Oversized post-dilatation of current bioresorbable vascular scaffolds: kill or cure?
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Bioresorbable scaffolds, of which most experience has been obtained with the poly-L-lactide (PLLA)-based Absorb bioresorbable vascular scaffold (BVS; Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, USA), have the potential to overcome the limitations of metallic drug-eluting stents (DES), as they temporarily scaffold the diseased coronary vessel and then disappear. After degradation of the BVS, the coronary artery can restore its vasomotion and it may show favourable vascular remodelling1,2,3. During the last four years, more than 100,000 patients have been treated with BVS, while several million patients have been treated with metallic DES which showed favourable clinical outcomes in randomised clinical trials, including low target vessel revascularisation and stent thrombosis rates4,5.

So why has there not been a surge in the use of BVS in our daily clinical practice? A simple but important reason is the efficacy and proven long-term safety of the current-generation DES. When we recall the introduction of bare metal stents and – more than a decade later – DES, there were compelling reasons to introduce these devices rapidly, as in both cases the new devices solved or mitigated major clinical problems, for which there was no similarly effective alternative. BVS promise to overcome the problem of neatherosclerosis formation in DES, which has been observed in both early and newer-generation DES6; however, considering the relatively low long-term event rates of patients treated with modern DES, this problem seems to be not overly common. The benefits of BVS are presumed to pay off in lower event rates after several years, but this remains to be demonstrated in ongoing large trials. While patients of all ages intuitively embrace the concept of a dissolving device, one may expect to see the greatest benefit in the young and middle-aged.

In addition, recent clinical studies and meta-analyses have shown some signals of a potential increase in risk of (early) stent thrombosis with bioresorbable scaffolds7,8,9. While the significance of this finding is still unclear, the timing of scaffold thrombosis, early after implantation, suggests a relation with the relatively thick strut dimensions, the apposition to the vessel wall, and/or implantation techniques (e.g., aggressiveness of lesion preparation, adequate device sizing with or without intracoronary imaging, employment of post-dilatation, balloon size and pressures used). For thick-strut coronary stents and scaffolds alike, it is of paramount importance to appose the device well to the vessel wall10. In clinical practice, there is frequently a need for stent post-dilatation and overexpansion to achieve full device apposition10, and the same holds true for BVS. Severely oversized post-dilatations of metallic stents are generally well tolerated without destructive consequences to the metal frame, but may have a significant effect on stent geometry10. The consequences of BVS overexpansion have not been fully examined, so it was high time that a thorough evaluation of this matter was performed and published.

In the current issue of EuroIntervention, Foin et al report the results of meticulous bench-top experiments on the overexpansion of BVS with non-compliant balloons of increasing diameters11. While BVS of different sizes could be overexpanded by 1 mm in a non-constrained setting, only overexpansion with a non-compliant balloon 0.5 mm larger than the BVS size was feasible in a constraining eccentric arterial lesion model. Excessive overexpansion of BVS beyond that limit resulted in scaffold fractures and a decrease in mechanical support, as impressively demonstrated by mechanical point force measurements. This loss of mechanical support may trigger adverse clinical events. The work of Foin et al confirms the “no more than 0.5 mm larger” instruction for BVS post-dilatation with non-compliant balloons which was provided by the device manufacturer. In addition, interesting insights with 2D and 3D optical coherence tomography (OCT) in fractured BVS samples demonstrated an advantage of 3D OCT in detecting fracture sites. Partial overexpansion of BVS with non-compliant balloons beyond the safety limit of 0.5 mm resulted in fractures which were located, in particular, in the region between the post-dilated and non-post-dilated segments11. In parallel with these findings, previous studies of metallic DES demonstrated the greatest stent deformation to be present just in that region (Figure 1)10.

**Figure 1.** Micro-computed tomographic assessment following extremely oversized partial post-dilatation of metallic DES with an open-cell design. The maximum stent deformation with the largest cells (arrowheads) was seen in the transitional region between the 1.5 mm overexpanded and the non-post-dilated segments (A: TAXUS Liberté™; Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, USA; B: Resolute™; Medtronic, Santa Rosa, CA, USA). The region of maximum stent deformation corresponds with the scaffold region in the study by Foin et al11 which showed many BVS fractures after excessive partial overexpansion. Quantitative data on DES deformation have previously been reported10.

The safety limit of BVS overexpansion emphasises the fact that an optimised sizing of BVS is of paramount importance. In a way, this is an echo from the past, when intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) measurements taught the interventional community that bare metal stents had to be implanted and often post-dilated with larger balloons and at higher pressures to improve stent expansion and apposition12,13. IVUS use then resulted in an impressive reduction of
stent thrombosis risk. Careful pre-interventional assessment of reference vessel size and examinations of BVS implanted are likely to improve the clinical results in BVS too. In most of the recent BVS studies, quantitative coronary angiography was used for BVS sizing, but in some studies IVUS was also performed. Pre-interventional IVUS can be highly valuable for the assessment of true vessel size and both vascular remodelling and tapering. Imaging with OCT can also help to optimise BVS safely and to detect device fractures, which –of course– should be prevented based on pre-interventional measurements of vessel size.

Does the current study provide answers to all relevant questions about the overexpansion of bioresorbable scaffolds? Well, probably not. While the authors obtained highly valuable data about Absorb BVS, they did not study other polymer- or magnesium-based bioresorbable scaffolds which have different physical properties. For instance, a PLLA-based scaffold, which regains its diameter after acute recoil due to the “self-correcting” properties of the device, was previously shown to have a much greater tolerance to significantly oversized post-dilations than the Absorb BVS. Finally, Foin et al used expired BVS for their experiments, and polymer ageing could have affected the physical properties of the devices tested. Therefore, it would be of great interest to perform additional in vitro studies with fresh samples of the Absorb BVS and other bioresorbable scaffolds as applied in the current study.

To conclude, well-controlled post-dilatation and overexpansion of current BVS is likely to prevent a substantial proportion of potential scaffold thromboses in clinical practice. For instance, a PLLA-based scaffold, which regains its diameter after acute recoil due to the “self-correcting” properties of the device, was previously shown to have a much greater tolerance to significantly oversized post-dilations than the Absorb BVS. Finally, Foin et al used expired BVS for their experiments, and polymer ageing could have affected the physical properties of the devices tested. Therefore, it would be of great interest to perform additional in vitro studies with fresh samples of the Absorb BVS and other bioresorbable scaffolds as applied in the current study.
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