Boiling regimes of impacting drops on a heated substrate under reduced pressure
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We experimentally investigate the boiling behavior of impacting ethanol drops on a heated smooth sapphire substrate at pressures ranging from $P = 0.13$ bar to atmospheric pressure. We employ frustrated total internal reflection imaging to study the wetting dynamics of the contact between the drop and the substrate. The spreading drop can be in full contact (contact boiling), it can partially touch (transition boiling), or the drop can be fully levitated (Leidenfrost boiling). We show that the temperature of the boundary between contact and transition boiling shows at most a weak dependence on the impact velocity, but a significant decrease with decreasing ambient gas pressure. A striking correspondence is found between the temperature of this boundary and the static Leidenfrost temperature for all pressures. We therefore conclude that both phenomena share the same mechanism and are dominated by the dynamics taking place at the contact line. On the other hand, the boundary between transition boiling and Leidenfrost boiling, i.e., the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature, increases for increasing impact velocity for all ambient gas pressures. Moreover, the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature coincides for pressures between $P = 0.13$ and 0.54 bar, whereas for atmospheric pressure the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature is slightly elevated. This indicates that the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature is at most weakly dependent on the enhanced evaporation by the lower saturation temperature of the liquid.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Drop impact on heated surfaces has enjoyed a great deal of research attention in recent years [1,2] due to its numerous applications such as in spray cooling, burn-out phenomena, and fuel injection [3,4]. In these processes it is desirable to have a high heat transfer rate between the solid and the liquid which is achieved by a large contact area between the liquid and the substrate. When a substrate is heated above a certain temperature, the drop will hover above the substrate on its own vapor. The low thermal conductivity of the vapor layer insulates the liquid from the substrate, hence the heat transfer from the substrate to the drop is drastically reduced. As a result, the lifetime of a deposited drop increases significantly. The lowest substrate temperature $T_{\text{sur}}$ at which this phenomenon occurs is referred to as the Leidenfrost temperature $T_L$ [5–7]. Under reduced ambient pressures the saturation
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**FIG. 1.** The FTIR images of ethanol drops at \( t = 0.21 \text{ ms} \) after impacting a sapphire prism with impact velocity \( U = 1.0 \text{ m/s} \) at atmospheric pressure. (a) For a substrate temperature of \( T_{\text{sur}} = 138^\circ C \) the spreading drop fully wets the surface and is in contact boiling. (b) At \( 181^\circ C \) the drop partially wets the surface and is identified as transition boiling. (c) At \( 199^\circ C \) the drop is only visible in gray values: It is fully levitated and hence in the Leidenfrost boiling state. The blue circles correspond to the radius of the wetted area of a drop in the contact boiling state.

The temperature \( T_{\text{sat}} \) decreases as well, as described by the Antoine equation

\[
T_{\text{sat}} = \frac{b}{a - \log_{10}(\frac{P}{P_0})} - c, \tag{1}
\]

which is derived from the Clausius-Clapeyron equation. Orejon *et al.* [8] suggested a simplified form of this equation to describe \( T_{L,\text{static}} \) as a function of pressure

\[
T_{L,\text{static}} = \frac{1}{A - B \log_{10}(\frac{P}{P_0})}, \tag{2}
\]

with \( P_0 = 1 \text{ bar} \). Using this relation, Orejon *et al.* [8] were able to fit \( T_{L,\text{static}}(P) \) to their experimental results for a wide range of liquids and substrates. This raises the question of how well this relation applies to the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature, i.e., the case when the drop is forced onto the heated substrate by an initial downward motion.

For drops impacting a heated surface at atmospheric pressure it is shown that \( T_L \) increases with increasing impact velocity [9–11]. Prior to impact a high-pressure region is formed between the drop and the substrate, a result of the viscosity of the escaping air [2]. This causes a deceleration of the drop and the formation of a dimple in the drop before touchdown [12,13]. Touchdown of the drop on the substrate can be prevented completely in the case of a heated substrate because the escaping air is replenished by the evaporation of the drop [9,10,14]. A more systematic study was performed by Shirota *et al.* [14], where the boiling behavior was characterized into three boiling regimes, contact boiling (CB), transition boiling (TB), and Leidenfrost boiling (LF), depending on the relative behavior between the wetting and spreading radius. An example is given in Fig. 1, where with increasing plate temperature the wetting radius diminishes and finally vanishes. The temperature at which a change in boiling behavior occurs between contact and transition boiling will be referred to as the CB-TB boundary. Similarly, the TB-LF boundary refers to the temperature at which drops enter the Leidenfrost state from the transition boiling regime. A phase diagram for ethanol drops with different impact velocities \( U \) and surface temperatures \( T_{\text{sur}} \) at atmospheric pressure is shown in Fig. 2, reproduced in the current study as a reference to and in agreement with previous results [14,15].
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Since it is known that the ambient gas pressure has a great influence on impact dynamics [16–25], it is interesting to study how heating the substrate affects the touchdown under reduced pressure conditions. A major consequence of lowering the ambient gas pressure in the unheated case is the suppression of splash formation [16]. This phenomenon has been studied extensively both experimentally [16–18,21–24] and numerically [19,20], where a crucial role is found for both the wetting of the substrate and the air-liquid interaction [25]. However, since the vapor generation is affected by the change in saturation temperature, it is far from obvious how such a system will behave under various ambient gas pressures. The goal of this study is to investigate the role of the pressure on the wetting dynamics and boiling behaviors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL ASPECTS

To study the impact behavior of ethanol drops on a heated surface at various pressures, a frustrated total internal reflection (FTIR) setup is used as shown in Fig. 3. Details of the setup and methods have been described before [14,15,26]; for self-consistency, here we give the essential aspects. A laser beam (wavelength $\lambda = 643$ nm, $p$ polarized) is widened by a beam expander and illuminates the sapphire-air surface. The angle of the incident light is larger than the critical angle to reflect the laser beam. The reflected light is recorded with a high-speed camera (Photron SA-X2) with a frame rate of at least 20,000 frames/s (fps) and the camera is focused on the top interface of the prism. When a drop is in contact with the surface, a fraction of the laser beam can be transmitted across the interface, resulting in the detection of a dark spot by the camera. Intermediate intensities of the reflected light appear when the base of the drop is just above the surface within the distance of the decay length of the evanescent wave, typically on the order of hundreds of nanometers. A sapphire substrate is chosen because of its transparency, its smoothness (surface roughness of 10 nm), and its relatively high thermal conductivity of $k_{\text{sur}} = 32$ W/mK. The sapphire prism is positioned in an aluminum holder that is kept at a constant temperature using a proportional-integral-derivative controller. The temperature of the sapphire surface $T_{\text{sur}}$ is calibrated by using a surface probe (N-141K, Anritsu) as well as a Pt-100 sensor for various ambient pressures and heater set points.
FIG. 3. Schematic representation of the setup used in our experiments. In addition to the side-view camera, we study the wetting of the drop by a bottom-view camera, using frustrated total internal reflection imaging. The sapphire prism was heated to set the desired surface temperature $T_{\text{sur}}$.

The needle and heated surface are situated in a low-pressure chamber. The surrounding pressure ranging from $P = 0.13$ bar to atmospheric pressure is controlled by a pump and a pressure sensor (JUMO dTrans p30). The chamber has a volume of about 30 L, so the evaporated ethanol drops has a negligible effect on the gas composition. The needle is surrounded by a brass block that is maintained at a constant temperature of 5°C to ensure that the ethanol is well below the saturation temperature at all pressures. The sensible heat $C_p \Delta T$ however, changes with pressure, but is still much smaller than the latent heat of evaporation $L$, i.e., $Ja = C_p[T - T_{\text{sat}}(P)]/L$ ranges between 0.1 and 0.2. Note that for liquids with low latent heat such as FC-84 the heating of the droplet must be considered in the energy balance. Single drops of diameter $D_0 = 2.6$ mm are created from the tip of the needle and fall down when the gravitational force on the drop overcomes the surface tension. The impact velocity $U$ on the substrate is varied between $U = 0.3$ and 1.7 m/s by varying the height of the needle. Here $D_0$ and $U$ are measured by tracking the drop 4 mm before impact using a secondary high-speed camera (Photron SA1.1) at 20 000 fps. The light sources and cameras are placed outside the low-pressure chamber and the images are recorded through a glass window in the chamber.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Pressure effect on static Leidenfrost effect

Since the change in boiling behavior from contact to transition boiling correlates strongly with the static Leidenfrost temperature [14,15], we first perform experiments for ethanol to obtain $T_L$ for reduced pressure conditions. Orejon et al. [8] found the static Leidenfrost temperature $T_{L, \text{static}}$ decreasing with pressure for various liquids; however, no results are available for ethanol, the liquid of interest in the present study. The pressure dependence of $T_L = T_L(P)$ is measured here on a silicon substrate of 5 mm thickness, since this can be considered to be isothermal [27,28] during the evaporation of the drop, mainly due to the high thermal conductivity of silicon ($k_s = 148$ W/mK at $T = 300$ K). The results are shown in Fig. 4, with a best fit of Eq. (2) giving fitting parameters $A = 0.0069(5)$ and $B = 0.004(1)$ and $P_0$ the (reference) ambient pressure. This fitting shows a similar trend as the saturation temperature $T_{\text{sat}}$ of ethanol. It is not surprising that $T_L$ decreases with decreasing ambient pressure, as then the escaping vapor under the drop has to do less work against the
smaller ambient pressure. Similarly, the evaporation is easier as the saturation temperature decreases, resulting in a comparable temperature difference between $T_L(P)$ and $T_{sat}(P)$.

B. Phase diagram under reduced pressure

The boiling behavior of the impacting ethanol drops on the heated surface is studied in detail using the FTIR images, enabling us to study the wetting behavior during impact. A selection of the impacts is displayed in Fig. 5, where for four different plate temperatures a series of snapshots is presented. The panels are composed of an impact in ambient conditions (right half) and at a reduced pressure of 0.29 bar, where both the side-view and FTIR recordings are shown. It is clearly visible from the recordings at $T_{sur}=103^\circ C$ that for both pressures the wetted (black) area of the FTIR recordings corresponds to the spreading behavior as observed by the side-view camera. This radius is imposed for all FTIR recordings as a reference for the wetting behavior. From these results, two trends can directly be deduced: Increasing the initial temperature of the substrate suppresses the wetting of the plate and reducing the ambient pressure yields the same trend.

As suggested by Shirota et al., the impacts can be categorized into three boiling regimes: contact boiling, transition boiling, and Leidenfrost boiling [14]. At sufficiently low substrate temperatures $T_{sur}$, the wetting front of the drop has the same velocity as that of a drop impacting an unheated surface [14]. This is identified as the contact boiling state; see the $T_{sur}=103^\circ C$ recordings of Fig. 5. At certain $T_{sur}$ this is no longer observed: The spreading radius $R_s$ of the drop exceeds the wetting radius $R_w$ of the liquid on the surface. Examples are shown in Fig. 5 at $T_{sur}=129^\circ C$ at 0.29 bar and $T_{sur}=159^\circ C$ at ambient pressure. The edge of the drop is levitated above the surface, called the lamella, and this behavior is categorized as transition boiling [14]. At even higher values of $T_{sur}$ the impacting drop does not wet the surface at all, hence it is in the Leidenfrost state; see, for instance, the recordings at $T_{sur}=194^\circ C$. The temperature at which a change in boiling behavior occurs between contact and transition boiling will be referred to as the CB-TB boundary. Similarly, the TB-LF boundary refers to the temperature at which drops enter the Leidenfrost state from the transition boiling regime.
FIG. 5. Comparison of ethanol drops impacting a heated sapphire prism under an ambient pressure of 0.29 and 1 bar (in the left and the right half of every panel, respectively). In addition to the side-view observations, the FTIR views are displayed, revealing a clear distinction between wet areas (black) and air-vapor regions (white). The spreading radius as found by the side-view observations is included in red as a reference, revealing the changes in boiling state with increasing temperature and reduction in pressure. The dashed line for the $T_{\text{sur}} = 159^\circ\text{C}$ case indicates the field of view for this particular measurement.

The resulting phase diagram for ethanol drops with different impact velocities $U$ and surface temperatures $T_{\text{sur}}$ at atmospheric pressure was introduced earlier in Fig. 2. The temperature for the CB-TB boundary is at most weakly dependent of $U$, while the temperature of the TB-LF boundary, i.e., the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature, increases for higher $U$. This is in agreement with previous results for different liquids [14,15,29].
FIG. 6. The CB-TB boundaries for different pressures and impact velocities are shown in yellow and the dynamic Leidenfrost temperatures are shown in red. Both plots show the same data, but they are represented in a different way to show different effects more clearly. The boundaries are plotted in the top panel as a function of impact velocity, while in bottom panel the boundaries are plotted as a function of ambient pressure. It can be seen that the CB-TB boundary is almost independent of impact velocity and is in close agreement with $T_{L,\text{static}}(P)$ indicated with the black dotted line in the bottom panel. The TB-LF boundary increases for most measurements with impact velocity and changes slightly with pressure.

1. Pressure effect on the boundary between the contact and transition boiling regime

For all ambient pressures the CB-TB boundaries are within the measurement error independent of impact speed (see Fig. 6). This is in correspondence with the trend found for measurements at atmospheric pressure [14,15]. However, the temperature of the CB-TB boundary decreases with decreasing ambient gas pressure. When this boundary is compared to $T_{L,\text{static}}(P)$ the agreement is striking, suggesting that the latter is determined by the same physical mechanisms as $T_L(P)$.

2. Pressure effect on the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature

An interesting behavior of the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature is found when varying the ambient gas pressure: We find a collapse for impacts at ambient gas pressures between $P = 0.13$ and 0.54 bar, as shown in Fig. 6. When comparing the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature under reduced pressures with our results at atmospheric conditions we find a slightly lower temperature. On the other hand,
the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature is increased for all ambient gas pressures when comparing the highest with the lowest velocity. It highlights that the impact dynamics are dominated by the high pressure developing in the drop [30–33], rather than the pressure in far field.

Although suggested in the literature that the dynamics for \( U < 1.3 \text{ ms}^{-1} \) are associated mainly with viscous stresses in the air-vapor layer [34], our data cannot support this in reduced pressure conditions: Since the viscosity of an ideal gas is independent of pressure, a constant temperature difference between the saturation and Leidenfrost temperature would be expected for all pressures. We see, however, that the transition region widens for all impact velocities when decreasing the ambient pressure to \( P = 0.13 \) and 0.29 bar. A possible explanation is that compressibility effects start to play a role below this pressure [20]. As a consequence of the compressed air layer prior to impact, a higher vapor generation (i.e., a higher plate temperature) is needed to prevent contact with the plate. The complexity of the dynamic Leidenfrost problem is thus further increased in the present case of reduced pressure conditions due to the compressibility of the gas in [20]. Numerical work showed that at lower ambient gas pressures the shape of the impacting drop changes and the neck widens [20,35]. Since a larger area is close to the wall, an enhanced vapor generation might be expected, compensating the lower content of air at reduced pressure conditions, possibly negating the gas-compressibility effect partly. The current work, however, does not allow us to draw firm conclusions on this matter.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this study we identified the boiling regimes for ethanol drops on a sapphire substrate for different impact velocities and ambient gas pressures. High-speed FTIR imaging is used to observe the wetting of the impacting drops.

The temperature of the boundary between contact boiling and transition boiling systematically decreases when reducing the ambient gas pressure. It showed excellent agreement with the Leidenfrost temperature found here for static drops, from which we conclude that they share the same underlying mechanism. This boundary remained approximately independent of impact velocity, in agreement with previous studies. On the other hand, we found a collapse of the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature at reduced pressure conditions, slightly below the dynamic Leidenfrost temperature obtained in atmospheric conditions.

Combining these two observations reveals that the temperature range where transition boiling is found strongly increases with decreasing pressure, which possibly is caused by gases becoming compressible. It would be interesting to extend this study to elevated pressures to investigate how this trend develops above atmospheric conditions. One might find a collapse of both boundaries, resulting in the disappearance of the transition boiling regime.
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