g * \rho_{N_2}|_{j,l,\alpha} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

If we keep in mind that $f \in \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ and $g * \rho_{N_2} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$, it follows that $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ with respect to the topology of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, \widehat{E})$. However, the latter space is just the completion of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ and thus the topologies of $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ and $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, \widehat{E})$ coincide on $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega) \otimes E$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$. ■

$\mathcal{C}_c^k(\Omega, E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ by Lemma 3.14 if the latter space fulfils the cut-off criterion and the family \mathcal{V}^k is locally bounded. $\mathcal{CV}_0^k(\Omega)$ is a Fréchet space and thus barrellled by Proposition 3.7 if the J in $\mathcal{V}^k = (\nu_{j,l})_{j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle}$ is countable. Let us complement what we said about the standard structure of a family of weights (see the remarks below Definition 3.2) by our additional conditions on the weights collected so far. The standard structure of a (countable) locally bounded family \mathcal{V}^k which is bounded away from zero on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω , resp. on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, is given by the following. Let $J := \mathbb{N}$, $(\Omega_j)_{j \in J}$, be a family of sets such that $\Omega_j \subset \Omega_{j+1}$ for all $j \in J$ with $\Omega = \bigcup_{j \in J} \Omega_j$ and

$$\forall K \subset \Omega \text{ compact } \exists j \in J : K \subset \Omega_j.$$

Let $\tilde{\nu}_{j,l} : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be continuous for all $j \in J, l \in \langle k \rangle$ and increasing in $j \in J$ and in $l \in \langle k \rangle$ such that

$$\nu_{j,l}(x) = \chi_{\Omega_j}(x) \tilde{\nu}_{j,l}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{14}$$

for every $j \in J$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$ where χ_{Ω_j} is the indicator function of Ω_j . If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^d$, then the cut-off criterion may add some restrictions on the structure of the sequence (Ω_j) , e.g. a positive distance from the boundary $\partial\Omega_j$ of Ω_j to the boundary $\partial\Omega_{j+1}$ of Ω_{j+1} for all j .

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let E be an lchS, $k \in \mathbb{N}_\infty$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open. Theorem 5.2 can be applied to the following spaces:

- a) $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E)$ with the topology of uniform convergence of all partial derivatives up to order k on compact subsets of Ω ,
- b) the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$,
- c) the space $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ of multipliers of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
- d) let $\Omega_j := \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 1/(j+1) < |x_2| < j+1\}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{exp}}^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}, E) \mid \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \langle k \rangle, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : |f|_{j,l,\alpha} < \infty\}$$

where

$$|f|_{j,l,\alpha} := \sup_{\substack{(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega_j \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x_1, x_2)) e^{-|x_1|/(j+1)}.$$

Proof. a) From Example 3.5 a) we obtain $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega, E) = \mathcal{CW}_0^k(\Omega, E)$ with $\mathcal{W}^k := \{\nu_{j,l} := \chi_{\Omega_j} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \langle k \rangle\}$ where $(\Omega_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a compact exhaustion of Ω . The family of weights \mathcal{W}^k is locally bounded and locally bounded away from zero. The Fréchet space $\mathcal{C}^k(\Omega)$ is barrelled and the cut-off criterion is fulfilled by Example 3.12.

b) Due to Example 3.5 b) we have $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ with $\mathcal{V}^\infty := \{\nu_{j,l} \mid j \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ where $\nu_{j,l}(x) := (1 + |x|^2)^{l/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The family of weights is locally bounded and bounded away from zero, the Fréchet space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is barrelled and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ fulfils the cut-off criterion by Example 3.12.

c) The space of multipliers is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d, E) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) \mid \forall g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), l \in \mathbb{N}_0, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A} : \|f\|_{g,l,\alpha} < \infty\}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{g,l,\alpha} := \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) |g(x)|$$

(see [21, 3^o], p. 97]). The space $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is barrelled by [11, Chap. II, §4, n^o4, Théorème 16, p. 131]. Let $J := \{j \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid j \text{ finite}\}$ and define the family \mathcal{V}^∞ of weights given by $\nu_{j,l}(x) := \max_{g \in j} |g(x)|$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for $j \in J$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It is easily seen that the system of seminorms generated by

$$|f|_{j,l,\alpha} := \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d, |\beta| \leq l}} p_\alpha((\partial^\beta)^E f(x)) \nu_{j,l}(x), \quad f \in \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d, E),$$

for $j \in J$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ induces the same topology on $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$. However, the family \mathcal{V}^∞ is directed, locally bounded and bounded away from zero. Further, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $r > 0$ such that $(1 + |x|^2)^{-1} < \varepsilon$ for all $x \notin \overline{\mathbb{B}_r(0)} =: K$ which implies for $j \in J$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that

$$\nu_{j,l}(x) \leq \varepsilon \max_{g \in j} |g(x)(1 + |x|^2)| = \varepsilon \nu_{i,l}(x), \quad x \notin K,$$

where $i := \{g \cdot (1 + |\cdot|^2) \mid g \in j\}$ is a finite subset of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. From Remark 3.4 we conclude that $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E) = \mathcal{CV}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$. Due to Remark 3.11 we note that $\mathcal{O}_M(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ satisfies the cut-off criterion.

d) The family \mathcal{V}^k given by $\nu_{j,l}(x_1, x_2) := \chi_{\Omega_j}(x_1, x_2) e^{-|x_1|/(j+1)}$, $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \langle k \rangle$ is locally bounded and bounded away from zero. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we set $i := 2j+1$, $m := l$, $\delta := 1/(2j+2)$ and for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we choose $K := \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \overline{\Omega_j} \mid |x_1| \leq -(\ln \varepsilon)(2j+2)\}$. This yields $\mathcal{C}_{\text{exp}}^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}, E) = \mathcal{CV}^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}, E) = \mathcal{CV}_0^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R}, E)$ by Remark 3.4 and that the cut-off criterion is fulfilled. In addition, the Fréchet space $\mathcal{C}_{\text{exp}}^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{R})$ is barrelled. ■

Together with Proposition 5.1 we get from example a) one of our starting points, namely Theorem 1.2, back. Example b) and c) are covered by [21, Proposition 9, p. 108] and [21, Théorème 1, p. 111]. The results b) and c) for the Schwartz space in example b) can also be found in [11, Chap. II, §3, n^o3, Exemples, p. 80–81] with a different proof using the nuclearity of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We complete this paper with a comparison of our conditions in Theorem 5.2 with the ones stated by Schwartz in [21] to get the same result for the spaces in example a)–c) but only for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$.

REMARK 5.4. Schwartz treats the case $k > 0$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ in [21]. He assumes similar conditions H_1 – H_4 for the space $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d) := \mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{K})$ as we do (see [21, p. 97–98]). In H_1 the members of his family of weights Γ are continuous and for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ there is a weight in Γ which is non-zero on K . $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\gamma \partial^\beta f$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}^d for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $|\beta| \leq k$. This yields to $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ algebraically. In H_2 he demands that $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a locally convex Hausdorff space and that the inclusions $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are continuous where $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has its usual topology and $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ its inductive limit topology. In H_3 he supposes that a subset $B \subset \mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is bounded if and only if for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $|\beta| \leq k$ the set $\{\gamma(x) \partial^\beta f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in B\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{K} . In H_4 he assumes that on every bounded subset of $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the topology of $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the induced topology of $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ coincide.

He defines the E -valued version $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ which corresponds to the space $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $\mathcal{H}^k = \mathcal{C}_c^k, \mathcal{C}^k, \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{O}_M and shows that the statements of Theorem 5.2 hold for all of them but $\mathcal{H}^k = \mathcal{C}_c^k$ (see [21, p. 94–97], [21, Proposition 9, p. 108] and [21, Théorème 1, p. 111]).

In comparison, our conditions of local boundedness of \mathcal{V}^k and being locally bounded away from zero on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ imply H_1 and H_2 if the members of \mathcal{V}^k are continuous. The assumption that the members of \mathcal{V}^k are continuous is not a big difference if the members of the family \mathcal{V}^k have a structure like in (14). Then one may replace the indicator functions χ_{Ω_j} by a smoothed version, e.g. by convolution of the indicator function with a suitable mollifier, and then one gets a family of continuous weights which generates the same topology. The condition H_3 is clearly fulfilled for the spaces $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the topology on them is called ‘topologie naturelle’ by Schwartz (see [21, p. 98]). The condition H_4 implies that $\mathcal{C}_c^k(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$ for $\mathcal{H}^k = \mathcal{C}^k, \mathcal{S}$ and \mathcal{O}_M and quasi-complete E (see [21, p. 106] and [21, Théorème 1, p. 111]). The same follows in our case from local boundedness and the cut-off criterion.

References

- [1] K.-D. Bierstedt, *Gewichtete Räume stetiger vektorwertiger Funktionen und das injektive Tensorprodukt*, Ph.D. thesis, Johannes-Gutenberg Universität Mainz, Mainz, 1971.
- [2] K.-D. Bierstedt, *Gewichtete Räume stetiger vektorwertiger Funktionen und das injektive Tensorprodukt. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 259 (1973), 186–210.
- [3] K.-D. Bierstedt, *Gewichtete Räume stetiger vektorwertiger Funktionen und das injektive Tensorprodukt. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 260 (1973), 133–146.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology, Part 2*, Elements of Mathematics, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1966.
- [5] H. Buchwalter, *Topologies et compactologies*, Publ. Dép. Math. (Lyon) 6 (1969), no. 2, 1–74.
- [6] A. Defant, K. Floret, *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 176, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [7] J. Elstrodt, *Maß- und Integrationstheorie*, 7th ed., Grundwissen Mathematik, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [8] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Sigma Ser. Pure Math. 6, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.

- [9] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory: The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, CMS Books Math., Springer, New York, 2011.
- [10] K. Floret, J. Wloka, *Einführung in die Theorie der lokalkonvexen Räume*, Lecture Notes in Math. 56, Springer, Berlin, 1968.
- [11] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, 4th ed., Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1966.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, 2nd ed., Classics Math., Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [13] I. M. James, *Topologies and Uniformities*, Springer Undergrad. Math. Ser., Springer, London, 1999.
- [14] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, Math. Leitfäden, Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [15] W. Kaballo, *Aufbaukurs Funktionalanalysis und Operatortheorie*, Springer, Berlin, 2014.
- [16] A. Kriegl, P. W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, Math. Surveys Monogr. 53, AMS, Providence, 1997.
- [17] K. Kruse, *Weighted vector-valued functions and the ε -product*, arXiv:1712.01613v6.
- [18] R. Meise, D. Vogt, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Oxford Grad. Texts Math. 2, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997.
- [19] K. Musiał, *Vitali and Lebesgue convergence theorems for Pettis integral in locally convex spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 35 (1987), 159–166.
- [20] L. Nachbin, *Elements of Approximation Theory*, Notas de Matemática 33, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 1965.
- [21] L. Schwartz, *Espaces de fonctions différentiables à valeurs vectorielles*, J. Analyse Math. 4 (1955), 88–148.
- [22] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles. I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 7 (1957), 1–142.
- [23] F. Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Dover, Mineola, NY, 2006.
- [24] A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.