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Summary

In most scientific studies on nanofiltration either the development of new membrane materials or the characterization of membranes is reported. In the latter case most studies use single solute salt or sugar solutions and/or investigate nanofiltration of solutions with mixtures of ions at low concentrations relative to solution concentrations often used in industrial applications. Furthermore, several of these studies have tried to predict retention performance of nanofiltration membranes for salt solutions containing two different salts, on the basis of these characterization experiments and derived model parameters, often with limited success. Only limited knowledge is available in open literature on the effect of salt ions in an aqueous feed solution on retention of neutral solutes such as glucose and vice versa. A better insight in these phenomena is needed, since several nanofiltration applications treat solutions containing a combination of salts and (neutral) components such as sugars, amino acids, peptides or proteins. In addition, there has been limited attention in open literature for nanofiltration membrane performance during treatment of more concentrated salt solutions, such as depleted brine in chlor/alkali production and saturated brines in the production of salt crystals, despite the fact that a substantial amount of (potential) nanofiltration applications deals with these types of solutions. A better understanding of the phenomena occurring during nanofiltration of these types of solutions is a pre-requisite for proper design of membrane units for these types of applications. These research questions form the basis for the work presented in this thesis.

In Chapter 1 of this thesis a general introduction on nanofiltration is provided, followed by a brief overview of the models that are available to describe the transport through these membranes. A major part of this chapter focusses on nanofiltration applications for concentrated sodium chloride salt solutions ranging from sea water up to and including saturated salt solutions. In Chapter 2 the effect of different salts on the nanofiltration membrane characteristics based on glucose retention measurements is described and evaluated for several nanofiltration membrane types. Chapter 3 describes the extension of the concentration window to solutions nearly saturated in sodium chloride in combination with various glucose concentrations. Furthermore, the range of commercially available nanofiltration membrane types evaluated is extended. The effect of sodium chloride concentration on glucose retention and of glucose concentration on sodium chloride retention is studied, and is related to changes in
membrane characteristics. Chapter 4 shows and discusses the feasibility of nanofiltration of salt solutions saturated in both sodium chloride and sodium sulfate to produce a retentate supersaturated in sodium sulfate and saturated in sodium chloride in combination with a permeate lean in sodium sulfate and saturated in sodium chloride. In Chapter 5 the knowledge base is extended to salt solutions saturated in sodium chloride containing significantly lower amounts of sodium sulfate. In this chapter thermodynamic considerations are used to explain the observations made in these chapters. Furthermore, a relation between sulfate and chloride retentions with membrane characterization results is discussed. Finally, Chapter 6 provides conclusions and recommendations from the research reported in this thesis.

The research presented in this thesis shows that for several commercially available nanofiltration membranes the addition of salt ions to a glucose solution can lead to a considerable reduction in glucose retention, even at low concentrations. The reduction in glucose retention is membrane specific, and furthermore depends on the retention of the salt ion added. A relatively low retention for the salt results in a stronger decrease in glucose retention. For addition of NaCl, CaCl$_2$, and KCl to the glucose solution, the retention drop appears to be a function of the chloride concentration in the permeate for the nanofiltration membranes Desal 5DK and NF. This function is independent of the cation used. The observed effect is important for prediction of membrane performance during the demineralization of sugar solutions. However, it is not well described by a predictive model on the basis of the Maxwell-Stefan equation, which uses only pore size exclusion, Donnan exclusion, and average pore size to describe the separation process. The reduced glucose retention in the presence of salt can be described well when the pore radius value substituted in the model is increased at constant glucose radius. Several hypotheses are available to explain the observed phenomenon. One of these hypotheses is that the glucose retention reduction is caused by an increased effective average pore size, as a consequence of higher repulsion forces between the double layers in the pores when the concentration of ions and therefore the membrane charge as is predicted by the model, is increased. Another possible explanation is the presence of a pore size distribution. The Maxwell-Stefan model shows that the addition of salt with relatively low retention reduces the flux of the small pores to a higher extent than the larger pores. Thus the retention of glucose is determined to a larger extent by the larger pores and reduces when salt is added. This explains why in experiments where salts with low retention characteristics are present, the glucose retention drop is
relatively large and a larger pore size estimate in the Maxwell-Stefan model is required to predict the glucose retention more accurately.

Extending the evaluated sodium chloride concentration range in the glucose solution to almost 300 g.L\(^{-1}\) (practically saturated solutions) and extending the window of nanofiltration membrane types investigated, shows that the glucose retention for a solution containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose decreases strongly when the sodium chloride concentration is increased from 0 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 100 g.L\(^{-1}\), when compared at similar flux. However, a further increase in sodium chloride concentration results in only minor further reduction of the glucose retentions. This means that the effect of adding salt to the glucose solution stabilizes at higher salt concentration. As for the earlier reported results a change in the pore radius at assumed constant glucose radius or alternatively a change in the ratio of glucose molecular radius over the membrane effective pore radius explains the obtained results.

At very high glucose (of around 80 g.L\(^{-1}\)) and sodium chloride (in excess of 175 g.L\(^{-1}\)) concentrations in the feed solution, a sodium chloride retention of around 0 is obtained for all nanofiltration membranes evaluated. The presence of glucose has a minor ‘salting-out’ effect on sodium chloride, leading to slightly negative sodium chloride retentions for high glucose concentration differences between retentate and permeate. For all membranes evaluated, mean pore radii and effective membrane thicknesses have been determined. The obtained parameters can be used to facilitate the development of nanofiltration applications for desalination of concentrated glucose solutions in industry and to get a feel for changing membrane characteristics for solutions with high salt concentrations.

Nanofiltration of brines saturated in not only sodium chloride, but in sodium sulfate as well has been studied. The use of nanofiltration for concentrating these brines and producing a retentate which is supersaturated in sodium sulfate is shown to be technically feasible and an attractive alternative for evaporative or cooling concentration. Crystallization of sodium sulfate in the membrane modules can be avoided by the presence of a primary nucleation inhibitor in the feed to the nanofiltration unit. Sodium sulfate crystallization can be induced in a separate crystallizer outside of the membrane unit by addition of crystal seeds. Chloride and bromide retentions are negative and they are a function of the difference in sulfate concentration between
concentrate and permeate. Carbonate retentions are linearly dependent on sulfate retentions. Calcium retention for NF270 is in excess of 95% and proven to be stable during 1200 hours of continuous operation. The same applies for the potassium retention albeit at a lower level of 10%.

During nanofiltration of salt solutions practically saturated in sodium chloride, but sodium sulfate concentrations far below saturation, the sulfate retention can be estimated from the mean pore radius of the nanofiltration membrane as determined from simple characterization experiments. For all nanofiltration membranes, ranging from tight to relatively open, the sulfate retention obtained for nanofiltration of these salt solutions is lower than that obtained during characterization experiments with a single salt sodium sulfate solution at similar sulfate concentration. This reduction is ascribed to the presence of sodium chloride in the solution causing a lower sulfate radius over mean pore radius at high ionic strength of the solution and possibly reduced Donnan exclusion. As a first estimate, the chloride retention of the nanofiltration membranes for processing of practically saturated salt solutions, irrespective of the openness of the nanofiltration membrane, can be obtained from the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate (retentate) and permeate, irrespective of the sulfate concentration in the feed solution. This difference in sodium sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate can be obtained from the sulfate retention and therefore indirectly from the mean pore radius obtained from characterization experiments. The fact that this correlation between chloride retention and the difference in sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate is practically independent of the membrane type used, is caused by the low resistance of the membrane for sodium chloride transport and the negligible difference between activity coefficients for sodium chloride in retentate and permeate, as indicated by thermodynamic considerations.

The results obtained and reported in this thesis consequently provide a comprehensive insight in the effect of salt ions on glucose retention and vice versa, during processing of solutions containing both components. Furthermore, they have led to improved insight in the transport process through the nanofiltration membranes. In addition, nanofiltration of saturated salt solutions has been shown to be feasible. Even nanofiltration using solutions super-saturated in a soluble salt such as sodium sulfate is shown to be possible. During nanofiltration of these solutions retentates and permeates practically saturated in sodium chloride are obtained. Sulfate and chloride retentions for
nanofiltration membranes can furthermore be determined from a simple membrane characterization method and thermodynamic considerations.
Samenvatting

In de meeste wetenschappelijke studies naar nanofiltratie wordt de ontwikkeling van nieuwe membraanmaterialen of de karakterisering van membranen beschreven. In het laatste geval wordt in de meeste studies gebruik gemaakt van een zout- of suikeroplossing en/of van een oplossing van een ionenmengsel in een laag concentratiegebied ten opzichte van de concentraties die vaak voorkomen in industriële applicaties. Verder zijn er, meestal met beperkt succes, verscheidene studies beschikbaar waarin is geprobeerd om het retentiegedrag van nanofiltratiemembranen voor zoutoplossingen die twee verschillende zouten bevatten, te voorspellen op basis van deze karakteriseringsexperimenten en daaruit bepaalde modelparameters. In de openbare literatuur is er tevens weinig informatie te vinden over het effect van zoutionen in een waterige oplossing op de retentie van ook aanwezige neurale opgeloste componenten zoals glucose, en omgekeerd. Een beter inzicht in deze fenomenen is noodzakelijk, aangezien verschillende nanofiltratie toepassingen voedingsstromen behandelen, die een combinatie van zouten en (neutrale) componenten, zoals suikers, aminozuren, peptiden of proteïnen, bevatten. Tevens is er in de openbare literatuur beperkt aandacht voor het gedrag van nanofiltratiemembranen gedurende de behandeling van meer geconcentreerde zoutoplossingen, zoals uitgeputte pekelstromen in chloor/loog-productie en verzadigde pekelstromen in de productie van vast zout, ondanks het feit dat een substantieel deel van de (potentiele) nanofiltratie toepassingen dit type oplossingen behandelt. Een beter begrip van de fenomenen die gedurende het nanofiltratie proces met dit type oplossingen optreden, is een voorwaarde voor een goed ontwerp van membraaninstallaties voor dit soort applicaties. Deze onderzoeksvragen vormen de basis voor het werk dat in dit proefschrift wordt gepresenteerd.

In Hoofdstuk 1 van dit proefschrift wordt een algemene introductie over nanofiltratie beschreven, gevolgd door een kort overzicht van de modellen die beschikbaar zijn om het transport door deze membranen te beschrijven. Een groot deel van dit hoofdstuk legt de nadruk op nanofiltratie toepassingen met geconcentreerde op natriumchloride gebaseerde zoutoplossingen, variërend van zee-water tot en met verzadigde zoutoplossingen. In Hoofdstuk 2 wordt het effect van verschillende zouten op de nanofiltratiemembraan kenmerken gebaseerd op glucoseretentie metingen beschreven en geëvalueerd voor verscheidene nanofiltratiemembraan types. Hoofdstuk 3 beschrijft
de extensie van het concentratiebereik naar oplossingen die vrijwel verzadigd zijn in natriumchloride in combinatie met verschillende glucoseconcentraties. Verder wordt het bereik van geëvalueerde commercieel beschikbare nanofiltratiemembranen uitgebreid. Het effect van de natriumchlorideconcentratie op de glucoseretentie en van de glucoseconcentratie op de natriumchlorideretentie wordt bestudeerd, en wordt gerelateerd aan veranderingen in membraankarakteristieken. 

Hoofdstuk 4 toont en bespreekt de haalbaarheid van nanofiltratie van zoutoplossingen die verzadigd zijn in natriumchloride als natriumsulfaat, waarbij een retentaat oververzadigd in natriumsulfaat en verzadigd in natriumchloride wordt geproduceerd in combinatie met een permeaat dat verzadigd is in natriumchloride en dat vrijwel geen natriumsulfaat bevat. In Hoofdstuk 5 wordt de kennisbasis uitgebreid naar nanofiltratie van zoutoplossingen verzadigd in natriumchloride met significant lagere natriumsulfaatconcentraties. In dit hoofdstuk worden thermodynamische beschouwingen gebruikt om de observaties die zijn gedaan in deze laatste hoofdstukken te verklaren. Verder wordt een relatie tussen sulfaat- en chlorideretenties met membraankarakteriseringresultaten besproken. Tot slot bevat Hoofdstuk 6 conclusies en aanbevelingen uit het onderzoek dat in dit proefschrift wordt gerapporteerd.

Het in dit proefschrift gepresenteerde onderzoek laat zien dat voor verschillende commercieel beschikbare nanofiltratiemembranen de toevoeging van zouten aan een glucoseoplossing kan leiden tot een substantiële verlaging van de glucoseretentie, zelfs al bij lage zoutconcentraties. De verlaging in glucoseretentie is membraan specifiek en hangt verder af van de retentie van het toegevoegde zout ion. Een relatief lage retentie van het zout resulteert in een sterkere verlaging van de glucoseretentie. Voor toevoeging van NaCl, CaCl$_2$, of KCl aan de glucoseoplossing, blijkt de retentiedaling een functie van de chlorideconcentratie in het permeaat te zijn voor de nanofiltratiemembranen Desal 5DK en NF. Deze functie is onafhankelijk van het gebruikte cation. Het waargenomen effect is belangrijk voor de voorspelling van het membraangedrag gedurende de ontmouting van suikeroplossingen. Echter, dit gedrag wordt niet goed beschreven door een voorspellend model op basis van de Maxwell-Stefan vergelijking, dat alleen gebruik maakt van poriegrootte-exclusie, Donnan-exclusie, en een gemiddelde poriegrootte om het scheidingsproces te beschrijven. De gereduceerde glucoseretentie in aanwezigheid van zout kan wel goed worden beschreven wanneer de in het model gesubstitueerde poriestraal wordt verhoogd onder
aanname van een constante glucoseradius. Verschillende hypotheses zijn beschikbaar om dit geobserveerde gedrag te verklaren. Een van deze hypotheses is dat de afname van de glucoseretentie wordt veroorzaakt door de verhoogde effectieve gemiddelde poriegrootte, die ontstaat als consequentie van grotere afstotingskrachten tussen de dubbellagen in de poriën wanneer de ionconcentratie en dientengevolge de membraanlading (zoals voorspeld door het model) wordt verhoogd. Een andere mogelijke verklaring is de aanwezigheid van een poriegrootte distributie. Het Maxwell-Stefan model laat zien dat de toevoeging van een zout met een relatief lage retentie de flux in de kleine poriën sterker reduceert dan die in de grotere poriën. Daarom wordt de glucoseretentie sterker door de grotere poriën bepaald en gereduceerd wanneer er zout wordt toegevoegd. Dit verklaard waarom in experimenten waar zout met lage retentiekarakteristieken aanwezig is, de glucoseretentie relatief sterk daalt en een grotere poriegrootte nodig is in het Maxwell-Stefan model om de glucoseretentie nauwkeuriger te voorspellen.

Uitbreiding van het bestudeerde natriumchloride concentratiegebied in de glucose oplossing tot bijna 300 g.L$^{-1}$ (een vrijwel verzadigde oplossing) en uitbreiding van het aantal onderzochte nanofiltratiemembraan types, laat zien dat de glucoseretentie voor een oplossing die 1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose bevat sterk daalt wanneer de natriumchlorideconcentratie wordt verhoogd van 0 g.L$^{-1}$ tot 100 g.L$^{-1}$, vergeleken bij dezelfde flux. Echter, een verdere verhoging van de natriumchlorideconcentratie resulteert in slechts een beperkte verdere verlaging van de glucoseretentie. Dit betekent dat het effect van het toevoegen van zout aan de glucoseoplossing stabiliseert bij hogere zoutconcentraties. Net zoals bij eerder gerapporteerde resultaten verklaart een verandering van de poriestraal, onder aanname dat de glucosestraal onveranderd is gebleven, of als alternatief, een verandering van de ratio van de glucosestraal over de effectieve poriestraal van het membraan, de verkregen resultaten.

Bij zeer hoge glucose- (van ongeveer 80 g.L$^{-1}$) en natriumchlorideconcentraties (groter dan 175 g.L$^{-1}$) in de voedingsoplossing, wordt een natriumchlorideretentie van ongeveer 0 verkregen voor alle geëvalueerde nanofiltratiemembranen. De aanwezigheid van glucose heeft een beperkt ‘salting-out’ effect op natriumchloride. Dit leidt tot licht negatieve natriumchloriderenties voor grote verschillen tussen de glucoseconcentratie in het retentaat en het permeaat. Voor alle geëvalueerde membranen, zijn gemiddelde poriestralen en effectieve membraandiktes bepaald. De verkregen parameters kunnen
worden gebruikt om de ontwikkeling van nanofiltratie toepassingen voor ontzouting van geconcentreerde glucoseoplossingen in de industrie te faciliteren en om een gevoel te krijgen voor de veranderende membraankarakteristieken voor oplossingen met hoge zoutconcentraties.

Nanofiltratie van pekeloplossingen die niet alleen verzadigd zijn in natriumchloride maar tevens in natriumsulfaat, is eveneens onderzocht. Er is aangetoond dat het gebruik van nanofiltratie voor het concentreren van deze pekelstromen en het produceren van een retentaat oververzadigd in natriumsulfaat niet alleen technisch haalbaar is, maar ook een attractief alternatief is voor indampen en koelconcentratie. Kristallisatie van natriumsulfaat in de membraanmodules kan worden voorkomen door de aanwezigheid van een primaire nucleatieremmer in de voeding naar de nanofiltratie installatie. Kristallisatie van natriumsulfaat kan worden geïnitieerd in een gescheiden kristallisatiestap buiten de membraaninstallatie door toevoeging van ont-kristallen. Chloride- en bromideretenties zijn negatief en zijn een functie van het verschil tussen de sulfaatconcentratie in het concentraat en in het permeaat. De verkregen chloride- en bromideretenties zijn lineair afhankelijk van de sulfaatretenties. De calciumretentie voor NF270 is hoger dan 95% en stabiel gedurende 1200 uur van continue operatie. Voor de kaliumretentie geldt hetzelfde, maar op een lager niveau (van 10%).

Gedurende nanofiltratie van zoutoplossingen die vrijwel verzadigd zijn in natriumchloride, maar sterk onderverzadigd in natriumsulfaat, kan de sulfaatretentie worden geschat uit de gemiddelde poriestraal van het nanofiltratiemembraan zoals bepaald uit simpele karakteriseringsexperimenten. Voor alle nanofiltratiemembranen, van dicht tot relatief open, is de verkregen sulfaatretentie voor nanofiltratie van deze zoutoplossingen lager dan de sulfaatretentie die verkregen is tijdens karakteriseringsexperimenten met een zoutoplossing met alleen natriumsulfaat (bij dezelfde natriumsulfaatconcentratie). Deze verlaging wordt toegeschreven aan de aanwezigheid van natriumchloride in de oplossing die leidt tot een lagere ratio van de sulfaatstraal over de gemiddelde poriestraal bij hoge ionsterkte van de oplossing en mogelijkwijs gereduceerde Donnan-exclusie. Als eerste schatting kan de chlorideretentie van de nanofiltratiemembranen voor het behandelen van praktisch verzadigde zoutoplossingen, onafhankelijk van de openheid van het nanofiltratiemembraan en de sulfaatconcentratie in de voedingsoplossing, verkregen worden uit het verschil tussen de sulfaatconcentratie van het concentraat (retentaat) en
het permeaat. Dit verschil tussen de sulfaatconcentratie van het retentaat en het permeaat kan worden verkregen uit de sulfaatreten op en daarom indirect uit de gemiddelde poriestralen verkregen uit karakteriseringsexperimenten. Het feit dat deze correlatie tussen chlorideretentie en het verschil tussen de sulfaatconcentratie van het retentaat en het permeaat praktisch onafhankelijk is van het gebruikte membraantype wordt veroorzaakt door de lage weerstand van het membraan voor natriumchloridetransport en het verwaarloosbare verschil tussen de activiteitscoëfficiënten voor natriumchloride in het retentaat en het permeaat, zoals blijkt uit thermodynamische beschouwingen.

De resultaten verkregen en gerapporteerd in dit proefschrift geven een compleet inzicht in het effect van zouten op glucoseresten en vice versa, gedurende het behandelen van oplossingen die beide componenten bevatten. Verder, hebben ze geleid tot een verbeterd inzicht in de transportprocessen door nanofiltratiemembranen. Daarnaast is aangetoond dat nanofiltratie van verzadigde zoutstromen mogelijk is. Zelfs natriumchloride, die in oplossingen ontstaan die oververzadigd zijn in oplosbare zouten zoals natriumsulfaat, blijkt haalbaar te zijn. Gedurende nanofiltratie van deze oplossingen worden retentaten en permeaten verkregen die vrijwel verzadigd zijn in natriumchloride. Sulfaat- en chlorideretenties voor nanofiltratiemembranen kunnen verder worden bepaald uit een simpele membraankarakteriseringsmethode en thermodynamische beschouwingen.
Chapter 1

Introduction

Parts of this chapter have been published in:
1. The role of membrane technology in industry as alternative for thermal separations

In the oil, chemical and food industries, product concentration and the separation from by-products and/or impurities consume high amounts of energy. Most of the processes in these industries use distillation or evaporation to separate or concentrate the obtained products. These thermal separation technologies intrinsically have low thermodynamic efficiencies [1]. However, as a consequence of technological developments, distillation and evaporation processes are nowadays operated closer to the minimal energy requirements for the separation of the product mixtures into the individual components based on thermodynamics. These thermal separation technologies are more and more intensified, e.g. through the use of multi-effect vapor recompression systems to re-use produced vapors as heat source [2, 3], dividing wall columns to increase energy efficiency in multi-product separation [4], heat pumps to allow upgrading and optimal use of available (waste) heat [5, 6], or the addition of components that affect the relative volatility between the products to be separated (e.g. in extractive distillation) [7, 8]. Despite these improvements the use of distillation alone still accounts for approximately 50% of the energy consumption and the investment costs required for processes in the chemical and oil industries [9], and new developments of the thermal separation and alternative technologies are needed to further reduce energy consumption of separation processes in the near future. Membrane technology is one of the separation technologies which have the potential to contribute to this further reduction in energy demand (e.g. [10, 11]).

Membranes have been implemented in industry from the late 1960s on [12], and have played a major role in the production of water, dairy products, chemicals, oil and pharmaceuticals ever since. The amount of membrane surface area implemented in the industry has grown significantly in the 20th century, as illustrated for the ultrafiltration membrane surface area installed in the dairy industry [13, 14] in Figure 1. In the same time frame 80,000 m² reverse osmosis membrane surface area has been implemented in the dairy industry [13, 14]. At present, new membrane applications are still being developed and implemented in industrial applications. Generally, membranes are used for processing of aqueous streams, but membranes are being used for separation of solvent streams as well (e.g. [13, 15]).
2. Description of membrane technologies

Membrane processes used for concentration or the separation of products can make use of different driving forces. These driving forces include [16]:

- pressure differences (for pressure driven membrane technology)
- partial pressure differences (for pervaporation, membrane distillation, and membrane degassing)
- electrical potential differences (for electro-dialysis for desalting or separation of charged from neutral components, bi-polar membrane electro-dialysis, electro-membrane filtration and membrane electrolysis for chlor/alkali products)
- activity differences (e.g. for diffusion dialysis and gas separation)
- temperature differences (e.g. for thermo-osmosis)

The studies reported in this thesis focus on pressure driven membrane technology. This membrane technology area consists of four distinct membrane types called reverse osmosis, nanofiltration, ultrafiltration and microfiltration. The characterization of the different types is mainly based on the tightness of the membrane, identified by the
retention of specific molecules by the membrane. The four categories are illustrated for their retention characteristics of dairy and salt feed component streams in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2**: Schematic representation for typical passage of water and solutes through the pressure driven membrane technologies microfiltration, ultrafiltration, nanofiltration and reverse osmosis.

There are no uniform definitions for the four categories, but often the molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of the membrane is used to define the different categories. According to the commonly used definition the MWCO is equal to the molecular weight of the solute that is retained by the membrane for 90% [17-19]. Alternatively, the membrane is categorized through the pore size of the membrane. Often the problem of membrane characterization lies in the difficulty that not only the membrane resistance determines separation, but the mass transport at the membrane/fluid interface as well. The latter strongly depends on geometrical and process parameters. It should be noted that despite several efforts to harmonize characterization methods, such as conducted in the European project CHARME [20], there are still no standardized tests commonly used for the determination of the MWCO. This means that specific membranes from different membrane suppliers can show similar membrane characteristics, despite the fact that these membrane suppliers quote a different MWCO for these membranes [19]. A typical membrane characteristic for the different membrane categories for pressure driven membrane technology can be found in Table 1.
Table 1: Definition of pressure driven membrane categories as function of molecular weight cut-off and pore size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Molecular weight cut-off range (Da)</th>
<th>Pore size (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Osmosis</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanofiltration</td>
<td>200 – 1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrafiltration</td>
<td>1000 – 250,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfiltration</td>
<td>&gt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Description of nanofiltration membrane technologies

The studies discussed in this thesis focus specifically on nanofiltration membrane technology. As can be seen from Table 1 and Figure 2, nanofiltration is especially suitable to permeate water and to separate relatively small ions and organic molecules from bigger ions or organic molecules. The separation characteristics of nanofiltration membranes will be discussed in more detail later in this chapter.

Nanofiltration membranes can consist of polymeric and/or ceramic materials. Even though there are strong developments in the production of ceramic membrane materials (e.g. [21]) and polymer grafted ceramic membranes (e.g. [22]) especially for the processing of feed streams at high temperature or the processing of solvent streams which require chemically stable membrane modules, commonly polymeric nanofiltration membranes are used in industry. These polymeric membranes are either asymmetric membranes or thin film composite membranes on an ultrafiltration type support (see e.g. [23-25]). Typical polymers used include polyamide, polyimide, (sulfonated) polyether sulfone, sulfonated polyether ether ketone, and (originally) cellulose acetate. Supports often consist of (sulfonated) polyether sulfone, cellulose acetate or polycrylonitrile. However, there are many other polymeric materials or hybrid materials, such as ultrathin polyhedral silsesquioxane – polyamide layers [26], used or under investigation as well. The selection of the proper membrane type and membrane material depends on the composition of the solution processed and the temperature and pressure used during nanofiltration operation. For processing of aqueous solutions
A relatively hydrophilic membrane material usually has clear benefits over the use of very hydrophobic membrane material, since the use of the latter material will usually lead to relatively low permeability at the same membrane pore size [27] and stronger membrane fouling by organic molecules due to better adsorption of these molecules on the surface of the membrane [28]. Furthermore, chemical stability of the membrane material becomes more important for operation with organic solvents, aqueous streams with an extreme pH (<2 or >10), or for feed solutions containing oxidizing agents.

In the studies reported in this thesis only commercially available polymeric nanofiltration membranes have been used. This has clear advantages when the obtained knowledge needs to be used for the development of commercial applications, however, the disadvantage is that the exact composition of the membrane material is usually not known, which might make interpretation of results a bit more difficult.

4. Available models to support development of nanofiltration membrane applications

As stated earlier, nanofiltration is suitable for the concentration of liquid streams and the separation of relatively small ions and organic molecules from bigger molecules. For the development of nanofiltration applications it is important that suitable models are available to support and speed up the development of such applications. To describe the separation in nanofiltration processes, several models are available in open literature. Models most commonly used to describe nanofiltration processes are [29]:

- the homogeneous solution diffusion model
- the Spiegler-Kedem model
- the irreversible thermodynamic equations of Kedem-Katchalsky
- the (extended) Nernst-Planck model
- the Maxwell-Stefan model

The Spiegler-Kedem model and Kedem-Katchalsky equations based model originate historically from modelling of reverse osmosis processes [29, 30]. In these models the membrane is treated like a black box. Consequently, characterization of structural and electrical properties is not possible using these models. On the other hand, the extended Nernst-Planck and Maxwell-Stefan models were introduced simultaneously.
with the advent of nanofiltration to describe the transport of components through the membrane via sieving and electrical mechanisms [30], which are more suitable to describe ultrafiltration processes. Identification of the model that describes the nanofiltration process most accurately has been subject to intensive debates during the last decades, and despite numerous efforts has not been fully unraveled yet. The discussion on this debate is outside of the scope of the work reported in this thesis, although modelling of the obtained experimental results is done to improve (fundamental) understanding of the nanofiltration separation process and to describe membrane characteristics. As suggested by Bowen and Welfoot [30] the challenge is to develop models that convey a fundamental understanding and simple qualification of the governing phenomena in a way that has potential for industrial applications. This means that it is important to use models 'fit for purpose'. Since for nanofiltration of aqueous streams as studied in this thesis it is important to obtain insight in structural aspects of the membranes evaluated, and the extended Nernst-Planck and Maxwell-Stefan based models are most commonly used to describe these membrane characteristics (the sieving effect and charge interaction effects of the membrane with the solutes), these models have been used to describe and explain observed nanofiltration results.

For the Nernst-Planck and Maxwell-Stefan model several membrane characteristics are required for proper description of the transport of solutes through the nanofiltration membrane. More detailed information about these models can be obtained from [30-32]. Usually, single salt solutions and single sugar solutions with concentrations in the range of $10^{-3} - 10^{-1}$ M are used to determine these characteristics. Although obtaining the membrane characteristics is relatively straightforward, predicting the retention characteristics of mixed salt solutions on the basis of these characteristics is not [31]. For example, limited attention has been paid in open literature to the interaction between salt ions and neutral components such as sugars, during transport of these components through nanofiltration membranes, even though many (potential) applications of nanofiltration deal with solutions consisting of multiple salt and sugar mixtures. Consequently, a better fundamental insight in the interaction between salt and sugar transport through nanofiltration membranes is needed to assist implementation of new membrane systems in industry. This does not only apply to nanofiltration of dilute solutions, but to processing of more concentrated solutions as well.
5. Applications of nanofiltration systems in industry

Nanofiltration is widely applied in industry [33], with the water, food and chemical industries as main industrial sectors. Applications include the production of drinking water and process water [34, 35], the desalination of cheese whey, sugar beet thin juice and carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) [13], the concentration of glucose syrup [13], the treatment of sea water and depleted brine from the chlor/alkali production process [36], and processing of textile dye solutions [37] and pulp and paper industry waters [38]. In most of these applications the solution supplied to the nanofiltration unit consists of a combination of ions and/or a combination of neutral solutes and ions. Furthermore, the concentrations of the solutes are relatively high, at least higher than the solute concentration normally used in nanofiltration membrane characterization experiments. One of the important applications areas for nanofiltration membranes is in treating solutions containing mixtures of sodium sulfate and sodium chloride as mainly present in sea water, depleted brine in the chlor/alkali industry and brine in the salt production industry. These applications are discussed in more detail in the next section since the separation of sulfate and chloride is one of the main topics of the research reported in this thesis.

6. Nanofiltration for processing of sodium chloride brines

Nanofiltration membranes are characterized by a molecular weight cut-off between 200 Da and 1000 Da. Therefore these membranes are especially suitable for the separation of mono-valent ions from multivalent ions and/or organic solutes as mentioned earlier. One of the commonly studied and industrially used applications of nanofiltration is the separation of sulfates from chlorides. Most studies reported in open literature focus on the separation of mono-valent anions from multivalent anions at relatively low concentrations. However, most industrial applications commonly deal with the processing of more concentrated solutions. Examples of such solutions in order of increasing sodium chloride concentration are seawater (typically 30 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl), reverse osmosis retentate from seawater desalination (around 40 – 70 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl), depleted brines from chlor/alkali production (ranging from 150 - 200 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl), and practically saturated brines in sodium chloride crystalline salt production (around 300 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl).
6.1. Production of low sulfate containing seawater for oil and gas stimulation

Nanofiltration of raw seawater is commonly used on off-shore oil and gas platforms (see Fig. 3). Water is needed for stimulation of oil and gas recovery. However, fresh water is not abundantly available at these platforms, whereas seawater is. Even though the presence of typically 30 g.L\(^{-1}\) sodium chloride in the (sea) water is not a strong disadvantage for the use of seawater, the presence of contaminants such as calcium, barium, strontium and especially sulfate is [39].

![Figure 3: Schematic representation of nanofiltration for production of low sulfate containing injection water for stimulating off-shore oil recovery.](image)

To avoid the disadvantages of the use of seawater, nanofiltration membranes are used to produce permeate with low contaminants concentrations, which can be safely injected into the well. Sulfate concentrations are claimed to be reduced from typically 2800 mg.L\(^{-1}\) to less than 50 mg.L\(^{-1}\) [40], indicating that sulfate retention is in excess of 98%. Sodium chloride retentions are only marginally positive, meaning that sodium and chloride concentrations in permeate are only slightly lower than those in raw seawater [39]. Scaling of the pipe lines and wells, and the production of hydrogen sulfide gas by sulfate reducing micro-organisms [39] in the sub-surface oil wells is sufficiently reduced by the strong reduction of sulfate concentrations in well-injection brine. The retentate, which has increased contaminant concentrations, is discharged back into the sea. Often pre-treatment (filtration and de-aeration), a Christmas tree design and spiral wound thin film composite FilmTec\textsuperscript{TM} SR90 (originally FilmTec\textsuperscript{TM} NF40 [39]) membranes are being used for this application [41]. Operating pressures range between 20 and 30 bar [40].
lot of these Sulphate Removal systems, originally developed in 1987 by DOW and Marathon, have been implemented by Aker Solutions [40], as shown in Table 2.

More nanofiltration applications for preparation of injection water can be found in [39]. Several alternative nanofiltration membranes are available for this application, as will be discussed in the next paragraph discussing the use of nanofiltration as pre-treatment for seawater desalination using reverse osmosis. One of the membrane suppliers claiming better performance (permeance and sulfate retention) of its membranes (NFW, NFX and NFS) is Synder Filtration [44, 45].

Table 2: Examples of implemented off-shore Sulphate Removal Systems [40, 42, 43]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Capacity (m³/h)</th>
<th>End-user</th>
<th>Completion year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSX 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Modec</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P62</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>Petrobas</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P58</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>Petrobas</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidade de Angra dos Reis MV22</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>Modec</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwame Nkrumah MV21</td>
<td>1540</td>
<td>Modec</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidade de Santos MV20</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>Modec</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidade de Niteroi MV18</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>Modec</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cidade do Rio de Janerio MV14</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>Modec</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P54 – Roncador</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>Petrobras</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P50 -Albacora Leste</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>Petrobras</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceiba</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>BWO</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2. Pre-treatment for reverse osmosis seawater desalination

Nanofiltration is used as pre-treatment for seawater desalination by reverse osmosis as well. At least one industrial application has been reported, Umm Lujj in Saudi Arabia [46]. In September 2000 Desal DK (GE/Osmonics) modules were installed in 27 parallel housings containing 6 eight inch modules each, resulting in approximately 5300 m² effective membrane surface area. The feed is supplied at a flow of 360 m³.h⁻¹, and 65% permeate recovery and a permeate flux of approximately 40 – 45 L.m⁻².h⁻¹ were obtained at 25 bar operating pressure. Sulfate and divalent cation concentrations in the feed to the reverse osmosis unit were drastically reduced as a consequence of the
obtained high retentions of more than 99% for sulfate, 98% for magnesium, 92% for calcium and 44% for bicarbonate. Chloride retention was between 45 – 50%, dropping chloride concentration from 2.16% in the seawater to 1.64% in the NF permeate. The implementation of the nanofiltration unit resulted in 30% higher overall recovery from the desalination system, next to the 11 bar lower operating pressure that could be used in the RO unit (reducing from 65 to 54 bar) which leads to lower overall energy consumption [46]. As additional benefit the production of salts such as sodium chloride salt from the NF/RO retentate is mentioned. The initial concentration for the suggested solar salt production is around 5%w NaCl in brine at 98% purity in this case. The application of nanofiltration as reverse osmosis desalination pre-treatment step is not always economically viable, but especially suitable when the reverse osmosis membranes experience strong fouling [46]. Alternative nanofiltration membranes from Dow Chemical (NF-200, NF-270 and NF-90), Hydranautics (ESNA 1-LF2), Alfa Laval Membranes (NF99HF) and Koch Membrane Systems (K-SR2) were studies by Llenas et al. [47]. Apart from ESNA 1-LF2 all membranes showed sulfate retentions in excess of 95% and chloride retentions between 10 – 40%, depending on the membrane selected, for processing a synthetic solution mimicking seawater. For most membranes magnesium and calcium retentions were in the range of 80 – 90% and 60 – 70%, respectively. NF-90 and ESNA 1-LF2 membranes had considerably higher and lower retentions, respectively. Furthermore, strong differences in bicarbonate retentions were found for the different membranes evaluated, again with NF-90 at the high and ESNA 1-LF2 at the low side of the spectrum. High bicarbonate retention is desired to further reduce scaling of the RO membranes. On the basis of observed retention and permeance characteristics NF-270, NF99HF and K-SR2 were mentioned to be the most suitable membranes for the application [47]. Pontié et al. [48] used a synthetic solution containing 35 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl and seawater from Le Croisic (Loire-Atlantique, west of France) to evaluate the use of nanofiltration as pre-treatment of desalination via RO. They reported that NF-200 (Dow FilmTec) is superior over NF (Dow FilmTec), MPS-34 and MPS-44 (both Koch Membrane Systems), especially since this membrane shows higher permeance than especially the Koch membranes for processing of the synthetic solution. Furthermore, this membrane also showed higher NaCl retention (60% at 30 bar operating pressure) than the others, which is favorable for the subsequent RO treatment as this will lead to reduced osmotic pressure in the RO unit. Sulfate retentions were not presented in the study. It should be noted, that Desal DK was not evaluated in these studies.
6.3. Nanofiltration of reverse osmosis concentrates from seawater desalination processes

As mentioned earlier, reverse osmosis is extensively used for the production of water in areas where potable water is scarce, such as in Saudi Arabia, but in many other countries as well. Either brackish ground water or seawater is used as feed. Often the RO retentates (concentrates) from sea water desalination, either pre-treated with nanofiltration or by other pre-filtration steps, are discharged back into the sea. However, the discharge of these retentates can negatively affect marine environment and has become more and more restricted. Therefore alternative usage for this stream is being sought. Perez-Gonzales et al. [49, 50] have evaluated the production of hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide from this RO retentate via bi-polar membrane technology. This seems to be feasible provided that (especially) sulfate is removed from the RO retentate. Typical sodium chloride and sulfate concentrations for these types of streams from seawater desalination plants in Spain are mentioned to be 40 – 60 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl and 5000 – 7500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) sulfate. Due to the use of RO for concentrating the seawater, these retentates obviously contain higher sodium chloride and sulfate concentrations than seawater. Using a NF-270 (FilmTec) membrane and processing a synthetic solution consisting of 70 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl and 8000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) sulfate, retentions for chloride and sulfate in the range of 0 – 10% and 75 – 85%, respectively were reported, depending on membrane flux [51].

6.4. Nanofiltration in Chlor-Alkali production

Nanofiltration also provides a solution for the avoidance of sulfate build-up in the brine recycle to Chlor/Alkali producing (membrane) electrolysis cells. In this application NaCl salt is dissolved in the depleted recycle brine from the Chlor/Alkali cell. Although high purity vacuum NaCl salt, which contains only minor amounts of impurities such as sulfate and divalent cat-ions, is often used for Cl\(_2\) and caustic production, removal of these impurities is needed to avoid scaling on and plugging of the cation exchange membranes. Scaling and plugging leads to higher membrane resistance and therefore higher energy consumption, which is a major cost factor in the Chlor/Alkali production. Multi-valent cations are usually removed from the feed stream by ion exchange. Sulfate removal can be done by purging part of the recycle brine, leading to production of considerable brine waste streams and loss of valuable NaCl resources, or by treating part of the recycle brine with a barium source, leading to BaSO\(_4\) precipitation and
removal. However, purchase costs and disposal costs, next to environmental concerns prohibit this latter option more and more [46]. The use of nanofiltration to treat part of the recycle stream (see Fig. 4), thereby producing a retentate high in sodium sulfate which can be discharged, and a permeate low in sulfate which can be returned to the recycle brine, can reduce the discharge of valuable NaCl containing brine and can avoid the use and discharge of environmentally unfriendly barium [51].

Figure 4: Schematic representation of the use of nanofiltration in Chlor/Alkali production

The application has been developed by Chemetics and has been protected by patents (e.g. [52]). The commercialization of this application started with a first industrial unit at the Occidental Chemical Chlor/Alkali production plant in Delaware in 1997 [53]. The application and its development have been described in detail by Barr [54], Maycock et al. [53] and Bessarabov and Twardowski [51]. Since that time more than 70 plants have been installed world-wide [55]. One of these plants is Solvic Chlor-alkali plant in Jemeppe (Belgium) where the sulfate concentration is increased in three concentration steps to 70 g.L$^{-1}$ [55]. The unit is operated at 40 bar pressure [55]. Desal 5DK (GE/Osmonics) is often used as membrane in this application [46] with claimed membrane life time in excess of 18 months [55]. Other membrane types can be used for this application as well. Sulfate retention for Desal DK is reported to be in excess of 95%, whereas sodium chloride retention is reported to be close to zero or even slightly negative [46, 56, 57]. These observations are confirmed in lab-scale experiments in a
DSS labstak unit (Bargeman, Guerra-Miguez and Westerink, unpublished results). In these experiments it is shown that for other nanofiltration membranes similar retention results could be obtained for processing a synthetic solution containing 175 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl and sodium sulfate concentrations ranging from 5 – 60 g.L\(^{-1}\) at pressures between 5 and 25 bar (see Fig. 5). When sulfate concentrations in the retentate increase, chloride retentions tend to decrease slightly for these membranes. Furthermore, at constant sulfate concentrations in the feed (leading to similar differences in sulfate concentrations between retentate and permeate) a lower flux leads to slightly lower chloride retention. Desal 5DK did show the highest sulfate retentions (up to 99%) of the membranes evaluated, with NTR-750, Desal 5HL and NF-270 showing measured sulfate retentions in excess of 95%, 90% and 85%, respectively. The membrane fluxes of these membranes differed by only 20%.

![Figure 5: Chloride retention as function of the difference in sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate for lab-scale test with membrane fluxes in excess of 10 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) processing synthetic brine solutions containing 175 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl and sodium sulfate concentrations ranging from 5 – 60 g.L\(^{-1}\).](image)

6.5. Nanofiltration in solid sodium chloride salt production

One of the incentives of using nanofiltration in the production of crystalline sodium chloride vacuum salt (see Fig. 6 for a schematic representation of a salt plant) is the
removal of impurities from the salt brine obtained via solution mining. Obviously, the crystallization process is an important purification step in the production of the salt, but normally other measures to produce sufficiently pure vacuum salt have to be taken as well, especially when these salt crystals will be used for the production of chlorine or its derivatives. Impurities that need to be removed from the produced salt brine are multivalent cations such as magnesium, calcium and strontium and divalent anions such as sulfate and carbonate [58]. In the current process, in most cases sulfate and carbonate are added to the brine in the raw brine purification step of the process as well. This is done to remove the multivalent cations by solidifying salt impurities, such as calcium sulfate. Additionally caustic is often added to the brine to remove magnesium ions as magnesium hydroxide particles. These solidified impurities can subsequently be removed from the saturated brine by settling. The purified brine or feed brine thus obtained is sent to the evaporators where the brine is further concentrated and sodium chloride is crystallized. This process is often continued until the brine becomes saturated in sodium sulfate as well. Since there usually is an excess of sulfate in the process, purging part of the brine or crystallizing sodium sulfate and removing it from the process is needed.

Nanofiltration technology can be implemented at different parts of the salt plant when efficient removal of sulfate or divalent cations from the process is needed. Removal of sulfate from practically saturated raw brine at high sulfate retentions (>95%) can be obtained by different nanofiltration membranes [59].
Nanofiltration can thus (partly) replace the brine purification step in the salt production plant. To achieve this it is essential that not only sulfate concentration in the raw brine is decreased, but calcium, strontium and magnesium concentrations as well. The removal of these ions by nanofiltration is improved by the presence of minor amounts of a so-called positive retention enhancing compound [59]. Calcium retentions in raw brine can be increased substantially, as can be seen by comparing calcium retentions for processing raw brine without any addition of positive retention enhancing components and raw brine to which 600 ppm Drewsperse 747A has been added, as illustrated in Figure 7 for Desal DK. For this membrane strontium and magnesium retentions in excess of 90% were obtained, while sulfate retention was in excess of 96% [59] for raw brine containing Drewsperse 747A. For NF-270 slightly lower divalent cation retentions, but slightly higher sulfate retention was obtained.

![Figure 7: The effect of addition of Drewsperse 747A on calcium retention as function of concentration factor for Desal 5DK during lab-scale processing of raw brine at room temperature and 31 bar operating pressure [59].](image)

Samhaber at al. evaluated the potential of nanofiltration for the concentration of sodium sulfate in mother liquor from the salt crystallization process in pilot trials at Austrian Salt Works in Ebensee [60]. The intention of this application is to concentrate and recycle the sulfate to the brine purification, where it is used as purification chemical for the removal of calcium and to produce sodium chloride salt crystals from the purified permeate lean in sulfate. In these trials the mother liquor was diluted by 10-15% water.
or raw brine, prior to feeding it to the nanofiltration membranes to decrease the sulfate concentration and to avoid crystallization of sodium sulfate in the nanofiltration membranes [60]. The pH of the mother liquor was kept below 10, the temperature was reduced to 30°C and the operating pressure was set at 30 bar. Obtained sulfate retentions were between 98 - 99%, while negative chloride (ranging from 0% to -5 %) and bromide (ranging from -10% to -15%) retentions were reported. Based on the performance a membrane lifetime in excess of 18 months was predicted. The membrane that was used for these trials was Desal 5DK [61, 62]. In their process excess sulfate was removed from the plant via a purge waste stream, super-saturation was avoided and sodium sulfate crystallization was not used.

A disadvantage of the nanofiltration option proposed by Samhaber et al. is the need for dilution of the mother liquor. Furthermore, the absence of sodium sulfate crystallization for removal of excess of sulfate in the plant leads to relatively high purge streams. The presence of sodium sulfate crystallization consequently has clear benefits, but is usually quite energy intensive as well. The high energy consuming sodium sulfate removal crystallization process fed with salt crystallization mother liquor (saturated in both sodium sulfate and sodium chloride) can be replaced by a nanofiltration step to create a retentate supersaturated in sodium sulfate, which is subsequently sent to a crystallizer to produce anhydrous sodium sulfate crystals. Crystallization of the sodium sulfate in the nanofiltration membrane modules is avoided by the presence of small amounts of crystal growth inhibitor [63]. In this process a permeate lean in sodium sulfate and practically saturated in sodium chloride is produced.

Nanofiltration is used in the salt production plant of AkzoNobel Industrial Chemicals in Hengelo (the Netherlands) since 2012, removing sulfate from brine [64]. The application of nanofiltration installed in a partnership with Chemetics (a Jacobs Company), leads to 1.5-2% efficiency increase (less salt loss) and is reported to save 2.75 million m$^3$ of natural gas per year.

7. Scope and outline
In most scientific studies on nanofiltration either the development of new membrane materials or the characterization of membranes is reported. In the latter case most studies use single solute salt or sugar solutions and low concentrations relative to
solution concentrations used in industrial applications. Several of these studies try to predict retention performance of nanofiltration membranes for salt solutions containing two different salts, on the basis of these characterization experiments and derived model parameters (e.g. [31]), often with limited success. Furthermore, only limited knowledge is available from open literature on the effect of salt ions on retention of neutral solutes such as glucose and vice versa. A better insight in this phenomenon is needed, since several nanofiltration applications treat solutions containing a combination of salts and neutral components such as sugars. In Chapter 2 of this thesis the results of the effect of different monovalent and divalent salts on the retention of glucose are discussed and explained for several commercially available nanofiltration membranes. In Chapter 3 the results of the effects of much high sodium chloride and glucose concentrations in the solution (300 g.L^{-1} and 80 g.L^{-1}, respectively) on salt and glucose retention are presented and discussed to extend the concentration window. In the work described in this chapter several other commercially available membranes have been evaluated as well.

Figure 8: Chloride and sulfate concentrations of solutions used in nanofiltration membrane characterization, and nanofiltration of sea water, RO retentate of sea water, depleted brine from chlor/alkali production and NaCl salt production.

Furthermore, there has been limited attention in open literature for nanofiltration membrane performance for more concentrated salt solutions, despite the fact that a
substantial amount of (potential) applications deals with these types of solutions (see Fig. 8) as shown in the previous sections.

Therefore, the other chapters deal with creating a better understanding of nanofiltration of salt solutions containing mainly sodium chloride and sodium sulfate at practically relevant concentrations. **Chapter 4** discusses nanofiltration of solutions saturated in both sodium sulfate and sodium chloride using commercially available membranes, thus creating a concentrate super-saturated in sodium sulfate and saturated in sodium chloride, and a permeate practically saturated in sodium chloride and lean in sodium sulfate. A relation between chloride retention and the sulfate concentration difference between retentate and concentrate is presented.

![Figure 9: Structure of the dissertation and relationship between the different chapters.](image)

In **Chapter 5** nanofiltration of a solution saturated in sodium chloride and lean in sodium sulfate is presented and discussed. In this chapter it will be shown that the same retention relation for chloride with the difference in sulfate concentration between
retentate and permeate will be obtained, irrespective of the nanofiltration membrane type used, and that this can be explained on the basis of thermodynamic considerations.

In Chapter 6, the conclusions and recommendations will be presented. The relationship between the different chapters is furthermore outlined in Fig. 9.
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Chapter 2

Nanofiltration of multi-component feeds. Interactions between neutral and charged components and their effect on retention

This chapter has been published as:
Abstract
Membrane characterization and modeling of membrane processes are essential steps in the development and implementation of new membrane filtration processes. The generalized Maxwell-Stefan equation is frequently used to describe these processes. However, predictive modeling on the basis of characterization experiments using single solutes is still troublesome in a lot of cases. Consequently, a better understanding of the effect of the interaction between different components on the membrane separation characteristics is required. In this work, four well-known commercially available membranes, Desal 5DK, Desal 5DL, Desal G5, NTR-7450, and a newly introduced membrane NF have been characterized. The pore radii of these membranes determined from glucose retention experiments increase in the following sequence: Desal 5DK≈NF<Desal 5DL<Desal G5<NTR-7450. The pore radii and effective membrane thickness determined on the basis of glycerin experiments are within 6% of those determined using glucose. The presence of salt ions, especially of those for which the membranes show low retention, leads to reduction of the retention of neutral components (glucose). The retention reduction, at maximum 10% (absolute) in this study, depends on the membrane selected. For NF and Desal 5DK a high glucose retention drop coincides with a high concentration of the anion salt (Cl−) ions in the permeate, independent of the cation salt ion used. This phenomenon can be explained by several hypotheses. One of these, supported by generalized Maxwell-Stefan model calculations, is that the presence of a pore size distribution leads to the observed shift in selectivity. In the presence of salt ions in the pores, the flux through small pores is reduced to a larger extent than that in bigger pores. Consequently, the retention for glucose drops and a shift in the apparent pore radius is determined. Regardless of the mechanism that causes the reduction of the glucose retention, it is essential that this effect is incorporated in predictive models for nanofiltration to predict the loss of organic components to the permeate sufficiently accurately since this loss may affect permeate disposal costs or product yield.
1. Introduction
Nanofiltration membranes are a relatively new class of membranes, with properties in between those of ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) membranes. These membranes are amongst others used in the food industry for the demineralization of whey and UF whey permeates [1]. The availability of a fundamental mathematical model that would predict the separation efficiency and the capacity of a nanofiltration membrane for industrial processes would facilitate the development of new processes and the design of nanofiltration installations. Several (e.g. extended Nernst-Planck and Maxwell-Stefan) models have been or are being developed for this purpose [2-10]. In these models generally steric hindrance (sieving effect), Donnan exclusion [2,3], dielectric exclusion [4,11] and/or increased solute solvation energy are assumed to be responsible for the retention of solutes by nanofiltration membranes [12].

One of the most fundamental ways to predict the transport through a nanofiltration membrane is by means of a model using the generalized Maxwell-Stefan (GMS) equations [13]. In these equations, the diffusive movement of a species is described as a result of the friction of that species with all the other components in the system. This generalized Maxwell-Stefan description attracted increased attention [8-10] after several empirical relations to calculate all the friction coefficients in a multi-component system became available in the 1990s [14-16].

The parameters required in a Maxwell-Stefan (or extended Nernst-Planck) model to describe the sieving effect and Donnan exclusion are the mean pore radius, the effective membrane thickness, and the surface charge density [2, 4, 5]. These parameters are usually obtained by fitting the model to solute retentions and membrane fluxes measured in membrane characterization experiments using pure water, single salt, and single sugar solutions [8]. When dielectric exclusion or changes in solvent structure are taken into account as well, the number of model parameters involved will of course increase [12].

With several models, e.g. the Maxwell-Stefan model as proposed by Straatsma et al. [8], the membrane performance for processing a solution containing a mixture of salts can be fitted well. However, generally the results cannot be predicted on the basis of the parameters obtained in membrane characterization experiments with single component solutions. Clearly, the interactions between different components and the
membrane, and their effect on the separation characteristics of nanofiltration membranes, are not yet sufficiently understood [8]. In this study the membrane characteristics and the interactions between different components on the separation efficiency for five commercially available nanofiltration membranes have been investigated to get a better insight into these phenomena. Special attention has been paid to the effect of salt ions on the retention of neutral components. To evaluate the experimental results the Maxwell-Stefan model as proposed by Straatsma et al. [8] has been used. The description of concentration polarization, not used in the original Straatsma model [8], has now been implemented.

2. Theoretical background
The Maxwell-Stefan equation of a species \( i \), worked out for one transport direction (\( y \)) is:

\[
- \frac{d \mu_i}{d y} - V_{m,i} \cdot \frac{d P}{d y} - z_i \cdot F \cdot \frac{d \Phi}{d y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \chi_{j} \cdot \zeta_{i,j} \cdot (u_i - u_j) \right)
\]

(1)

Straatsma et al. [8] have applied the Maxwell-Stefan equations to the interfaces at both sides of the membrane boundary and the membrane layer itself. A fourth transport layer, a stagnant layer where concentration polarization takes place, was described mathematically, but was not yet implemented in the model [8]. The common form of the Maxwell-Stefan equation (1) has now been implemented in Straatsma's model [8] to describe the transport through this layer.

For the calculation of the solute concentration at the membrane surface, the layer thickness is required. This thickness strongly depends on the flow intensity along the membrane and membrane characteristics like porosity and roughness [17]. On the basis of the film model theory [18], the thickness can be calculated from:

\[
Sh = \frac{k \cdot L}{D} = \frac{L}{\delta_i}
\]

(2)

Many empirical correlations have been proposed to calculate the Sherwood number (\( Sh \)) [19]. One of the most popular correlations for turbulent flow is described by [19]:

\[
...
\[ Sh = 0.023 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Sc^{0.33} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The concentration polarization layer thickness \( (\delta) \) calculated for the flat sheet membranes used in our experiments varied from \( 1 \cdot 10^{-5} \) to \( 3 \cdot 10^{-5} \) m. Because the exact flow characteristics of the membrane module used are insufficiently known and would require a separate study to determine, we have used \( 2 \cdot 10^{-5} \) m as an estimate for the concentration polarization layer thickness in the updated (GMS) model for all experiments described.

As in the original Straatsma model the membrane charge \( Q_m \) has been calculated using the Freundlich isotherm [8]:

\[ Q_m = Q_0 \left( \sum |z_j| x_j \right)^{\kappa} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

3. Experimental work
3.1. Membranes
Retention experiments were carried out with five different commercially available nanofiltration membranes (Desal 5DK, Desal 5DL, Desal G5, NF, and NTR-7450). Desal 5DK and Desal 5DL (Osmonics, USA) are three-layer thin film polysulfone based membranes with a polyamide top layer. Desal G5 (Osmonics, USA) is a two-layer thin film polysulfone based membrane with a polyamide top layer. NF (DOW Chemicals, USA) is a three-layer polysulfone based membrane with a polypiperazine top layer. This membrane was introduced recently and replaces the well known NF-45 and NF-70 membranes. NTR-7450 (Nitto Denko, Shiga, Japan) is a sulfonated polyethersulfone membrane. The molecular weight cut-offs (MWCO) of these membranes as reported by the suppliers are listed in Table 1.
Table 1: Molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of nanofiltration membranes as reported by suppliers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>MWCO (Da)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DL</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal G5</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR 7450</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Model solutions

The neutral solutes used in the experiments were glucose (Brunschwig Chemie, the Netherlands) and glycerin (SIGMA, USA). The salts used were NaCl, KCl, and CaCl$_2$ (Merck, Germany). The properties of the neutral solutes and the salts are listed in Table 2. All feeds used in the test were obtained by dissolving these components in RO permeate of tap water (see section 3.5).

Table 2: Diffusivities and Stokes radii of ions and neutral solutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ions/ Solutes</th>
<th>$AW$ or $MW$ (g mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D_e$ ($m^2 s^{-1} \times 10^{-9}$)</th>
<th>$r_s$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^-$</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$AW$=Atomic weight, $MW$=Molecular weight
3.3. Apparatus
Retention experiments were carried out using a DDS Lab 20 unit as described by Van der Horst et al. [7]. The experiments were performed in batch circulation mode, recycling both the retentate and permeate to the feed tank. Four membranes at maximum were placed in series, each membrane having a surface area of 0.036 m$^2$. From top to bottom in the module the following membranes were installed: Desal 5DK, NF, Desal 5DL, and NTR-7450. Desal G5 was tested separately. The solute concentrations in the feed to the last membrane in the series, as determined on the basis of mass balance and solute balance calculations, differed by less than 2% from those of the feed to the first membrane in the series. Furthermore the cross-flow velocity was practically constant throughout the module (see section 3.5). Therefore the position of the membrane in the module had no significant effect on the results obtained.

3.4. Analyses
Glucose concentrations were measured with a polarimeter from Perkin-Elmer. Glycerin concentrations were analyzed by HPLC using a Carbohydrates-Pb column (Varian) and refractive index detection. The salt concentrations were analyzed by conductivity measurements with a Metrohm conductometer.

3.5. Experimental conditions
Prior to the experiments the membranes were pre-compacted by increasing the pressure to 40 bar and maintaining circulation operation at this pressure, until the flux of the individual membranes remained constant for at least 30 min. During pre-compaction at a temperature of 20°C, RO permeate of tap water was used as feed.

The temperature and cross-flow velocity during all experiments were 20.0 ± 0.5°C and 0.9 m s$^{-1}$, respectively. Since the combined permeate flow of the membranes was always less than 5% of the retentate flow, the cross-flow velocity was practically equal for all membranes. The pressure-drop along the module was below 0.1 MPa in all cases.

Pure water fluxes were measured using RO permeate of tap water to determine the permeability of individual membranes. The pressure was varied between 0.2 and 4.0 MPa.
Retention experiments were carried out at outlet pressures ranging from 0.2 to 3 MPa. After each pressure adjustment, at least 30 min. was allowed for equilibrium to be reached. At each pressure, the temperature, permeate flux, and permeate composition were determined.

Retention experiments with solutions containing neutral components (glucose or glycerin) were carried out to determine, in combination with the results of the pure water flux experiments, the pore radius and the effective thickness of the membranes. Feed solutions contained 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) glucose or 3 g kg\(^{-1}\) glycerin. The glucose concentrations of the permeates and retentate were measured (see section 3.4) directly and the samples were put back in the feed tank to keep the feed composition unchanged. To investigate whether the two neutral solutes would affect each other’s retention, an experiment with 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) glucose and 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) glycerin was carried out.

To investigate the influence of the presence of salts on the glucose retention, experiments were carried out using feeds with a glucose concentration of 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) in combination with NaCl, CaCl\(_2\) or KCl. The salt concentrations used in these tests were 0.01-1.0 M, 0.005-0.05 M, and 0.1 M for NaCl, CaCl\(_2\), and KCl, respectively. During the entire set of experiments only one flat sheet per membrane type was used. Prior to the experiments with different solutions pure water fluxes of the individual membranes were determined at 1 MPa and 2 MPa to evaluate whether the membrane had changed or fouling had occurred during the previous experiment. Since the variation in the pure water flux of the individual membranes was 10% at maximum (and in most cases far less) during the entire experimental program, it is assumed that the condition of the membranes did not change significantly. Furthermore, the variation in the pure water flux of the individual membranes did not show a specific (e.g. reducing) trend with progressing of the experimental program, apart from NTR-7450, for which a gradual reduction of the pure water flux with progressing of the run was observed.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Pure water permeabilities of the membranes

On the basis of pure water flux measurements, the permeabilities determined for NTR-7450, Desal 5DL, NF, Desal 5DK, and Desal G5 are 2.05 \(\times\) 10\(^{-11}\), 1.58 \(\times\) 10\(^{-11}\), 1.53 \(\times\) 10\(^{-11}\),
1.42 \times 10^{-11} \text{, and } 0.25 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m s}^{-1} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \text{ respectively (see Fig. 1 for pure water permeabilities of NTR-7450, NF, and Desal G5).}

Figure 1: Pure water flux as a function of the applied pressure.

Table 3: Pure water permeabilities reported for Desal 5DK, Desal 5DL, and NTR-7450

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Pure water permeability (m s^{-1} Pa^{-1})</th>
<th>Published by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>1.4 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Bowen and Mohammad [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK (first batch)</td>
<td>2.2 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Hagmayer and Gimbel [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK (second batch)</td>
<td>1.7 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Hagmayer and Gimbel [4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>1.3 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Straatsma et al. [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>1.5 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DL</td>
<td>2.1 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Bowen and Mohammad [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DL</td>
<td>1.6 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>2.6 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Bowen and Mohammad [20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>6.4 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>Schaep et al. [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>2.1 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The pure water permeability obtained for Desal 5DK is reasonably in line with those reported by Bowen and Mohammad [20] and Straatsma et al. [8]. Hagmayer and Gimbel [4] presented permeabilities for Desal 5DK that are more in line with those of Desal 5DL as found by Bowen and Mohammad [20] and in this study (see Table 3). The pure water permeability determined in this study for NTR-7450 is around 30% lower than that reported by Bowen and Mohammad [20]. Schaep et al. [21] presented a pure water permeability 2.5 times higher than that found by Bowen and Mohammad.

Possible explanations for these differences in pure water permeabilities may be differences in pre-compaction procedures of the membranes (not always presented by the various authors), measurement of the pure water permeability at only one pressure in other studies, the module configuration used and the representativeness of the small membrane sheets used.

4.2. Membrane characterization using uncharged solutes

The glucose retentions for Desal 5DK, NF, and Desal 5DL are significantly higher than those for Desal G5 and NTR 7450 (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3), as expected on the basis of the MWCO values reported by the suppliers for these membranes (see Table 1). Surprisingly, there is a significant difference in glucose retention between Desal G5 and NTR-7450, despite the similar MWCO (1 kDa) as reported by their suppliers.

The mean pore size ($r_p$) and the effective membrane thickness ($\delta_m = d_m*\tau/\epsilon$) for the membranes, calculated through fitting of the experimental flux and glucose retention results using the four layer (including concentration polarization) GMS model, are listed in Table 4. In this fitting procedure the effect of the membrane charge and the electrical forces on the transport of this neutral component are neglected ($z_i = 0$ in equation 4).

Desal 5DK and NF have the smallest pore size, whereas Desal 5DL appears to be slightly more open. The effective membrane thickness for these three membranes is practically equal. The high flux of Desal 5DL as compared to Desal 5DK and NF is therefore mainly due to the bigger main pore radius of Desal 5DL. The fitted mean pore radius and effective membrane thickness for Desal 5DK and NF as obtained from glycerin retention experiments deviate by less than 6% from those determined on the basis of glucose experiments (see Table 4). The retention data for NF using a solution containing 1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ glucose and a solution containing 3.0 g kg$^{-1}$ glycerin are shown in Fig. 3. The continuous lines are the fitted flux retention curves for glycerin and glucose.
resulting in the $r_p$ and $\delta_m$ as listed in Table 4. The dashed lines show the model predictions for the uncharged solutes on the basis of the $r_p$ and $\delta_m$ determined using the other uncharged solute. As can be seen from Fig. 3, the solute retention can be predicted sufficiently accurately, even when a pore radius and effective membrane thickness determined for the other neutral solute are used. The marginal difference in the membrane characteristics found from the glucose and glycerin characterization may be due to a slight deviation of the actual Stokes radius for glucose and/or glycerin from the theoretical values as given in Table 2.

Figure 2: Glucose retention as a function of flux for Desal 5DK, Desal 5DL, Desal G5, and NTR-7450. Symbols are measured results; lines are model fitted curves.

Figure 3: The retention of the NF membrane for glucose and glycerin as a function of the permeate flux. Uninterrupted lines are based on the fitted $r_p$ and $\delta_m$. Dashed lines are model predictions based on the $r_p$ and $\delta_m$ fitted for the other component.
Table 4: Results of membrane characterization with uncharged solutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Glycerin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r_p$ (nm)</td>
<td>$\delta_m$ (μm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>11.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal G5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>40.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DL</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean pore radius determined for Desal 5DK is slightly lower (0.42 nm vs. 0.46 nm) than previously reported by Straatsma et al. [8], using their three layer model. The current result is considered to be more accurate, since more experimental data points were used, especially at relatively low fluxes, and concentration polarization is now taken into account. Bowen and Mohammad [20] reported pore radii for Desal 5DK and Desal 5DL in excess of 0.5 nm. In their estimation the pore size was based on an assumed MWCO for these membranes of 225 Da. Furthermore, concentration polarization was not taken into account in their calculation.

Desal G5 and NTR-7450, both reported by the manufacturers to have a MWCO of 1 kDa, are the most open membranes. Desal G5 has a very low permeate flux compared to NTR-7450. This is partly caused by a lower mean pore size, but mainly by a much higher effective membrane thickness (see Table 4). The mean pore radius for NTR-7450 is slightly lower than that reported by Bowen and Mohammad ($r_p=1.41$ nm [20]). Schaap et al. [21] reported mean pore radii for NTR-7450 of 0.55 nm, 0.71 nm, and 0.80 nm for solutions containing galactose, maltose, and raffinose, respectively. Timmer reported a lower pore radius for NTR-7450 (0.76 nm [22] and 0.82 nm [23]) on the basis of Paselli MD6 (glucose polymers with a sugar radius between 0.5 and 1.2 nm) solution experiments and using the well-known Ferry equation. Also Wang et al. [24] reported a pore radius (0.70 nm) more in line with that found by Timmer et al. [22] and Schaap et al. [21]. The reason for the large spread in reported pore radii is not known.

4.3. Prediction of retention for a solution containing glycerin and glucose

The glucose and glycerin retention for NF and Desal 5DK predicted for a 1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ glucose solution, a 3.0 g kg$^{-1}$ glycerin solution, and a solution containing 1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ glucose and 1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ glycerin on the basis of average pore radius and effective
membrane thickness as determined using a 3.0 g kg\(^{-1}\) glycerin solution are shown in Fig. 4 and 5, respectively. For both membranes the measured glucose retention with or without glycerin being present in the solution is predicted well by the model. This retention is hardly influenced by the presence of glycerin (see Fig. 4 and 5). The glycerin retention appears to be higher when part of the glycerin is replaced by glucose. The model predicts higher glycerin retention, since the friction between glycerin and glucose in the solution and in the membrane pores is higher than the friction between individual glycerin molecules, but the observed difference is higher than predicted by the model, especially for NF.

Figure 4: Measured (symbols) and predicted glycerin and glucose retention for NF.
Predicted values for single sugar (glycerin or glucose) solutions presented with dashed lines; predicted values for mixed sugar (glycerin and glucose) solutions presented with full lines.
4.4. The effect of salt on glucose retention

The effect of the presence of salt in the feed solution on the membrane characteristics for NF, Desal 5 DK and NTR-7450 was determined by measuring glucose retentions in the presence of different salts and using different salt concentrations. The salt retentions were measured as well, to determine the charge characteristics of the membrane, required for the prediction of the glucose retention in the presence of salt. Generally, the NaCl retention decreases with increasing salt concentration (see e.g. Fig. 6). The Freundlich parameters $Q_0$ and $K_s$ (see section 2) fitted for each membrane using the mean pore size obtained from glucose experiments without salt (Table 4) are summarized in Table 5. The measured NaCl retentions could be fitted well (see Fig. 6 for the fit results for NF).

The measured glucose retentions for NF using a 1.5 g kg$^{-1}$ glucose feed solution with different NaCl concentrations are compared with model predictions (using $r_p = 0.43$ nm, $\delta_m = 2.47 \mu$m, $Q_0 = -1.9 \times 10^4$ mol m$^{-3}$, and $K_s = 0.17$) in Fig. 7. The model predicts the observed flux decrease when higher salt concentrations are used (see Table 6); however, calculated fluxes still deviate by up to around 20% from measured flux values.
An increase in salt concentration increases the membrane charge density and consequently the friction between the components (including water) in the membrane. This results in a lower flux. On the basis of the model predictions it is expected that an increase in NaCl concentration would cause a slight increase of the glucose retention (see Fig.7). However, the experimental results show an opposite effect. Not only the salt retention, but also the glucose retention gradually decreases with increasing NaCl concentration. For a feed solution containing 1.0 M NaCl, the decrease in glucose retention, as compared to a solution without NaCl, is as high as 10%.

Figure 6: NaCl retention for NF as a function of flux at different NaCl concentrations in the presence of 0.15% glucose, using $Q_0 = -1.9 \cdot 10^4$ mol m$^{-3}$, and $K_s = 0.17$. Lines represent model predictions.

Table 5: Freundlich parameters used in the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>$Q_0$ (mol m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$K_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>-3.5$\cdot$10$^4$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>-4.1$\cdot$10$^4$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaCl$_2$</td>
<td>5.5$\cdot$10$^6$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>-1.9$\cdot$10$^4$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>-2.1$\cdot$10$^4$</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaCl$_2$</td>
<td>1.6$\cdot$10$^5$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>-1.9$\cdot$10$^3$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>-1.5$\cdot$10$^3$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaCl$_2$</td>
<td>-1.3$\cdot$10$^3$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7: Glucose retention for NF as function of the flux for feeds containing glucose and NaCl with different NaCl concentrations (see legends). Continuous lines are model predictions using $r_p=0.43$ nm, $Q_0=-1.9\times10^4$ mol m$^{-3}$, and $K_s=0.17$.

Table 6: Measured and predicted (using $r_p=0.43$ nm, $Q_0=-1.9\times10^4$ mol m$^{-3}$ and $K_s=0.17$) fluxes for NF at $P=30$ bar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaCl concentration (M)</th>
<th>Predicted flux (kg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Measured flux (kg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Deviation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The decrease in glucose retention at increasing NaCl concentration can only be described accurately by adjusting the value of the mean pore size of the membranes in the model. The fitted values for the mean pore size and the effective membrane thickness for the different membranes at different NaCl concentrations are shown in Table 7. For all three membranes tested the fitted pore sizes increase when the NaCl concentration is increased. For NTR-7450 this increase in pore size is relatively large, whereas for Desal 5DK only a minor increase is found, since the glucose retention for
the latter membrane is less dependent on the salt content of the feed. Vellenga and Trägård [25] also studied the effect of combined sugar and salt solutions on the sugar retention for Desal 5 (DS5). They did not observe any effect of the presence of NaCl on the sugar retention for Desal 5. This may seem to contradict with the results found in this study, however it should be noted that they used sucrose/NaCl mixtures in their study. Sucrose has a higher molecular weight than glucose and therefore shows much higher retention. It is therefore expected that a slight increase in mean pore size as consequence of an increased amount of NaCl in the feed (as found for Desal 5DK in this study) affects the glucose retention to a larger extend than the sucrose retention. Since the glucose retention for Desal 5DK only changed little when 1.0 M NaCl was added to the solution, an unchanged sucrose retention would not be unexpected. Vellenga and Trägård [25] also reported that the observed high retention of sucrose could have hidden influences on transport phenomena.

Table 7: Results of membrane characterization with 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) glucose in the presence of different NaCl concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cNaCl (M)</th>
<th>NTR-7450</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>Desal 5DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(r_p) (nm)</td>
<td>(\delta_m) ((\mu)m)</td>
<td>(r_p) (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When glucose solutions containing CaCl\(_2\) or KCl are used, a reduction of the glucose retention as compared to a situation where salt is absent is also observed (see Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 for NF and NTR-7450, respectively). In the presence of 0.05 M CaCl\(_2\) this reduction is small for NF, whereas for 0.1 M KCl the decline in glucose retention (approximately 5%) is only marginally higher than that found for a glucose solution containing 0.1 M NaCl. For NTR-7450 a similar pattern is observed. The presence of 0.05 M CaCl\(_2\) in the 0.15% glucose solution hardly affects the glucose retention, whereas the presence of 0.1 M KCl in the 0.15% glucose solution reduces the glucose retention to 50% of the retention found for the 0.15% glucose solution that does not contain any salt. The mean pore size and the effective membrane thickness, fitted on
the basis of glucose retention in the presence of different salts at equal chloride concentration in the feed, are summarized in Table 8.

Figure 8: Glucose retention for feeds containing, apart from 0.15% glucose, different salts (see legends) as function of the flux for NF.

Figure 9: Glucose retention for feeds containing, apart from 0.15% glucose, different salts (see legends) as function of the flux for NTR-7450.
Table 8: Results of membrane characterization with 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) glucose in the presence of different salts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>NTR-7450</th>
<th>NF</th>
<th>Desal 5DK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(r_p)</td>
<td>(\delta_m)</td>
<td>(r_p)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M NaCl</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 M CaCl(_2)</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 M KCl</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all three membranes addition of salts result in an increase in the fitted mean pore size \((r_p)\) as compared to the mean pore size fitted with only glucose. The smallest effect is seen in the presence of CaCl\(_2\). For Desal 5DK only a minor increase is observed for all three salts. Simultaneously measured salt retentions for NF using solutions containing 1.5 g kg\(^{-1}\) glucose and 0.1 M Cl\(^-\) are shown in Fig. 10. The CaCl\(_2\) retention for NF is considerably higher than that for NaCl and KCl. Since the glucose retention reduction, when CaCl\(_2\) is added to the solution, is relatively low as compared to addition of NaCl and KCl, apparently there is a positive correlation between the glucose and salt retention (Fig. 8 and 10) at similar Cl\(^-\) concentration in the feed. Independent of the Cl\(^-\) concentration in the feed and the type of cation used, for NF and Desal 5DK the decline in glucose retention (and consequently the fitted mean pore radius) appears to correlate well with the Cl\(^-\) concentration in the permeate (see Fig. 11 for NF and Fig. 12 for Desal 5DK, where glucose retentions at a permeate flux of 75 ± 5 kg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) are shown as a function of the Cl\(^-\) concentration in the permeate). For both NF and Desal 5 DK the presence of relatively low Cl\(^-\) concentrations in the permeate already leads to a significant decrease of the glucose retention. For NTR-7450, the relation between glucose retention and Cl\(^-\) concentration in the permeate, as found for NF and Desal 5DK, is less evident. The Cl\(^-\) concentration in the permeate increases when KCl is used instead of NaCl, giving lower glucose retention (see Fig. 9), as for the other two membranes (see Fig. 10 and Fig. 11).

However, for a glucose solution containing 0.05 M CaCl\(_2\) a relatively low Cl\(^-\) concentration in the permeate, as compared to those for 0.1 M NaCl and 0.1 M KCl containing solutions, is found at low flux only. At high flux operation relatively low CaCl\(_2\) retention and consequently relatively high Cl\(^-\) concentrations in permeate (as compared to those for NaCl and KCl) are found. Despite these high Cl\(^-\) concentrations in permeate
the glucose retention is hardly different from that found for the glucose feed without salt added (see Fig. 9).

Figure 10: The salt retention for different salts as a function of the flux for NF using feeds containing salt and 0.15% glucose.

Figure 11: Glucose retention as a function of the chloride concentration in the permeate for NF at \( J = 75 \pm 5 \) kg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) using feeds containing either glucose, glucose with KCl, glucose with NaCl or glucose with CaCl\(_2\) (see legends).

Several hypothetical explanations can be given for the observed effect of salt on glucose retention. Two of these will be discussed in more detail. The presence of high
salt concentrations in the pores of the membrane generally results in a higher surface charge in the membrane pores, as predicted by the model. Furthermore, a higher concentration of counter-ions will be present in the electrical double layer in the pores. The higher repulsion forces, due to the presence of these charges, may result in swelling of the pores (higher pore radii) and consequently lead to reduced retention of neutral components.

Figure 12: Glucose retention as a function of the chloride concentration in the permeate for Desal 5DK at $J = 75 \pm 5$ kg m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ using feeds containing either glucose, glucose with KCl, glucose with NaCl or glucose with CaCl$_2$ (see legends).

An exception in swelling behavior may be NTR-7450. Schaep et al. [3] found that the charge of this membrane was very low and practically independent of the concentration of the di-valent cation, using feed solutions with MgCl$_2$ concentrations ranging between 25 and 375 mol m$^{-3}$. The membrane was charged and this charge depended on the concentration when a feed solution containing a monovalent cation (50-750 mol m$^{-3}$ NaCl) was used. Provided that the use of CaCl$_2$ shows the same behavior as MgCl$_2$, the relatively low membrane charge and consequently similar swelling behavior as for glucose solutions without salt added, may explain the unchanged glucose retention when CaCl$_2$ is added to the glucose solution and the relatively large change in glucose retention when KCl or NaCl are added to the glucose solution.
Another hypothetical explanation is that the observed phenomenon is caused by the presence of a pore size distribution in the membrane. When salt is added to the feed, the flux of the membrane is reduced. The effect of the addition of 1.0 M NaCl on the reduction of the flux as compared to a situation where no NaCl is present in the feed is shown in Fig. 13 and 14 for NF with varying pore radius. One case (see Fig. 13) represents a situation where the membrane charge per volume $Q_0$ was assumed to be independent of the pore radius, whereas the other (more likely) case (see Fig. 14) assumes that the membrane charge per surface area of the pore ($Q_{a,0} = r_p^*Q_0 / 2$) is independent of the pore radius. As can be seen from Fig. 13 and 14, this flux decrease as a consequence of salt addition is relatively high for low pore radii. Consequently, the larger pores contribute more to the permeate flux when a feedstock containing salt is used. Since these larger pores have lower glucose retention than the smaller pores (see Fig. 13 and 14), reduced glucose retention is possible and consequently a shift in the apparent pore radius to higher values may result. A similar effect of the pore size distribution on retention has been reported by Bowen and Welfoot [26].

Regardless of the possible explanation, the observed effect of salts on the retention of uncharged solutes can be of great importance for several industrial applications, e.g. the desalination of carboxymethylcellulose [27]. When the effect of salt on the retention of uncharged product components during desalting using a nanofiltration membrane is not taken into account properly in the selection of the membrane, higher than anticipated product losses and permeate discharge costs may be encountered. Therefore, for industrial application studies the effect of salt on the retention of neutral components has to be incorporated in the model predictions or application tests with the industrial feed, under conditions where only interpolation of the results is required to predict commercial operation, should be carried out.
Figure 13: The calculated effect of the pore radius on the flux ratio (the flux in the presence of 1.0 M NaCl over the flux without the presence of NaCl) and glucose retention (without NaCl) for NF at P=30 bar using a 0.15% glucose solution, assuming $Q_0$ to be independent of the pore size.

Figure 14: The calculated effect of the pore radius on the flux ratio (the flux in the presence of 1.0 M NaCl over the flux without the presence of NaCl) and glucose retention (without NaCl) for NF at P=30 bar using a 0.15% glucose solution, assuming $Q_{a,0}$ to be independent of the pore size.
Conclusions

For nanofiltration membranes the addition of salt ions to a glucose solution can result in reduction of the glucose retention. This reduction is membrane specific and furthermore depends on the retention of the salt ion added. A low salt ion retention results in a higher decrease in glucose retention. For addition of NaCl, CaCl$_2$, and KCl, the retention drop is a function of the Cl$^-$ concentration in the permeate for Desal 5DK and NF. This function is independent of the cation used. The observed effect is important for prediction of membrane performance during the demineralization of sugar solutions. However, it is not well described by a predictive model on the basis of the Maxwell-Stefan equation, which uses only pore size exclusion, Donnan exclusion, and average pore size to describe the separation process.

The reduced glucose retention in the presence of salt can be described well when the pore radius value substituted in the model is increased. Several hypotheses are available to explain the observed phenomenon. An example is that the glucose retention reduction is caused by an increased effective average pore size, as a consequence of higher repulsion forces between the double layers in the pores when the concentration of ions and therefore the membrane charge, as is predicted by the model, is increased. Another possible explanation is the presence of a pore size distribution. The Maxwell-Stefan model shows that the addition of salt with relatively low retention reduces the flux of the small pores to a higher extent than the larger pores. Thus the retention of glucose is determined to a larger extent by the larger pores and reduces when salt is added. This explains why in experiments where salts with low retention characteristics are present, the glucose retention drop is relatively large and a larger pore size estimate in the Maxwell-Stefan model is required to predict the glucose retention more accurately.

Nomenclature

\[ D_\infty \quad \text{Diffusion coefficient} \quad \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \]
\[ d_m \quad \text{Thickness of the membrane layer} \quad \text{m} \]
\[ F \quad \text{Faraday constant} \quad \text{C mol}^{-1} \]
\[ J \quad \text{Permeate flux} \quad \text{kg m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1} \]
$J_w$ Pure water permeate flux $\text{kg m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1}$

$k$ Mass transfer coefficient $\text{m s}^{-1}$

$K_s$ Model constant Freundlich equation -

$L$ Length $\text{m}$

$P$ Pressure $\text{Pa}$

$q_0$ Model constant Freundlich equation $\text{mol m}^{-3}$

$q_{a,0}$ Membrane charge constant based on pore surface area $\text{mol m}^{-2}$

$q_m$ Membrane charge concentration based on pore volume $\text{mol m}^{-3}$

$r_s$ Stokes radius of ions and solutes $\text{m}$

$R$ Rejection $\%$

$Re$ Reynolds number -

$Sc$ Schmidt number -

$Sh$ Sherwood number -

$u$ Diffusive velocity $\text{m s}^{-1}$

$V_m$ Molar volume $\text{m}^3\text{mol}^{-1}$

$x$ Mole fraction -

$y$ Co-ordinate in the membrane $\text{M}$

$z$ Ion valence -

**Greek**

$\delta_l$ Thickness concentration polarization layer $\text{m}$

$\delta_m$ Effective membrane thickness $\text{m}$

$\epsilon$ Membrane porosity -

$\Phi$ Electrical potential $\text{J C}^{-1}$

$\mu$ Chemical potential $\text{J mol}^{-1}$

$t$ Membrane tortuosity -

$\zeta$ Diffusive friction coefficient $\text{kg s}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$

**Subscripts**

$i$ $i$-th component

$j$ $j$-th component
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Chapter 3

The effect of NaCl and glucose concentration on retentions for nanofiltration membranes processing concentrated solutions

This chapter has been published as:
Abstract
Most nanofiltration studies deal with solutions containing less than 60 g.L\(^{-1}\) salts and low sugar concentrations. For concentrated solutions limited information is available about interactions between neutral and charged solutes during nanofiltration, and their effect on flux and retention. This study reports results for nanofiltration of solutions containing NaCl in concentrations between 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) and 300 g.L\(^{-1}\) and glucose concentrations as high as 80 g.L\(^{-1}\). Five membranes were characterized and evaluated. For mixed glucose/NaCl solutions with NaCl concentrations higher than 90 g.L\(^{-1}\), a glucose concentration increase from 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) only affected glucose retention at the same flux for NTR 7450. For the tightest membranes slightly negative NaCl retentions were obtained for high glucose concentrations in the feed. Glucose retention for solutions containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose decreased strongly when the NaCl concentration was increased from 0 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 100 g.L\(^{-1}\). The observed glucose retention reductions were not caused by flux changes. A change in the ratio of glucose radius over membrane effective pore radius could explain the obtained results. Determined pore radii and effective thickness can be used for development of nanofiltration applications for desalination of concentrated glucose solutions.
1. Introduction

Nanofiltration membranes are a class of membranes, with properties in between those of ultrafiltration (UF) and reverse osmosis (RO) membranes. The development of new nanofiltration processes is facilitated by the availability of fundamental mathematical models, such as extended Nernst-Planck and Maxwell-Stefan models [1-9]. In these models generally steric hindrance, Donnan exclusion [1, 2], dielectric exclusion [3, 10] and/or the solvation energy are assumed to be responsible for the retention of solutes by nanofiltration membranes [11]. The extended Nernst-Planck model, which is more often used in publications related to membrane modeling, actually is a simplification of the Maxwell-Stefan model.

In both the Maxwell-Stefan and the extended Nernst-Planck model, the mean pore radius, the effective membrane thickness, and the surface charge density [1, 3, 4] are the parameters required to describe retention behavior on the basis of the sieving effect and Donnan exclusion during transport. These parameters are usually obtained by fitting the model to solute retentions and membrane fluxes measured in membrane characterization experiments using pure water, single salt and single sugar solutions [7]. Usually, the membrane performance for processing a solution containing a mixture of salts can be fitted well. However, generally the results cannot be predicted on the basis of the parameters obtained in membrane characterization experiments with single component solutions [7]. The same applies for mixtures containing neutral components and salts, or combinations of neutral organic molecules with different molecular weight [12]. Clearly, the interactions between different components and the membrane, and their effect on the separation characteristics of nanofiltration membranes, are not yet sufficiently well understood and fitting of the mean pore radius, the effective membrane thickness and the surface charge density for individual membrane processing the solution of interest is still required for the development of industrial applications.

Previous studies studying the interactions between neutral and/or charged components [12-21], some of them even including pH and temperature effects [16], and their effect on retentions are based on nanofiltration of solutions with relatively low concentrations of the charged solutes and neutral solutes. In these studies clear effects of changes in salt concentrations on glucose retention, which reduced when the salt concentration increased, have been reported by several authors e.g. [12, 13]. Several explanations have been proposed for these effects. These explanations include a change in effective
pore radius of the membrane as a consequence of membrane swelling or a flux change in combination with the presence of a pore size distribution as e.g. proposed in [12] and a change in effective molecular size of the neutral component as a consequence of the presence of salt as e.g. proposed in [13]. Recently, it has been shown that both of the mechanisms can contribute to the reduction of the retention of neutral components as a consequence of the addition of salt [22, 23].

Even though a lot of knowledge has been obtained in the recent years on the effects of salts on the retention of neutral components during nanofiltration, the knowledge for processing of feeds with high solute concentrations is still limited. This hampers the development of nanofiltration application for these concentrated feed streams. Only recently Luo and Wan [24] have presented interesting results from nanofiltration experiments with solutions containing glucose at low concentrations and NaCl concentrations up to 175 g.L\(^{-1}\). Earlier, Sjoman et al. [25] have presented results for the separation of two neutral components, xylose and glucose, from concentrated monosaccharide solutions and Bargeman et al. [26] have presented results for nanofiltration of solutions saturated in both, NaCl and Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), showing the effects of high Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) concentrations on NaCl retention.

The objective of this study is to evaluate whether effects observed during nanofiltration of solutions with very high NaCl (up to almost saturated solutions) and glucose concentrations (up to 80 g.L\(^{-1}\)) are similar to those found for nanofiltration of solutions containing relatively low NaCl and glucose concentrations. Studying the effects of other salts and neutral molecules at high concentrations during nanofiltration could further improve insights, but this is outside of the scope of the current study. First indications on the behavior of different salts and neutral components at high concentrations can be obtained by combining the results of this work with earlier publications describing nanofiltration at lower concentrations. The effect of different salts at lower concentrations on glucose retention has been studied in Bargeman et al. [12]. Additionally, the effect of several salts on PEG retention at lower concentrations has been reported by Bouranene et al. [17]. Furthermore, it is the objective of this study to determine values for the mean pore diameter and the effective membrane thickness for several commercially available nanofiltration membranes during processing of the concentrated solutions. The availability of these parameters will facilitate the development of nanofiltration applications in industry. Examples of such applications...
are the use of nanofiltration for separation of sodium sulfate from sodium chloride in the Chlor/Alkali industry [27] and in the sugar industry [28]. Thus, the operating window for nanofiltration is extended to practically saturated feeds, knowledge about the performance of more nanofiltration membranes becomes publically available, especially for processing of concentrated feeds, and fundamental understanding of nanofiltration processes is extended to processing of feeds with high solute concentrations.

2. Theoretical background
The extended Nernst-Planck description is most often used to interpret the flux and retention results obtained from nanofiltration experiments. Therefore we have used this description in this study as well. The mean pore radius and effective thickness reported in this study were obtained using the equations described in [1, 2].

For uncharged solutes like glucose, the transport through the membrane is governed purely by diffusive and convective flows. Consequently, the Nernst-Planck equation yields:

$$ j_i = -D_{i,p} \frac{dc_i}{dx} + K_{i,c} c_i \nu $$

where

$$ D_{i,p} = K_{i,d} D_{i,v} $$

$K_{i,d}$ and $K_{i,c}$, account for the hindrance due to diffusion and convection respectively and can be related to the hydrodynamic coefficients $K^{-1}$ (enhanced drag) and $G$ (lag coefficient) according to the following equations [1]:

$$ K^{-1}(\lambda, 0) = 1.0 - 2.3\lambda + 1.154\lambda^2 + 0.224\lambda^3 $$

$$ G(\lambda, 0) = 1.0 + 0.054\lambda - 0.988\lambda^2 + 0.441\lambda^3 $$

where

$$ \lambda = \frac{r_s}{r_p} $$

In equation (5) $r_s$ represents the solute radius and $r_p$ the mean pore radius of the membrane.
In our approach it is assumed that the solute velocity was fully developed [1] and the solute velocity had a parabolic profile of the Hagen-Poiseuille type. Thus the hindrance factors become [1]:

\[ K_{i,d} = K^{-1}(\lambda, 0) \]  
\[ K_{i,c} = (2 - \Phi) G(\lambda, 0) \]  
where \( \Phi = (1 - \lambda)^2 \)

Equation (1) can be expressed in terms of real rejection of the solute by the membrane as:

\[ R_{real} = \frac{C_{i,m} - C_{i,p}}{C_{i,m}} = 1 - \frac{K_{i,c} \Phi}{1 - \exp(-Pe_m)[1 - \Phi K_{i,c}]} \]  
where the Peclet number \( Pe_m \) is defined as:

\[ Pe_m = \frac{K_{i,c} J}{K_{i,d} D_{i,\infty}} \frac{\Delta x}{A_k} \]  
where \( J \) is the permeate flux in \( \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), \( D_{i,\infty} \) is the bulk diffusivity in \( \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( \Delta x/A_k \) is the effective membrane thickness (m).

Concentration polarization at the membrane surface was taken into account as well. The relation between the real retention and the observed retention can be obtained from [1, 2]:

\[ \ln\left(\frac{1 - R_{obs}}{R_{obs}}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{1 - R_{real}}{R_{real}}\right) + \frac{J}{k} \]  
Since cross-flow operation was used in this study, the correlation for the mass transfer coefficient \( k \) as proposed in [1] for dead end stirred cell operation could not be used. Consequently, we assumed the mass transfer coefficient \( k \) to be equal to the ratio of the diffusion coefficient at infinite dilution \( D_{i,\infty} \) over the film thickness \( \delta \). On the basis of earlier studies in the DSS lab at similar flow-rate [12] a constant value for the film thickness of \( \delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m} \) has been selected.
Furthermore, in the approach described in [1, 2], the effective membrane thickness $\Delta x / A_k$ was obtained from the Hagen-Poiseuille equation using the pure water flux of the membrane:

$$\frac{J_w}{\Delta P} = \frac{r_p^2}{8\mu(\Delta x / A_k)}$$

(12)

where $J_w/\Delta P$ is the pure water permeance in (m.s$^{-1}$.kPa$^{-1}$) and $\mu$ is dynamic viscosity of the solution in (kPa.s).

This is a good approach for processing of solutions containing neutral solutes only. However, when the solution contains salts next to neutral solutes such as glucose, the mean pore radius and effective thickness of the membrane depend on the composition of the solution [12] and is different from values obtained for pure water. Consequently, the product flux ($J$) and the viscosity of the solution treated has to be used in the Hagen-Poiseuille equation. Furthermore, the osmotic pressure difference between the concentrate and permeate for highly concentrated solutions affects the driving force for the flow through the membrane and needs to be taken into account as well. Instead of equation (12) the following equation therefore has been used to calculate the effective membrane thickness $\Delta x / A_k$:

$$\frac{J}{(\Delta P - \Delta \pi)} = \frac{r_p^2}{8\mu(\Delta x / A_k)}$$

(13)

The osmotic pressure difference $\Delta \pi$ for the different nanofiltration membranes and for processing of the different solutions can be obtained from equation (13) by determining the flux at different trans-membrane pressures $\Delta P$.

3. Experimental

3.1. Membranes

Retention experiments were carried out with five different commercially available nanofiltration membranes (Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL, NP030, NTR 7450 and NF270). Desal 5DK and Desal 5HL (GE Water Technologies) are thin film composite (TFC) semi-permeable membranes with a polyamide top layer. NP030 (NADIR) is a permanently hydrophilic poly-ether sulfone membrane. NTR 7450 (SOMICON, Nitto
Denko) is a synthetic polymer composite membrane. NF 270 (Dow) is a polyamide based TFC membrane. Further information about these membranes, as reported by their suppliers, is listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Molecular weight cut-off (MWCO), pure water permeance and \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \), \( \text{MgSO}_4 \) and \( \text{NaCl} \) retentions of nanofiltration membranes as reported by suppliers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>(Pure) water permeance ( (\text{L.m}^{-2}.\text{h}^{-1}.\text{bar}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( \text{MgSO}_4 ) retention ( (%) )</th>
<th>( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 ) retention ( (%) )</th>
<th>( \text{NaCl} ) retention ( (%) )</th>
<th>( \text{CaCl}_2 ) retention ( (%) )</th>
<th>MWCO ( (\text{Da}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK(^{(1)})</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5HL(^{(1)})</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150-300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 030(^{(2)})</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>80-95</td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR 7450(^{(3)})</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF270 (^{(4)})-(^{(5)})</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&gt;97 (^{(4)})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40-60 (^{(5)})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Specifications are based on a 2,000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) \( \text{MgSO}_4 \) solution at 100 psig (6.9 bar), 77°F (25° C), 10% recovery, after 24 hours. Individual element flux may vary ± 20%.

\(^{(2)}\) Test conditions: 40 bar, 20 °C, stirred cell (700 rpm). Retention of \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) with solution of \( \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \) (0.5%). Retention of \( \text{NaCl} \) with solution of 0.5% \( \text{NaCl} \).

\(^{(3)}\) Average flux: for a lot more than 50 elements. Test conditions for \( \text{NaCl} \) retention: \( \text{NaCl} \) 0.2 wt %, at 10 bar, 25 °C, and 20-35 % recovery.

\(^{(4)}\) Permeate flow and salt passage based on the following test conditions: 2000 mg.L\(^{-1}\) \( \text{MgSO}_4 \), 70 psi (4.8 bar), 77°F (25°C), and 15% recovery.

\(^{(5)}\) Permeate flow and salt passage based on the following test conditions: 500 mg.L\(^{-1}\) \( \text{CaCl}_2 \), 70 psi (4.8 bar), 77°F (25°C), and 15% recovery.

3.2. Feed components

Glucose and \( \text{NaCl} \) used during the experiments were analytical grade materials obtained from Fisher Emergo (The Netherlands) and Sigma Aldrich (The Netherlands), respectively. Some properties of these solutes are listed in Table 2. All feed batches used during the experimental program were prepared by dissolving these components in reverse osmosis permeate of tap water.
Table 2: Diffusivities and Stokes radii of ion and neutral solute [12].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>Ionic or molecular weight (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Diffusivity D∞ (m²s⁻¹) x 10⁻⁹</th>
<th>Solute radius rs (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. Experimental set-up
Retention experiments were carried out using a DSS Labstak® M20 unit as described by Van der Horst et al. [6]. The experiments were performed in batch circulation mode, recycling both the retentate and permeates to the feed tank. NP 030, Desal 5HL, NTR 7450, NF 270, and Desal 5DK, were placed in series from top to bottom in the module. Each membrane type had a surface area of 0.036 m². In the DSS Labstak® M20 unit used, the liquid feed was supplied to the top of the membrane stack, flowing downwards to the outlet at the bottom of the stack. Pressure indicators were placed at the inlet (feed side) and the outlet (retentate side) of the Labstak® M20. Temperature was controlled in the feed vessel of the unit. A Hydracel pump was used to pump the feed from the feed vessel to the Labstak® M20. A Julabo cooling unit was used to control the temperature of the feed to the membrane unit. The cooling liquid was sent to a cooler installed in the feed vessel.

3.4. Experimental conditions
Prior to the experiments the membranes were pre-compacted by increasing the pressure to 25 bar and maintaining circulation operation at this pressure, for 1 hour. During the short pre-compaction procedure a temperature of 20°C and a cross-flow rate of 600-650 L.h⁻¹ were used. This cross-flow rate results in a cross-flow velocity of 0.9-1.0 m.s⁻¹. Reverse osmosis permeate of tap water was used as feed.

The temperature and cross-flow rate during all further experiments were approximately 20°C and 600-650 L.h⁻¹, respectively. Since the combined permeate flow of the membranes was always less than 5% of the retentate flow, the cross-flow velocity was practically equal for all the membranes. The pressure-drop along the feed/retentate side
of the module was 1 bar from top to bottom during all experimental conditions. This pressure drop was taken into account in the calculation of trans-membrane pressures for each individual membrane. The position of the membrane in the module therefore did not have a significant effect on the results obtained.

Pure water fluxes for determination of the pure water permeances of individual membranes were measured using RO permeate of tap water at pressures between 6 bar to 24 bar, going from low to high pressures. Subsequently, an experiment with a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) sodium chloride solution at 10 bar pressure was performed. These experiments were done to characterize the membranes and to validate their performance against earlier reported results.

Retention experiments were carried out with pressures between 5 bar and 25 bar, increasing pressure in steps of 5 bar or 10 bar. After each pressure adjustment, at least 60 minutes were allowed for equilibrium to be reached. At each pressure, the temperature, the permeate flux, and the feed and permeates composition were determined. To investigate the influence of salt concentration on glucose retention and the effect of glucose concentration on NaCl retention, experiments were carried out using feeds containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose in combination with a NaCl concentration of either approximately 100 g.L\(^{-1}\), 185 g.L\(^{-1}\) or 275 g.L\(^{-1}\), and feeds consisting of a single salt solution containing 100 g.L\(^{-1}\), 190 g.L\(^{-1}\) or 260 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl without glucose. Furthermore, a series of experiments was performed using solutions of 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose in combination with either 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl, 175 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl or 260 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl.

3.5. Analyses
Glucose concentrations were analyzed by HPLC, using two different columns. The glucose analyses were done in several series. The characteristics of both columns are summarized in Table 3.

For analysis performed using column 1 (see Table 3), samples containing both glucose and NaCl samples were diluted, extracted with a silver ion solution containing cartridge and subsequently filtered to remove remaining AgCl from the sample, to avoid disturbance of the glucose analysis due to the presence of NaCl. For column number 2, only dilution of the samples was required. Several samples were analyzed using both columns. Both columns showed the same analytical results. The NaCl concentrations
were analyzed by titration by a Metrohm titroprocessor and conductivity measurements with a WTW conductometer. The NaCl analyses obtained from the titration procedure are based on the calculation of the quantity of NaCl by means of addition of AgNO₃ to the sample. The density for all samples was measured by weighing a known volume of sample.

Table 3: Characteristics of the HPLC columns used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>Alltech IOA-1000 Organic Acids</td>
<td>Ubondapak NH₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile phase</td>
<td>0.01 N H₂SO₄</td>
<td>H₂O/CAN 25/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection Volumes (µL)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection</td>
<td>RI</td>
<td>RI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of run (min)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow (ml.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Pure water permeances

The pure water permeances, determined on the basis of pure water flux measurements, have been determined to check whether the membranes samples used were representative samples. Results are shown in Table 4, where measured values are compared with permeance ranges reported in open literature. For all membranes evaluated in this study measured values fall within the range reported in open literature. Only for Desal 5HL a slightly higher value is obtained than reported in other literature sources so far. For NP030 a comparison with its predecessor N30F is made, since permeance data for NP030 could not be found. On the basis of the results NP030 appears to be superior to its predecessor. Despite these small differences, the membrane samples used in our study are considered to be sufficiently representative for the purpose of this study.
Table 4: Pure water permeance of nanofiltration membranes at room temperature as reported in open literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Measured pure water permeance (L.m⁻².h⁻¹.bar⁻¹)</th>
<th>Literature pure water permeance range (L.m⁻².h⁻¹.bar⁻¹)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.1 – 7.9</td>
<td>[3, 7, 12, 13, 31-38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5HL</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>4.3 – 9.0</td>
<td>[35, 37, 47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 30 F</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.9 – 3.8</td>
<td>[39, 51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR 7450</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.8 – 23</td>
<td>[12, 34, 39-41, 48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF270</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.6 – 16</td>
<td>[31, 37, 42-46]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Fluxes and retentions using a 1 g.L⁻¹ NaCl solution at 10 bar trans-membrane pressure

The NaCl retentions determined for Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL, NTR 7450 and NF 270 during processing of a 1 g.L⁻¹ NaCl solution at a trans-membrane pressure of 10 bar are significantly higher than that for NP 030 (see Fig. 1). It should be noted that for Desal 5DK the flux at 10 bar pressure processing the 1 g.L⁻¹ NaCl solution was slightly higher than the pure water flux at the same condition. A permeance increase, as observed in this study for Desal 5DK, is reported more often for nanofiltration membranes. For NFT-50 Nilsson et al. [29] showed that the permeance of this membrane increased after introduction of a solution containing NaCl. For Desal 5DL (a membrane type quite similar to Desal 5DK) higher membrane permeance was obtained after the pressure was increased to 25 bar as reported by Mänttäri et al. [30]. Since for Desal 5DK in the current study nanofiltration of the NaCl solution was performed immediately after the determination of the clean water flux at 25 bar and the clean water flux was determined by gradually increasing pressure, the higher flux for Desal 5DK during processing of the NaCl solution can therefore also be due to the fact that just prior to processing of this solution operation at 25 bar had occurred. Alternatively, first time operation with the NaCl solution immediately after processing of pure water could have led to the observed higher flux (similar to the effect of NaCl on the performance of NFT-50 as reported by Nilsson et al. [29]).
The NaCl retention found for Desal 5DK at 10 bar trans-membrane pressure and room temperature is in between retentions reported by Bowen and Welfoot [49] and Hagmeyer and Gimbel [3]. The NaCl retention for NTR 7450 seems to be reasonably well in line with results reported by Schaep et al. [41, 50], despite the significant difference found in flux between the NTR 7450 samples evaluated by Schaep et al. and in this study. The NaCl retention obtained in this study for NF 270 is similar to that reported by Boussu et al. [44]. Tang et al. [45] reported a slightly lower NaCl retention for NF 270, possibly as a consequence of the relatively low cross-flow velocity used during their test. For NP030 the NaCl retention was similar to the retention found for N30F (the predecessor of NP030) [51]. The characterization results using the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution confirm the earlier conclusion that the membrane samples used are sufficiently representative for the purpose of this study.

![Graph showing NaCl retention vs. flux for different membranes.]

**Figure 1:** Observed NaCl retention and flux for NP 030, Desal 5HL, NTR 7450, NF 270 and Desal 5DK processing a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution at a trans-membrane pressure of 10 bar and 20°C.

4.3. Membrane characterization using a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution

The glucose retentions obtained for Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL and NF 270 during processing of a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution are significantly higher than those for NTR 7450 and NP 030. At a flux of 50 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) glucose retentions of 93%, 96%, 97%, 67% and 42% have been obtained for Desal 5HL, Desal 5DK, NF 270, NP 030 and NTR 7450,
respectively (see Fig. 2). From this flux value on the glucose retention for Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL, NF 270 and NTR 7450 started to decrease with increasing flux. This indicates that concentration polarization clearly affected the glucose retention at these high fluxes, which is observed more frequently. For NP 030 and NTR 7450 at flux values below 30 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) the glucose retention was found to increase for an increasing flux.

![Figure 2: Observed glucose retention as function of flux for NP 030, Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL, NTR 7450 and NF 270 during processing of a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution at room temperature and operating pressures of 5 bar, 10 bar, 20 bar and 30 bar (symbols are measured values and lines are calculated values based on pore radius and effective thickness reported in Table 5).](image)

The mean pore radius \(r_p\) and the effective thickness \((\Delta x/A_k)\) of the individual membranes, based on the permeance and the maximum glucose retention, obtained for the individual membranes during processing of the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution, have been listed in Table 5. The approximate characterization method as proposed in [1, 41], taking into account concentration polarization, has been used, as mentioned and described in earlier in section 2. In the calculation a diffusion coefficient at infinite solution for glucose of \(D_c = 0.60 \times 10^{-9}\) m\(^2\).s\(^{-1}\) and a glucose radius of \(r_s = 0.36\) nm have been assumed [12]. The determination of the effective thickness of the membranes, using the Hagen-Poiseuille equation, has been based on obtained fluxes for the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose feed solution.
Table 5: Estimated pore radii and effective thicknesses ($r_p/\Delta x/A_k$) for NP030, Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL, NTR 7450 and NF270.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane type</th>
<th>$r_p$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\Delta x/A_k$ (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NP030</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5HL</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR 7450</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF 270</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NF 270, Desal 5HL and Desal 5DK have the smallest mean pore radii, while NTR 7450 and NP030 appear to be more open, as expected. The effective membrane thickness for NF 270 and Desal 5HL is similar, whereas that for Desal 5DK is considerably larger, reflecting the relatively low permeance of Desal 5DK as compared to NF 270 and Desal 5HL. The relatively high permeance of NTR 7450 as compared to NP030 is due to its lower effective thickness (see Table 5). Calculated retentions based on the obtained pore radii and effective membrane thicknesses describe the measured glucose retentions as function of flux well (see Fig. 2).

The mean pore radius determined for Desal 5DK (0.44 nm) is close to those reported by Bargeman et al. [12] (0.42 nm based on glucose retention and 0.44 nm based on glycerin retention), Bowen et al. [52] (0.43 nm based on glucose retention and 0.45 nm based on glycerol retention) and Straatsma et al. [7] (0.46 nm based on glucose retention). Mohammad et al. [38] have reported a slightly higher pore radius of 0.49 nm. The effective thickness for this membrane of 2.3µm (see Table 5) is slightly lower than thicknesses reported by Bargeman et al. [12] (2.59µm), Straatsma et al. [7] (3.13µm) and Mohammad et al. [38] (3.92µm).

NTR 7450, reported to have a MWCO of 0.6-0.8 kDa [41], is clearly more open than Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL and NF 270. The mean pore radius for this membrane (0.62 nm, see Table 5) is clearly lower than reported by Bargeman et al. ($r_p=1.34$ nm [12]) and Bowen and Mohammad ($r_p=1.41$ nm [34]). However, Schaep et al. [41] reported mean pore radii for NTR 7450 of 0.55 nm, 0.71 nm and 0.80 nm for solutions containing
galactose, maltose, and raffinose, respectively, while Timmer et al. reported a pore radius for NTR 7450 of 0.76 nm [53] and 0.82 nm [54] on the basis of Paselli MD6 (glucose oligomers with sugar radii between 0.5 and 1.2 nm) solution experiments. Wang et al. [55] reported a pore radius (0.70 nm) more in line with the radius obtained in this study and reported by Timmer et al. [53] and Schaep et al. [41] as well. The reason for the large spread in reported pore radii (and permeances as shown earlier) is not known. However, part of the explanation has recently been given by Shirley et al. [48], who showed that the dipole moment of the solute used and the concentration of the solutes with high dipole moment affects the obtained molecular weight cut-off and therefore the pore radius for NTR 7450.

4.4. The effect of NaCl concentration on NaCl retention for single solute solutions.

For single NaCl solutions the NaCl retention for all membranes tested reduced strongly by increasing the salt concentration from 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 100 g.L\(^{-1}\). A reduction of the NaCl retention with increased NaCl concentration is in line with observations reported for several nanofiltration membranes in several other publications (e.g. [12, 31, 49, 51]). The NaCl retention for processing feed solutions containing 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) increased with increasing flux, but remained below 20% (and mostly below 15%) for all membranes evaluated (results not shown). These results are in line with NaCl retentions reported by Tanninen et al. [31]. In their study a reduction in NaCl retention with increasing NaCl concentration was also observed, processing feeds with NaCl concentrations in the range between 0.04M (2.3 g.L\(^{-1}\)) and 0.88M (51 g.L\(^{-1}\)) at T=40°C. The retention decrease reported by Tanninen et al. was especially strong when NaCl concentrations were increased from 0 to 10-15 g.L\(^{-1}\), while a further increase of the NaCl concentration led to a more gradual decrease in NaCl retention as function of NaCl concentration in the feed. For Desal 5DK, NF 270 and NF 20 a NaCl retention below 20% was reported for feeds containing 0.88M (51 g.L\(^{-1}\)) NaCl. Despite the considerable differences in NaCl retention for the various membranes during processing of the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution, the differences in retention for processing a 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl solution were consequently limited in our study. This observation is in line with results presented by Tanninen et al. [31] as well. The NaCl retention for nanofiltration membranes is generally believed to be caused by Donnan exclusion, since ion radii for this salt are much smaller than the pore radii of commonly used nanofiltration membranes. This is indeed valid for low NaCl concentrations (see e.g. [7, 12]). However, the influence of this (Donnan) separation mechanism becomes less important for feed solutions with a high ionic strength, as
indicated by the reduction of NaCl retention at higher NaCl concentrations. This also justifies why zeta potential measurements have not been conducted, since these measurements will not provide any insights in the salt retention characteristics of nanofiltration membranes during processing of concentrated solutions.

Furthermore, for processing feeds containing 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl or more, there is only a minor effect of the NaCl concentration on NaCl retention (see Fig. 3), and retentions remain low, showing the low resistance of the evaluated nanofiltration membranes for NaCl transport at high NaCl concentrations. It should be noted that for solutions containing 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl or more at membrane fluxes lower than 20 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) the observed NaCl retention reduced when flux was reduced, irrespective of the salt concentration in the feed. At very low fluxes retentions became practically zero (results not shown). This behavior was observed for all nanofiltration membranes evaluated.

Figure 3: The effect of NaCl concentration in the feed on observed NaCl retention at a flux of 25 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) at room temperature for Desal 5HL, Desal 5DK, NF 270 and NTR 7450.

4.5. The effect of the NaCl concentration on glucose retention and membrane characteristics

The NaCl concentration in a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution strongly affects the glucose retention. This is illustrated for Desal 5DK and Desal 5HL in Figure 4, where the
glucose retention is shown as function of the membrane flux for a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution containing different NaCl concentrations. The observed reduction in glucose retention is not (only) due to the reduction in flux at higher NaCl concentrations, but is clearly caused by the NaCl concentration for all membranes evaluated. For operation at a membrane flux of 25 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) the glucose retention as function of the NaCl concentration shows a similar pattern for NF 270, Desal 5DK and Desal 5HL (see Fig. 5), although the reduction in glucose retention as a consequence of the increase in NaCl concentration in the feed seems to be slightly lower for Desal 5HL and slightly higher for NF 270. It should be noted that glucose retention results at 25 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) for NF 270 and Desal 5HL during processing of the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution without NaCl are extrapolated values on the basis of the calculated ratio of pore radius over glucose radius and the effective diameter. During operation at a flux of 25 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) the glucose retention for NF 270 dropped from approximately 98% at 0 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl in the feed solution to 69% for 275 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl in the feed, while for Desal 5HL and Desal 5DK the glucose retention dropped from 93% to 77%, and 94% to 73%, respectively. It should be noted that for operation at a flux of 25 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) obviously a higher trans-membrane pressure is required for processing of feeds containing more NaCl as a consequence of the higher viscosity of the permeate at higher NaCl concentration. For Desal 5DK a reduction of the glucose retention from 98% to 95% was reported earlier by Bargeman et al. [12] for processing solutions containing 1.5 g.kg\(^{-1}\) glucose and either no NaCl or 1.0 M (58.5 g.L\(^{-1}\)) NaCl at a flux of 75 kg.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\).

NTR 7450 showed a decrease in observed glucose retention from 58% to 39% over the NaCl concentration range (see Fig. 5), while for NP 030 only a limited effect of the NaCl concentration on the glucose retention was found. It should be noted that the glucose retention obtained for NTR 7450 was quite different from that found in [12], indicating strong variations in membrane quality for these membrane sheets as mentioned before.
Figure 4: Effect of flux and NaCl concentration on the observed glucose retention for Desal 5DK (top) and Desal 5HL (bottom) processing a 1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose solution containing different salt concentrations (symbols are measured values and lines are calculated values based on pore radius and effective thickness reported in Tables 5 and 6).
Figure 5: Effect of NaCl concentration in feed on the observed glucose retention operating at 25 L.m$^{-2}.h^{-1}$ processing a 1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose solution with different NaCl concentrations.

Table 6: Estimated effective pore radius and effective thickness for Desal 5HL, NF 270 and Desal 5DK as function of the NaCl concentration in the feed solution (assuming a constant glucose radius of 0.36 nm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed</th>
<th>1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose</th>
<th>1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose</th>
<th>1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose</th>
<th>1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>+ 100 g.L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>+ 185 g.L$^{-1}$</td>
<td>+ 275 g.L$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane characteristics</td>
<td>$r_p$ (nm)</td>
<td>$\Delta x/A_k$ (µm)</td>
<td>$r_p$ (nm)</td>
<td>$\Delta x/A_k$ (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5HL</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF 270</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effective pore radius and the effective thickness for the individual membranes calculated on the basis of the glucose retention obtained for the individual membranes during processing of each feed solution containing 1 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose and different salt concentrations are listed in Table 6. The procedure used for determination of the
effective pore radius and thickness has been explained earlier. During this procedure it was assuming that the viscosity of the permeate was similar to that for a NaCl solution of either 100 g.L\(^{-1}\), 185 g.L\(^{-1}\) or 275 g.L\(^{-1}\). This provides an indication of the effective thickness, sufficient for recognizing trends. Viscosity values for the sodium chloride containing permeates, as used during the modelling procedure, were obtained from the Handbook of Chemistry and Physics [56]. Information in this reference furthermore clearly indicates that the presence of glucose at low concentrations does not change the viscosity of the solution to a significant extent, justifying the earlier described assumption to neglect the effect of the presence of glucose on viscosity. The product flux was used instead of the pure water flux for calculation of the effective membrane thickness as it is believed that the obtained effective membrane thickness is affected by the liquid processed.

Required osmotic pressures were obtained from flux measurements as function of the operating pressure as described by equation (13) in the theoretical background section.

![Figure 6: Effect of NaCl feed concentration on the osmotic pressure difference between retentate and permeate \(\Delta \pi\) for processing a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution with different NaCl concentrations.](image)

Despite the high salt concentrations in the feed solution, osmotic pressure differences for the different nanofiltration membranes are below 3.5 bar (see Fig. 6). These relatively low osmotic pressure differences are due to the low sodium chloride
retentions obtained for these membranes during nanofiltration of concentrated sodium chloride solutions, and the low glucose concentration in the feed. An increase in sodium chloride concentration results in a lower osmotic pressure difference for most of the membranes, and especially for Desal 5HL and Desal 5DK. This reduction in osmotic pressure difference as function of the sodium chloride concentration in the feed is in line with the observed reduction in sodium chloride retention when the salt concentration in the feed is increased (see Fig. 3). The reduction in osmotic pressure difference for NTR 7450 and NF 270 with increasing sodium chloride concentration is less strong as expected on the basis of their retention behavior (see Fig. 3). Osmotic pressures for NP030 were negligible for all salt concentrations in the feed.

As reported by Bargeman et al. [12] for Desal 5DK processing solutions containing 0.15%w glucose and 10⁻³ – 1M (0.0585 – 58.5 g.L⁻¹) NaCl, an increase in effective pore radius is found when salt is present in the glucose solution (assuming constant glucose radius). For Desal 5DK the increase in effective pore radius found in the current study is relatively high as compared to that reported in [12], although it should be realized that the models used to obtain the pore radii were different, which may have affected the values obtained. Furthermore, the effective radius for this membrane seems to increase further even at high NaCl concentrations. For NF 270 and Desal 5HL the effective pore radius also increases slightly when the NaCl concentration in the feed is increased from 100 g.L⁻¹ via 185 g.L⁻¹ to 275 g.L⁻¹. For Desal 5HL and NF 270 the addition of 100 g.L⁻¹ NaCl to a 1 g.L⁻¹ glucose solution, starting from a pure glucose solution also results in a considerable increase of the effective pore radius (see Table 6). When salt is added to the 1 g.L⁻¹ glucose solution the effective thickness also increases substantially. As can be seen in Figure 4, the model results based on the fitted pore radius and effective thickness describe the obtained glucose retentions well.

Explanations for this observed phenomenon are in line with earlier publications. Bargeman et al. [12] proposed two hypotheses to explain the results obtained. The reduced glucose retention in the presence of salt could be caused by an increased effective average pore radius as a consequence of the presence of pore size distribution and relatively more liquid flowing through the larger pores, or a real increase in the actual radius of the pores present in the membranes due to higher repulsion forces caused by higher membrane surface charges when salts are present in the solution. Effects of the pore size distribution on retention for single component solutions
have been described by Bowen and Welfoot [49] as well. Due to the presence of a pore size distribution a smaller fraction of the permeate flows through the smaller pores when the flux reduces as shown by Bargeman et al. [12]. This means that the glucose retention will decrease, but it can also mean that part of the smaller pores do not contribute to the flow anymore or to a lesser extent. This can result in a smaller effective void fraction and can be an explanation for the higher effective thickness found. Furthermore, swelling of the membrane as a consequence of the presence of salt in the membrane pores can also occur. This increases the thickness of the membrane separation layer, causing an increase in pore size, but at the same time an increase in effective thickness [12]. Recently, spectroscopic ellipsometry has been used to look at swelling of the top layer of the membrane during nanofiltration of solvents [57]. Evaluation of nanofiltration membranes during processing of concentrated salt solutions using the same technique could potentially contribute to further clarifying which mechanisms are responsible for the observed effect of salts on retention of neutral components such as glucose. Bouchoux et al. [13] have added another possible explanation for the observed reduction in glucose retention at higher NaCl concentrations. This could be due to a smaller glucose Stokes radius caused by reduced glucose hydration as a consequence of the higher NaCl concentration.

In our calculations, the glucose radius was assumed to be independent of the salt concentration. However it is realized that this is not necessarily true as shown in [22], where both a reduction in pore radius and solute radius are shown to give the increase in neutral solute retention. In fact, a smaller value for the glucose radius at higher salt concentrations would have resulted in a smaller increase of the effective pore radius, but still, it is expected that an increase of the effective pore radius would still be required to explain the observed experimental results. Since, to the best of our knowledge, proper glucose solute radii are not available for solutions containing different salt concentrations some authors (e.g. [24]) use the ratio of the glucose radius over the pore radius to interpret the effect of sodium chloride concentration on glucose retention. However, this means that they either implicitly assume a specific value for the solute radius to be able to obtain a specific value for the pore radius $r_p$, which is needed in equation (12) or (13), or they solve the set of equations simultaneously without taking the Hagen-Poiseuille equation (12) or (13) into account. The latter approach will lead to multiple solutions for $r_p/r_s$ and the effective thickness. Obviously, there is a need for the determination of solute radii for glucose in salt solutions to further improve insights in
the effects of salt on nanofiltration membrane characteristics. However, this is outside of the scope of the current study.

Figure 7: The ratio of the glucose radius over mean pore radius ($\lambda$) as function of the NaCl concentration in the solution for NF 270 based on results from the current study and from Luo and Wan [24].

For NF 270 Luo and Wan [24] have presented results for the ratio of glucose ratio over pore radius obtained on the basis of extended Nernst-Plank modeling of experimental results processing solutions of 15 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose with NaCl concentrations as high as 3 mol.L$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 7). When comparing their results with the results obtained in the current study it is seen that both studies show a reduction of the ratio of glucose radius over mean pore radius, when the NaCl concentration in the solution is increased. For the current study this reduction for NF 270 is larger than obtained by Luo and Wan [24].

This is further illustrated in Figure 8 top, where the change in the ratio of the glucose radius over pore radius ($\Delta \lambda = \lambda_{\text{NaCl}=0} - \lambda_{\text{NaCl}=x}$) as a consequence of the addition of salt is shown as function of the sodium chloride concentration. For NF 270 the changes in this ratio ($\Delta \lambda$) obtained in the current study are twice as high as the $\Delta \lambda$ found by Luo and Wan [24], who determined $\Delta \lambda$ as function of the NaCl concentration for NF 270 and Desal 5DL. For the two Desal membranes evaluated in our study (Desal 5DK and Desal 5HL) the changes in the ratio as a consequence of the addition of NaCl are higher as
well, but only by approximately a factor 1.5. Earlier results obtained by Bargeman et al. [12] for Desal 5DK and NF gave slightly higher values for \( \Delta \lambda \) as well.

From their work it seems that Luo and Wan [24] did not use the Hagen-Poiseuille relation, but merely solved equations (9) and (11) by fitting the pore radius and the effective thickness. Since this would mean that they have solved a single equation by fitting two parameters it would mean that multiple solutions would be available. Since most other publications erroneously use the Hagen-Poiseuille equation based on the pure water flux (equation (12)) as other equation to obtain a single solution for the pore radius and the effective thickness, we have evaluated the effect of using this equation instead of equation (13) on the change in \( \Delta \lambda \) as well. The results are shown in Figure 8 (bottom). Although there are still some small deviations from the correlation proposed by Luo and Wan [24], the results now seem to be more in line with their correlation.
Figure 8: The change in the ratio of glucose radius over mean pore radius ($\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}$) as function of the NaCl concentration in the solution related to the correlation determined by Luo and Wan for NF 270 and Desal 5DL [24]. Results from the current study and experimental results reported by Bargeman et al. [12] for Desal 5DK and NF (top). Results from the current study using effective thicknesses and pore radii using equation (12) based on the clean water permeability and experimental results reported by Bargeman et al. [12] for Desal 5DK and NF (bottom).
4.6. Effect of glucose concentration on glucose retention.

The glucose concentration hardly affects its own retention for processing of solutions containing 175 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl or more, as long as operation at similar flux is compared. This is illustrated for Desal 5DK and NF 270 in Fig. 9. For these membranes the retention for processing a solution containing 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and either 175 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl or 275 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl can be predicted quite well using the effective thickness and the ratio of pore radius over glucose radius obtained for a solution containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and 275 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanofiltration membrane</th>
<th>Osmotic pressure difference (bar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>6 – 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5HL</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 30</td>
<td>0 – 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR 7450</td>
<td>2 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF 270</td>
<td>8 - 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When comparing the retentions at similar operating pressure a difference in glucose retention can be observed, since the flux for feed solutions containing considerable amounts (80 g.L\(^{-1}\)) of glucose will be lower than those for feed solutions containing limited amounts of glucose (1 g.L\(^{-1}\)), and the flux has a clear influence on the glucose retention below flux values of 8 L.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. 9). The flux reduction at higher glucose feed concentrations for similar operating pressures is attributed to a higher permeate viscosity and osmotic pressure difference between concentrate and permeate (see Table 7). The higher osmotic pressure difference than obtained for nanofiltration of the solutions containing NaCl and only 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose originates from the high glucose concentration in the feed and the substantial glucose retention. Furthermore, the membranes showing relatively high glucose retention during processing of the salt solutions containing 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose show relatively high osmotic pressure differences as well, as expected. For Desal 5HL the retention trend is similar to that for NF 270 (results not shown).
Figure 9: The effect of flux, glucose and NaCl concentration in the feed on observed glucose retention for Desal 5DK (top) and NF 270 (bottom) at room temperature (symbols are measured values and the line represents calculated values for 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and 275 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl based on mean pore radius and effective thickness reported in Table 6).

For NTR 7450 the glucose retention during processing of feeds containing 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose (and high NaCl concentrations) was substantially higher than for processing of
feeds containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and similar NaCl concentrations (see Fig. 10). This shows that the trends obtained for NF 270 and Desal 5DK as shown in Figure 9 are not evident. The observed higher glucose retention at higher glucose concentration for NTR 7450 is recently reported by Shirley et al. [48] as well, for solutions that contained glucose only. A unique explanation for the observed phenomenon cannot be given. However, hypothetically the following phenomena could explain the observations. For NTR 7450 the pore radius of the (swollen) membrane, as a consequence of the presence of the sodium chloride, is approximately twice the glucose radius. It may be that due to the high concentration of glucose, this leads to more interaction between the glucose molecules and therefore more friction inside the pores, leading to higher retention for glucose at higher glucose concentration. It is furthermore known that NTR 7450 is very sensitive to membrane compaction (as shown in Bargeman et al. [12]). Since the viscosity of the 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution is higher than for the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution this means that a higher pressure is needed to obtain similar flux. This means that for processing of the 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution, the membrane may be more compacted than for processing the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution. This could be reflected in higher glucose retentions at similar flux (as shown in Fig. 10). Obviously, more reasons can be thought of and additional study would be required to elucidate the reasons for this phenomenon.

![Figure 10](image-url)  
Figure 10: The effect of flux, glucose and NaCl concentration in the feed on observed glucose retention for NTR 7450 at room temperature.
For NP 030 (results not shown) a trend similar to that for Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL and NF 270 was found, albeit at much lower glucose retention level.

4.7. NaCl retention at high glucose feed concentration.

For nanofiltration of concentrated NaCl / glucose solutions, observed NaCl retentions based on NaCl concentrations analyzed in concentrate and permeate ($R = 1 - (c_{NaCl,p} / c_{NaCl,c})$) have been determined as function of the observed difference in glucose concentration between concentrate and permeate. Results are shown in Fig. 11 for nanofiltration of a 260 g.L$^{-1}$ NaCl / 80 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose solution (top left, a), and a 175 g.L$^{-1}$ NaCl / 80 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose solution (bottom left, b), respectively. For all nanofiltration membranes evaluated (NP 030, Desal 5HL, Desal 5DK, NTR 7450 and NF 270) the NaCl retention obtained appears to be close to zero (slightly positive for some membranes and slightly negative for others). The NaCl retentions are only marginally dependent on the difference in glucose concentration between concentrate and permeate (see Figs. 11a and 11b). At higher glucose concentration differences, slightly negative NaCl retentions are observed, as stated earlier. In first instance this seems to be surprising, however, this observation is caused by the presence of considerable amounts of glucose in the feed and the relatively high retention of glucose for the tighter membranes (resulting in considerably higher glucose concentrations in concentrate than in permeate). Since for cases with high differences in glucose concentration between concentrate and permeate, glucose retention and glucose concentration in feed are relatively high, permeates contain very limited amounts of glucose. Thus, the membrane characteristics and membrane resistance for NaCl transport are similar and permeates obtained resemble those obtained during processing of similar salt solutions without glucose. However, since the retentate is more diluted by glucose compared to the retentate for processing of NaCl solutions without glucose, the retentate NaCl concentration is lower when glucose is present in the retentate. Therefore, the NaCl retention, which was already close to zero for solutions not containing any glucose at all (especially for situations where the flux was below 20 L.m$^{-2}$.h$^{-1}$, which is found for the tight membranes during processing of 80 g.L$^{-1}$ glucose containing feed solutions as well), can now drop to negative values. To illustrate that indeed NaCl retentions for solutions without glucose and solutions containing glucose are similar when NaCl retentions are based on the NaCl and water fractions only (excluding glucose), the following alternative retentions are calculated:
R'_{NaCl, alt} = 1 - \left( \frac{x_{NaCl,p}}{x_{NaCl,c}} \right) \quad (14)

with: \( x_{NaCl,p} = \frac{w_{NaCl,p}}{w_{NaCl,p} + w_{H2O,p}} \) and \( x_{NaCl,c} = \frac{w_{NaCl,c}}{w_{NaCl,c} + w_{H2O,c}} \) \quad (15)

Indeed, slightly positive alternative NaCl retentions \( R'_{NaCl, alt} \), very close to zero are obtained (see Figs. 11c and 11d) for both feeds (260 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl / 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution and 175 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl / 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose solution), irrespective of the glucose concentration difference between concentrate and permeate. This observation confirms the occurrence of a very low membrane resistance for NaCl transport for all membranes evaluated, as can be expected for these high NaCl concentrations. Since the resistance for glucose transport through especially the tighter membranes is much higher than for NaCl transport (as reflected by the relatively high glucose retention), the NaCl in the concentrate is diluted to a larger extent by the presence of glucose than the NaCl in permeate as explained earlier. This causes a stronger drop in NaCl concentration in concentrate than in permeate and thus leads to the observed negative NaCl retention. The observed negative retention at higher concentrations is therefore not an artifact, but due to the presence of high amounts of glucose in the concentrate (relative to permeate) and an only marginally higher resistance during transport for NaCl compared to water as mentioned earlier.

As shown, the difference in glucose concentration between concentrate and permeate does not seem to affect the NaCl retention to a large extent. This is quite different from nanofiltration of solutions saturated in NaCl and Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), where higher differences in sulfate concentrations between concentrate and permeate resulted in more negative NaCl retentions [26]. Clearly, glucose and sodium sulfate show a quite different ‘salting-out’ behavior for sodium chloride during nanofiltration.

For solutions containing only 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and NaCl concentrations in excess of 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl, at low flux NaCl retentions of approximately zero were obtained as well. However, at higher flux the NaCl retention increased, as illustrated for Desal DK and NF 270 in Figure 12 (top) and (bottom), respectively. This trend is in line with the effect of flux on NaCl retention for NaCl solutions that do not contain any glucose.
Figure 11: Observed NaCl retention as function of the difference in glucose concentration between retentate and permeate at room temperature, pressures between 5 and 25 bar and neutral pH. Normal retention based on NaCl concentrations measured in retentate and concentrate and processing feed solutions containing 260 g.L⁻¹ sodium chloride and 80 g.L⁻¹ glucose (top left, a), and 175 g.L⁻¹ sodium chloride and 80 g.L⁻¹ glucose (bottom left, b). Alternative retentions based on NaCl in water only (excluding glucose, see equations 14 and 15) and processing feed solutions containing 260 g.L⁻¹ sodium chloride and 80 g.L⁻¹ glucose (top right, c), and 175 g.L⁻¹ sodium chloride and 80 g.L⁻¹ glucose (bottom right, d).
Figure 12: The effect of membrane flux on observed NaCl retention for Desal DK (top) and NF 270 (bottom) processing solutions containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose or 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and different NaCl concentrations.

Conclusions
In this study results from nanofiltration of aqueous solutions containing NaCl in concentrations between 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) and 300 g.L\(^{-1}\) and glucose concentrations as high as 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) are reported using NF 270, NTR 7450, NP030, Desal 5 DK and Desal 5 HL membranes. For single salt NaCl solutions with a NaCl concentration of 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) or higher, all membranes evaluated show NaCl retentions below 20%. The glucose retention for solutions containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose decreases strongly when the NaCl...
concentration is increased from 0 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 100 g.L\(^{-1}\), when compared at similar flux. A change in the pore radius at assumed constant glucose radius or alternatively a change in the ratio of glucose molecular radius over the membrane effective pore radius explains the obtained results. A further increase in NaCl concentration results in slight further reductions of the glucose retentions and consequently slightly higher mean pore radii. At very high glucose concentrations in the feed solution and NaCl concentrations in excess of 175 g.L\(^{-1}\), the NaCl retention is around 0 for all membranes evaluated, and only slightly affected by the difference in glucose concentration between retentate and permeate. The presence of glucose only has a minor salting-out effect on NaCl, leading to slightly negative NaCl retentions for high glucose concentrations.

For mixed glucose and NaCl solutions containing NaCl concentrations higher than 90 g.L\(^{-1}\), an increase in the glucose concentration from 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) does not affect the glucose retention at the same flux for NF 270, Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL and NP 030. However, at the same operating pressure an increase in glucose concentration from 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) results in significantly lower glucose retentions due to the lower flux at higher glucose concentrations. For solutions containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and NaCl concentrations of 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) and higher, the membrane flux has a significant influence on the NaCl retention. For all membranes evaluated mean pore radii and effective membrane thicknesses have been determined. Changes in the pore radii and effective diameters can be explained by hypothesis proposed in earlier studies. The obtained parameters can be used to facilitate the development of nanofiltration applications for desalination of concentrated glucose solutions in industry.

**Nomenclature**

\[ A_k \] porosity of the membrane
\[ c_i \] concentration of component i in the membrane \( \text{mol m}^{-3} \)
\[ C_{i,m} \] concentration of component i on the feed side of the membrane \( \text{mol m}^{-3} \)
\[ C_{i,p} \] concentration of component i on the permeate side of the membrane \( \text{mol m}^{-3} \)
\[ D_{\infty} \] diffusivity of the solute \( \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \)
\[ D_{i,\infty} \] bulk diffusivity of solute i \( \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \)
\( D_{dp} \) hindered diffusivity \( \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \)
\( G \) hydrodynamic enhanced lag coefficient
\( J \) volume flux \( \text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)
\( j_i \) flux of component \( i \) \( \text{mol} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)
\( J_{w/\Delta P} \) pure water permeance \( \text{m} \text{s}^{-1} \text{kPa}^{-1} \)
\( k \) mass transfer constant
\( K' \) hydrodynamic enhanced drag coefficient
\( K_{i,c} \) hindrance factor for convection
\( K_{i,d} \) hindrance factor for diffusion
\( Pe_m \) Peclet number
\( R'_{NaCl, alt} \) alternative NaCl retention based on \( x_{NaCl,c} \) and \( x_{NaCl,p} \)
\( R_{obs} \) observed rejection
\( r_p \) mean pore radius \( \text{m} \)
\( R_{real} \) real rejection
\( r_s \) solute Stokes radius \( \text{m} \)
\( x \) distance normal to membrane \( \text{m} \)
\( x_{NaCl,c} \) weight fraction NaCl in concentrate excluding glucose
\( x_{NaCl,p} \) weight fraction NaCl in permeate excluding glucose
\( w_{H_2O,c} \) weight water in concentrate \( \text{kg} \)
\( w_{H_2O,p} \) weight water in permeate \( \text{kg} \)
\( w_{NaCl,c} \) weight NaCl in concentrate \( \text{kg} \)
\( w_{NaCl,p} \) weight NaCl in permeate \( \text{kg} \)
\( \Delta x \) membrane thickness \( \text{m} \)
\( \lambda \) ratio of solute to pore radius
\( \mu \) viscosity of solution \( \text{kPa s} \)
\( v \) solute velocity \( \text{m} \text{s}^{-1} \)
\( \Phi \) steric partition term
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Chapter 4

Nanofiltration as energy-efficient solution for sulfate waste in vacuum salt production

This chapter has been published as:
Abstract
In vacuum salt production sulfate is an important impurity, but it is also used to remove other cationic impurities from the raw brine. Removal of excess sulfate is currently done by purging salt crystallizer mother liquor from the brine plant, or crystallizing sodium sulfate through evaporative or cooling crystallization. The use of nanofiltration for the production of brines supersaturated in sodium sulfate is a technically feasible and attractive alternative. Crystallization of sodium sulfate in the membrane modules can be avoided by the presence of a primary nucleation inhibitor in the feed to the nanofiltration unit. Sodium sulfate crystallization can be induced in a separate crystallizer outside of the membrane unit by addition of crystal seeds. NF270 is a suitable membrane for this application and is preferred over Desal DK, Desal DL, TS-80 and MPF-34. Chloride and bromide retentions are negative and they are a function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. Carbonate retentions are linearly dependent on sulfate retentions. Calcium retention for NF270 is in excess of 95% and proven to be stable during 1200 hours of continuous on-site pilot plant operation. The same applies for the potassium retention albeit at a lower level of 10%.
1. Introduction

During the production of vacuum salt (NaCl) in conventional evaporative crystallization, sulfate is an important impurity. In the process, the sulfate concentration in the crystalline NaCl produced remains very low and the sulfate concentration in the mother liquor increases to the point of saturation. The mother liquor thus produced can sometimes be recycled to the brine purification or is (partly) discharged into the sea. In other cases, environmental permits may require crystallization of solid sodium sulfate, either by a steam-powered heating/evaporation process or by cooling crystallization. Both processes consume a lot of energy. Development of less energy consuming alternatives is therefore desired from economical and ecological perspective.

This paper describes the development of a nanofiltration (NF) process for mother liquor saturated in NaCl and Na$_2$SO$_4$ that produces a permeate practically saturated in NaCl and lean in sulfate, and a retentate practically saturated in NaCl and supersaturated in Na$_2$SO$_4$. After the nanofiltration step, solid sodium sulfate can be crystallized from the retentate without additional energy input. The intended application of this nanofiltration operation in a salt plant is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Separation of sulfates from chlorides is a well known application of nanofiltration [1, 2] and is commercially applied for concentration of depleted brine in chlor/alkali production [3] and production of seawater with a low sulfate content used for injection in off-shore oil and gas production [3]. However, operation is always maintained at concentrations below sulfate saturation to avoid formation of crystals in the membrane modules. Although it is known that for sparingly soluble salts operation in excess of saturation can be maintained because of a significant metastable region, this is normally not the case for readily soluble salts like sodium sulfate [4]. In this work, we have successfully controlled sodium sulfate supersaturation far outside the normal metastable region, by applying a primary nucleation inhibitor. In the following sections we will focus on the development of the nanofiltration part of the process. Additional information can be found in a filed patent application [5].
Figure 1: Nanofiltration of NaCl crystallizer mother liquor containing a primary nucleation inhibitor for production of a concentrate supersaturated in sodium sulfate. Schematic representation of implementation of the nanofiltration technology in a salt plant.

2. Experimental

Proof of principle experiments were done on lab-scale using a DSS Labstak M20 unit. This unit contains flat sheet membranes with a surface area of 0.036 m² per membrane type. To come to a first membrane selection Desal DK and Desal DL (GE/Osmonics), NF270 (Dow FilmTec), TS-80 (TriSep) and MPF-34 (Koch) were evaluated using mother liquor from a commercial salt plant as feedstock. Experiments were initially performed in batch-wise operation purging the permeates from the unit, while recycling the concentrate to the feed vessel. The best performing membranes from these tests were used in feed and bleed mode feeding mother liquor to the installation and purging permeates and part of the concentrate from the unit, thus adjusting the concentration factor used in the installation. Lab-scale experiments were performed at trans-membrane pressures between 30 bar and 55 bar, temperatures between 30°C and 50°C, concentration factors up to CF=1.6 and a cross-flow rate of 600 l/h. The mother liquor contained 275-285 g/l NaCl and 550-600 mmol/l sulfate. For most experiments with Desal DK, Desal DL, NF270 and TS-80 the pH of the mother liquor was adjusted to pH<11.0. For nanofiltration with MPF-34 operation was maintained at pH=12.3. To avoid crystallization in the membrane installation at supersaturated sodium sulfate
conditions, 100 ppm of a primary nucleation inhibitor (sometimes called a crystal growth inhibitor or CGI) was added to the mother liquor prior to the nanofiltration process. Examples of crystal growth inhibitors can be found in [5]. Addition is not required if sufficient amounts of these primary nucleation inhibitors are present in the mother liquor.

Following lab-scale experiments, on-site pilot plant experiments at a commercial salt production plant were performed. During these on-site experiments at least 100 ppm CGI was present in the feed to membranes as well. The nanofiltration pilot unit (see Fig. 2) was operated in continuous feed and bleed mode using mother liquor brine from the salt plant as feed. Desal 5DK and NF270 modules were placed in separate 4 inch pressure housings operated in parallel for further membrane selection. Both pressure housings had their own concentrate recirculation loop and feed, permeate and concentrate lines. In this part of the trial 4 different membrane modules per type were evaluated (module sets 1 to 4) in different tests. Pressures, temperatures and concentration factors were varied between 29-37 bar, 35-40°C and 1.2-1.5 and a cross-flow of around 3.0 m³/h was maintained over both modules. The pH of the mother liquor supplied to the nanofiltration unit ranged between 10.7 and 11.0, except for the occurrence of several excursions up to pH=12.4 for operation with module sets 1-3.

Figure 2: Pilot installation for on-site nanofiltration pilot trials.
In the second part of this on-site pilot trial, NF270 was tested in two-stage operation mode (with two pressure housings, each containing one module, in series), with each stage having its own recirculation loop and concentration factor. In total 5 NF270 modules per stage were evaluated (module sets 5 to 9) in different experiments. During two-stage operation, the effect of different operating parameters was evaluated and input for the design of a commercial installation and an economical evaluation was gathered. During this long-term two-stage mode testing trans-membrane pressures in the range of 29-37 bar were used with temperatures ranging between 32°C and 59°C and concentration factors between 1.2 and 1.4. Cross-flow rates to the membrane module inlets were 3.0-3.4 m³/h. The pH of the mother liquor sent to the nanofiltration unit ranged between 9.5-10.7.

3. Results and discussion
During batch-wise lab-scale trials NF270 and Desal DK showed better performance than the other membranes evaluated. Especially the sulfate retention of these membranes was high (almost 98%) and met the sulfate retention target of 90%, unlike the other membranes (see Table 1).

Table 1: Sulfate retention of nanofiltration membranes during processing of mother liquor (pH=12.3) saturated in sodium chloride and sodium sulfate at CF=1.0, T=30°C and P=35 bar for MPF-34 and CF=1.0, T=32°C and P=54 bar for the other membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane type</th>
<th>Sulfate retention (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desal DK</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal DL</td>
<td>62-78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF270</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-80</td>
<td>73-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPF-34</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lab-scale experiments in continuous feed and bleed mode with NF270 and Desal DK at a concentration factor of CF=1.5 were maintained during 6 hours. During that period a concentrate supersaturated in sodium sulfate (see Fig. 3) was produced with concentrations as high as 800 mmol/l in combination with sodium chloride.
concentrations of 282 g/l. Crystallization of sodium sulfate or sodium chloride in the membrane module did not occur as a consequence of the presence of the CGI. Addition of sodium sulfate seeds to the concentrate in a separate crystallizer resulted in crystallization of sodium sulfate as indicated by a reduction in sulfate concentration from 800 mmol/l to below 600 mmol/l. The stable flux for NF270 (7 kg/m².h at 35 bar trans-membrane pressure) was higher than for Desal DK (2.5 kg/m².h). Operation at a trans-membrane pressure of 50 bar resulted in considerably higher fluxes of 16.6 kg/m².h and 9.8 kg/m².h for NF270 and Desal DK, respectively. This steep increase in flux is caused by the high osmotic pressure differences between concentrate and permeate, counteracting the trans-membrane pressure.

Figure 3: NaCl, Na₂SO₄ and H₂O composition (%w) of feed, permeate and concentrate.
Figure 4: Sulfate retention as function of operation time for NF270 and Desal DK (set 4) during processing of mother liquor at CF=1.2-1.4, a pressure of 32 bar until 315 h and 37 bar after 315 h, a temperature between 35°C and 40°C, and a pH of the feed between 10.7 and 11.0.

Figure 5: Carbonate retention for Desal DK and NF270 (sets 1-4) as function of the sulfate retention during processing of salt crystallizer mother liquor at pH=10.7 – 11.0.
During continuous on-site pilot trials processing mother liquor with a pH between 10.7 and 11.0 NF270 showed considerably better sulfate retention stability than Desal DK (see Fig. 4). For Desal DK the retention dropped from 98% to below 60% within 500 hours. For NF270 retention dropped from 97% to values in excess of 90% in the same period. Furthermore, with other membrane sets pH excursions occurred occasionally. This affected the performance of Desal DK to a larger extent. On the basis of this pilot scale membrane selection NF270 is considered to be more suitable for this application than Desal DK, in line with lab-scale results. NF270 not only showed a better pH stability and better sulfate retention stability than Desal DK, but a higher flux as well, while the initial sulfate retentions were about the same.

The carbonate (analyzed as a combination of carbonate and bi-carbonate) retention for Desal DK and NF270 is linearly dependent on the sulfate retention (see Fig. 5). This is not surprising since at the pH between 10.7 and 11.0 the fraction of bi-carbonate is limited, since carbonate has a pKa of 10.3 [6]. This implies that when the membrane performance for sulfate retention deteriorates carbonate retention reduces as well.

Chloride (see Fig. 6) and bromide (not shown) retentions for NF270 and Desal DK were negative, meaning that the concentrations of chloride and bromide in the permeate were higher than those in the retentate. These retentions can be described as a function of the difference in sulfate concentrations in concentrate and permeate (as illustrated in Fig. 6 for the chloride retention). For nanofiltration of brines practically saturated in NaCl and undersaturated in sodium sulfate using an unspecified membrane, Samhaber and Schwaiger [7] have related chloride retentions to the sulfate concentration in the feed. However, for operation with a constant sulfate concentration in the feed in this study, clear increases in chloride retention were found when the transmission of sulfate through the membrane increased. A relation between chloride (and bromide) retention with the difference in sulfate concentration in concentrate and permeate therefore gives a better description.

It is remarkable that the relations found for carbonate and chloride retention are similar for Desal DK and NF270. Furthermore, based on extrapolation of the obtained relation for NF270 and Desal DK, a chloride retention of 3% would be expected for a brine saturated in NaCl with equal sulfate concentrations in the concentrate and permeate or without sulfate at all. This seems to be in line with extrapolated retention results for
NF270 and Desal DK as reported by Tanninen et al. [8] and our own (yet unpublished) results for nanofiltration of single salt solutions containing around 300 g/l NaCl. Conversion of the results published by Samhaber and Schwaiger [7] for a brine containing 180 g/l chloride (297 g/l NaCl), assuming concentration factors close to $CF=1$ and taking sulfate retentions at least equal to 98% as reported in that study, leads to chloride retentions in line with the results obtained in this study (see Fig. 7).

![Figure 6: Chloride retention as function of the difference in sulfate concentration in concentrate and permeate during nanofiltration of mother liquor saturated in sodium chloride and sodium sulfate.](image-url)
Figure 7: Chloride retentions obtained by Samhaber and Schwaiger [7] as function of the difference in sulfate concentration in concentrate and permeate for an unspecified nanofiltration membrane processing brines containing 180 g/l chloride. Comparison with the relation obtained for NF270 and Desal DK.

In two stage operation with NF270 modules (set 6) in both stages at an overall concentration factor of CF=1.3-1.4, stable fluxes of 11 kg/m².h and 6 kg/m².h were obtained at 33.5 bar and 32 bar for stage 1 and stage 2, respectively, and temperatures around 40°C (see Fig. 8). Increasing the pressures to 37 bar and 35 bar in stage 1 and 2, resulted in stable fluxes of 14 kg/m².h and 7.5 kg/m².h, respectively. These relatively steep increases in flux are caused by the high difference in osmotic pressure between retentate and permeate. High initial retentions for sulfate were obtained (97% and 95% in stage 1 and 2, respectively). Although the sulfate retention reduced during the trial (see Fig. 9), it remained in excess of 90%. Several reasons for the reduction of the sulfate retention were identified. Based on these insights the sulfate retention drop with time will be less severe in commercial operation.
Figure 8: Flux for NF270 (module set 6) during two stage operation processing mother liquor at an overall concentration factor of CF=1.3-1.4 and temperatures around 40°C producing a concentrate supersaturated in sodium sulfate.

Figure 9: Sulfate retention for NF270 (module set 6) during two stage operation processing mother liquor at an overall concentration factor of CF=1.3-1.4 and temperatures around 40°C producing a concentrate supersaturated in sodium sulfate.
Retentions for calcium, potassium, chloride, and bromide were approximately 95%, 10%, -15%, and -25% (see Fig. 10) and quite stable during the entire operating period. Differences in retentions in stage 1 and 2 were limited.

![Figure 10: Calcium, potassium, chloride, and bromide retention for NF270 (set 6) during two stage operation processing mother liquor at an overall concentration factor of CF=1.3-1.4 producing concentrate supersaturated in sodium sulfate.](image)

The trials have shown that concentration of mother liquor from a salt production plant with nanofiltration to produce a concentrate (retentate) supersaturated in Na$_2$SO$_4$ and practically saturated in NaCl is technically and economically feasible. Operation was maintained successfully during 1.5 months of continuous on-site operation at a concentration factor of CF=1.3-1.4, processing mother liquor with a pH=10. Sulfate concentrations could thus be increased from 0.55 M in the mother liquor to 0.80 M in the retentate without the occurrence of crystallization in the membrane modules. After that, sodium sulfate was crystallized successfully from the retentate in a separate pilot crystallizer. Several nanofiltration membranes are considered to be suitable for the application, but based on the experiments NF270 is considered to be the most suitable.
Conclusions
The use of nanofiltration for the production of brines supersaturated in sodium sulfate is a technically feasible and attractive alternative. Crystallization of sodium sulfate in the membrane modules can be avoided by the presence of a primary nucleation inhibitor in the feed to the nanofiltration unit. Sodium sulfate crystallization can be induced in a separate crystallizer outside of the membrane unit by addition of crystal seeds. NF270 is a suitable membrane for this application and is preferred over Desal DK, Desal DL, TS-80 and MPF-34. Chloride and bromide retentions are negative and they are a function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. Carbonate retentions are linearly dependent on sulfate retentions. Calcium retention for NF270 is in excess of 95% and proven to be stable during 1200 hours of continuous on-site pilot plant operation. The same applies for the potassium retention albeit at a lower level of 10%.
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Chapter 5

The effect of membrane characteristics on nanofiltration membrane performance during processing of practically saturated salt solutions

This chapter has been published as:
Abstract
Information on the effect of membrane characteristics on the performance of nanofiltration membranes during processing of concentrated sodium chloride solutions is scarce. This hampers membrane selection for these applications. In this study nanofiltration membranes, ranging from very tight to very open, have been evaluated for processing of sodium chloride solutions obtained from solution mining. Sulfate retention of these membranes is inversely related to their pore radius obtained from membrane characterization. The chloride retention during nanofiltration of practically saturated salt solutions is a function of the sulfate concentration difference between concentrate and permeate. The observed relation is explained by the small sodium chloride chemical potential difference between concentrate and permeate, indicating low membrane resistance for sodium chloride transport. This is concluded from the sodium chloride concentration product ratios of permeate over concentrate, which were found to be between 0.9 and 1 for all membranes evaluated. This ratio is proportionally related to the membrane pore radius obtained from characterization. Based on the results the sulfate and chloride retention of nanofiltration membranes for processing of saturated sodium chloride solutions can now be obtained from a simple characterization experiment.
1. Introduction

Nanofiltration is a pressure driven membrane technology with membrane characteristics in between those for reverse osmosis and ultrafiltration [1]. This technology is commonly applied in amongst others the water production industry, in the food industry and the chemical industry [1]. One of the applications for which nanofiltration membranes are suitable is the separation of di-valent from mono-valent anions in an aqueous solution. One of the best known examples is the separation of sulfates from chlorides. Other technologies, e.g. liquid-liquid extraction, are being explored for the separation of sulfates from chlorides as well [2]. Yet, nanofiltration is (still) the preferred option for this application. Usually studies that report about this application deal with solutions containing low sulfate and chloride concentrations studied for modeling purposes. However, industrial applications often deal with the separation of more concentrated solutions, such as sea water (for off-shore applications) [3], depleted brines in the chlor/alkali industry (for more efficient sulfate purging) [3], practically saturated brines that are produced in the salt industry [4, 5] (e.g. for production of sodium sulfate crystals or processing of other salt brine streams) and, as recently reported, for treating reverse osmosis concentrates obtained from processing of sea water [6, 7].

For these types of applications only limited information is available in open literature and there is a lack of fundamental understanding of the performance of nanofiltration membranes for processing of these concentrated salt solutions. Bargeman et al. [5] have made a first effort to relate the chloride retention obtained during processing of salt plant mother liquor to the difference in sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate for NF-270 and Desal 5DK nanofiltration membranes. Although they have obtained a nice relation between the two, fundamental understanding of this relation has not been given. Furthermore, a broad range of nanofiltration membranes with molecular weight cut-offs (MWCO) ranging from 200 Da to 1000 Da are commercially available. It is unclear whether this relation obtained for NF-270 and Desal 5DK applies to other nanofiltration membranes as well. Because of this lack of information selection of a proper membrane for the required application is not straightforward. The objective of this work is to improve the fundamental understanding of processing of nearly saturated sodium chloride solutions with nanofiltration membranes and to facilitate the selection of these membranes for practical applications. Therefore a range of nanofiltration membranes based on different polymers and with different MWCO (as
reflected by differences in average pore radii) have been evaluated. The membranes have been characterized with pure water and model solutions containing a single neutral solute to obtain pore radius and effective membrane thickness, and model solutions containing a single salt. The retention characteristics of the membranes obtained during single salt solutions experiments have been related to the obtained pore radii of the membranes. Subsequently, sulfate retentions obtained during nanofiltration of practically saturated salt solutions originating from solution mining have been related to the pore radii from the characterization experiments, and chloride retentions have been related to the difference in sulfate concentrations between retentate and permeate for all evaluated membranes. Obtained results have furthermore been explained by thermodynamic considerations.

2. Theoretical considerations

2.1. Determination of the pore radius and effective thickness during membrane characterization

The extended Nernst-Planck description is most often used to interpret the flux and retention results obtained from nanofiltration experiments. Therefore this description was used in the current study as well to obtain membrane pore radii and effective thicknesses from characterization experiments using single solute glycerol, glucose or sucrose solutions. The mean pore radius and effective thickness reported in this study were obtained using the equations described in [8, 9] and below.

For uncharged solutes such as glycerol, glucose or sucrose, the transport through the membrane is governed purely by diffusive and convective flows. Consequently, the Nernst-Planck equation yields:

\[ j_i = -D_{i,p} \frac{dc_i}{dx} + K_{i,c} c_i \nu \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

\[ D_{i,p} = K_{i,d} D_{i,d} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

\[ K_{i,d} \text{ and } K_{i,c}, \text{ account for the hindrance due to diffusion and convection respectively, and can be related to the hydrodynamic coefficients } K^{-1} \text{ (enhanced drag) and } G \text{ (lag coefficient) according to the following equations [8]:} \]
\begin{align*}
K^{-1}(\lambda, 0) &= 1.0 - 2.3\lambda + 1.154\lambda^2 + 0.224\lambda^3 \\
G(\lambda, 0) &= 1.0 + 0.054\lambda - 0.988\lambda^2 + 0.441\lambda^3
\end{align*}

(3) (4)

where

\[ \lambda = \frac{r_s}{r_p} \]

(5)

In equation (5) \( r_s \) represents the solute radius and \( r_p \) the mean pore radius of the membrane.

In our approach it is assumed that the solute velocity was fully developed [8] and the solute velocity had a parabolic profile of the Hagen-Poiseuille type. Thus the hindrance factors become [8]:

\begin{align*}
K_{i,d} &= K^{-1}(\lambda, 0) \\
K_{i,c} &= (2 - \Phi) G(\lambda, 0)
\end{align*}

(6) (7)

where

\[ \Phi = (1 - \lambda)^2 \]

(8)

Equation (1) can be expressed in terms of real rejection of the solute by the membrane as:

\[ R_{real} = \frac{C_{i,m} - C_{i,p}}{C_{i,m}} = 1 - \frac{K_{i,d} \Phi}{1 - \exp(-Pe_m)[1 - \Phi K_{i,c}]} \]

(9)

where the Peclet number \( Pe_m \) is defined as:

\[ Pe_m = \frac{K_{i,c} J \Delta x}{K_{i,d} D_{i,\infty} A_k} \]

(10)

where \( J \) represents the permeate flux in \( \text{m}^3\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \), \( D_{i,\infty} \) is the bulk diffusivity in \( \text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1} \) and \( \Delta x/A_k \) is the effective membrane thickness (m).
To properly calculate the pore radius of the different membranes from single neutral solute solution experiments concentration polarization at the membrane surface was taken into account as well. The relation between the real retention and the observed retention can be obtained from [8, 9]:

\[
\ln\left(\frac{1 - R_{\text{obs}}}{R_{\text{obs}}}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{1 - R_{\text{real}}}{R_{\text{real}}}\right) + Jk
\]

(11)

where the observed retention (in the remainder of this work referred to as retention) is defined as:

\[
R_{\text{obs}} = 1 - \frac{C_p}{C_c}
\]

(12)

Since cross-flow operation was used in this study, the correlation for the mass transfer coefficient \( k \) as proposed in [8] for dead end stirred cell operation could not be used. Consequently, we assumed the mass transfer coefficient \( k \) to be equal to the ratio of the diffusion coefficient at infinite dilution \( D_\infty \) over the film thickness \( \delta \). On the basis of earlier studies in the DSS labstak at similar flow-rate [10] a constant value for the film thickness of \( \delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-5} \) m has been selected.

Furthermore, in the approach described in [8, 9], the effective membrane thickness \( \Delta x/A_k \) was obtained from the Hagen-Poiseuille equation using the pure water flux of the membrane:

\[
\frac{J_w}{\Delta P} = \frac{r_p^2}{8\eta(\Delta x/A_k)}
\]

(13)

where \( J_w/\Delta P \) is the pure water permeance in (m.s\(^{-1}\).kPa\(^{-1}\)) and \( \eta \) is the dynamic viscosity of the solution in (kPa.s).
2.2. Thermodynamic considerations for sodium chloride salting-out by sodium sulfate during nanofiltration operation processing nearly saturated salt solution.

In earlier work [5] the retention of NF-270 and Desal 5DK for chloride during nanofiltration of saturated mother liquor brines (with very high sulfate concentrations in the solution) was shown to be negative and a function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. The observed effect is ascribed to the salting-out effect on (sodium) chloride due to the presence of (sodium) sulfate. Salting-out is a well-known phenomenon in extraction and crystallization processes, where the solubility of a specific salt is reduced e.g. by the addition of a common-ion salt (Le Chatelier’s principle), leading to crystallization. Similarly, during nanofiltration of salt solutions practically saturated in sodium chloride, the concentration of sodium sulfate in the retentate leads to salting-out of sodium chloride due to common ion effects. This salting-out effect can either lead to sodium chloride crystallization in the retentate, or to sodium chloride passage through the semi-permeable nanofiltration membrane. Since the retention of the nanofiltration membranes at high NaCl concentrations is close to 0 for single salt solutions, and long term nanofiltration operation for salt solutions saturated in both sodium chloride and sodium sulfate is possible [5], which would not be expected for situations where crystals are produced in the retentate, it is assumed that the primary salting-out effect is the transport through the nanofiltration membrane. Salting-out of the sodium chloride for practically saturated sodium chloride solutions is a thermodynamic phenomenon. In nanofiltration processes per definition the chemical potential of the permeate is lower than the chemical potential of the concentrate due to transport related resistances. This means that the following equation applies for ion i:

\[ \mu_i(x, P, T)_c - \mu_i(x, P, T)_p = \Delta \mu_i, \text{membr.} \]  

(14)

(chemical potential of ion i in concentrate - chemical potential of ion i in permeate = resistance membrane for transport of ion i)

In this equation the transport resistance for ion i through the membrane (including resistances due to concentration polarization) is equal to the difference between the chemical potential of ion i in the retentate (concentrate) and the chemical potential of ion i in the permeate. The chemical potential drop is a measure of the non-equilibrium over the membrane. It is an expression of the driving force over the membrane that
drives the transport through the membrane. Note that since the equation is a definition of the membrane transport resistance in terms of chemical potential, it is also exact, meaning that it is without any approximations.

The equation resembles other equations, like the Maxwell-Stefan equation, which in fact provides an approximation for the membrane transport resistance as function of process variables such as concentrations and velocities and physical parameters such as the friction coefficient. The Maxwell-Stefan modelling approach specifies the membrane resistance as the friction between the individual solutes and between the solutes and the membrane, as illustrated in equation (15) for a situation when an electrical potential difference would be present [11, 12]:

\[
\lambda_i(x, P, T)_{c} + z_i F \chi_c - \mu_i(x, P, T)_{p} - z_i F \chi_p = \Sigma_j (x_{ij} (\nu_j - \nu_l)) \Delta l
\]  

(15)

Since in our nanofiltration experiments an external electrical potential difference over the membrane is not applied and electro-neutrality conditions apply to the concentrate and permeate, the electrical potential difference driving force for the overall process \((\chi_c - \chi_p)\) as described in equation (15) can be neglected, resulting in equation (16).

\[
\mu_i(x, P, T)_{c} - \mu_i(x, P, T)_{p} = \Sigma_j (x_{ij} (\nu_j - \nu_l)) \Delta l
\]  

(16)

The right hand side of equation (16) is an expression for the membrane resistance as defined in equation (14). In fact, it provides an approximation for the observed drop in chemical potential.

Neglecting the difference in chemical potential caused by the transport of \(\text{Na}^+\) and \(\text{Cl}^-\) through the membrane, thus assuming that the membrane resistance for transport of these ions is relatively small and even negligible, equations (14) and (16) can be simplified to (assuming that the concentrate and retentate are incompressible fluids):

\[
\mu_i(x, P, T)_{c} - \mu_i(x, P, T)_{p} = \Delta \mu_i, \text{membr.} \sim 0 \quad \text{for } i = \text{Na}^+ \text{ and Cl}^-
\]  

(17)

or

\[
\mu_i(x, P, T)_{c} + V_{m,i} (P_c - P_o) - \mu_i(x, P, T)_{p} + V_{m,i} (P_p - P_o) = \Delta \mu_i, \text{membr.} \sim 0 \quad \text{for } i = \text{Na}^+ \text{ and Cl}^-
\]  

(18)
This furthermore means that for the sum of the chemical potentials for sodium and chloride the following equation applies:

\[ \sum_i \mu_i(x, P_o, T)_c = \sum_i \mu_i(x, P_o, T)_p - \sum_i V_m,i (P_c - P_p) \quad \text{for } i = \text{Na}^+ \text{ and Cl}^- \]  

(19)

Since concentrate and permeate temperatures are similar \((T_c = T_p = T)\) and the transmembrane pressure \(\Delta P = P_c - P_p\), this furthermore means that:

\[ \mu^*_{\text{NaCl}} + RT \ln (a_{\text{Na}^+})_c + RT \ln (a_{\text{Cl}^-})_c = \mu^*_{\text{NaCl}} + RT \ln (a_{\text{Na}^+})_p + RT \ln (a_{\text{Cl}^-})_p - V_{m,\text{NaCl}} \Delta P \]

with \(\mu^*_{\text{NaCl}} = \sum_i \mu_i(x_{\text{ref}}, P_o, T)^* \) and \(V_{m,\text{NaCl}} = \sum_i V_{m,i} \) for \(i = \text{Na}^+ \text{ and Cl}^-\).  

(20)

This equation can be simplified to:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{(a_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot a_{\text{Cl}^-})_c}{(a_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot a_{\text{Cl}^-})_p} \right) = V_{m,\text{NaCl}} \left( -\frac{\Delta P}{R \ T} \right) \]  

(21)

The apparent molar volume of an electrolyte (such as \(V_{m,\text{NaCl}}\)) is described according to Masson’s rule [13] and obtained from the density of the NaCl solutions. Since the sodium chloride concentration used in our experiments was below 5.6 M and the pressure difference was (mostly substantially) below \(35 \times 10^5 \) Pa in all cases, the value for \(V_{m,\text{NaCl}} (P_p - P_c) / (R \ T)\) will be between \(-3.0 \times 10^2\) and 0. Consequently, the exponent of this value will be between 0.97 and 1.0. This means that the effect of the pressure difference between the concentrate and permeate side on the chemical potential is relatively small. Thus, assuming that the contribution of the pressure term can be neglected, equation (21) can be simplified to:

\[ \ln \left( (a_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot a_{\text{Cl}^-})_c \right) = \ln \left( (a_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot a_{\text{Cl}^-})_p \right) \]  

(22)

or

\[ (\gamma_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot x_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Cl}^-} \cdot x_{\text{Cl}^-})_c = (\gamma_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot x_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Cl}^-} \cdot x_{\text{Cl}^-})_p \]  

(23)
Modification of equation (23) from ion mole fractions to ion concentrations can be done by replacing the ion mole fraction by the product of the ion molar concentration and the molar volume of the solution, leading to:

\[
(V_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot [\text{Na}^+] \cdot V_m \cdot | \text{Cl}^- \cdot [\text{Cl}^-] \cdot V_m)_c = (V_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot [\text{Na}^+] \cdot V_m \cdot | \text{Cl}^- \cdot [\text{Cl}^-] \cdot V_m)_p
\]  

(24)

Assuming that the activity coefficients in permeate and concentrate are similar and the molar volumes of permeate and concentrate are similar as well, this is furthermore simplified to:

\[
([\text{Na}^+]_p[\text{Cl}^-]_p) / ([\text{Na}^+]_c[\text{Cl}^-]_c) = \beta_{\text{NaCl}} \approx 1
\]  

(25)

Thus, for sodium chloride salting-out through the nanofiltration membrane by sodium sulfate, a similar chemical potential for sodium chloride in permeate and concentrate should be reflected by a ratio of the sodium chloride concentration product in the permeate over that in the concentrate \([([\text{Na}^+]_p[\text{Cl}^-]_p) / ([\text{Na}^+]_c[\text{Cl}^-]_c) \) or \(\beta_{\text{NaCl}} \) close to 1. It should be noted that as a consequence of the presence of sulfate, the sodium concentration and chloride concentration in the concentrate and permeate are not necessarily the same for electro-neutrality reasons.

Equation (25) holds when the activity coefficients for NaCl in the concentrate and the permeate are similar, the molar volumes of permeate and concentrate are similar and the effect of the pressure difference and the membrane resistance (including resistance effects due to concentration polarization) on the chemical potential is relatively small as stated earlier. A deviation of the concentration product ratio \(\beta_{\text{NaCl}} \) from 1 is caused by the small resistance of the membrane for NaCl transport, and the deviation of the ratio of the molar volumes of permeate and concentrate and of the ratio of activity coefficients from 1 (see equations (14) to (25)). This activity coefficient ratio effect can be caused by the presence of a salting-out component, such as sodium sulfate, in the nearly saturated NaCl solution. This presence will lead to deviations of the ratio of activity coefficients \( (\gamma_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Cl}^-})_c / (\gamma_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Cl}^-})_p \) and the ratio of the molar volumes of the concentrate and permeate solutions \((V_m)_c/V_m)_p \) from 1, especially when the salting-out agent is retained well by the nanofiltration membrane and is present at high concentration.
The concentration product ratio $\beta_{\text{NaCl}}$ will be used in this manuscript to explain the experimental observations for nanofiltration of saturated salt solutions.

3. Experimental

3.1. Evaluated membranes

Nanofiltration lab-scale and module-scale experiments have been conducted with NF-270 and NF-90 (both Dow / Filmtec), Desal 5DK (GE/Osmotics), NTR-7410, NTR-7450, NTR-7470 and NTR-7250 (all Nitto Denko), and TS-80, TS-82, and XN-45 (all TriSep). Characteristics of these membranes as provided by the membrane supplier can be found in Table 1.

Table 1: Membrane characteristics information provided by membrane suppliers for commercially available NF membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane type</th>
<th>pH range</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (ºC)</th>
<th>$P_{\text{max}}$ (bar)</th>
<th>Permeance ($\text{L.m}^{-2}.\text{h}^{-1}.\text{bar}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Retention (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF-270</td>
<td>3 - 10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>$11^a - 13^a$</td>
<td>&gt;97 for 2 g/l MgSO$_4$ at 5 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40-60 for 0.5 g/l CaCl$_2$ at 5 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-90</td>
<td>3 - 10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6.4 $^b$</td>
<td>&gt;97 for 2 g/l MgSO$_4$ at 5 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85-95 for NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>2 - 11</td>
<td>90 $^c$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50 for 2 g/l NaCl at 10 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7410</td>
<td>2 - 11</td>
<td>90 $^c$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10 for 2 g/l NaCl at 10 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7470</td>
<td>2 - 11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70 for 2 g/l NaCl at 10 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7250</td>
<td>2 - 8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50 for 2 g/l NaCl at 10 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>2 - 11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>98 for 2 g/l MgSO$_4$ at 7 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-80</td>
<td>4 - 11</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>93 for NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93 for NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-82</td>
<td>4 - 11</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>For NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XN-45</td>
<td>4 - 11</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>25 for 0.5 g/l NaCl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For CaCl$_2$ solutions instead of de-mineralized water

$^b$ For MgSO$_4$ solutions instead of de-mineralized water

$^c$ Special high temperature versions, normal versions with maximum temperatures of 40ºC. For the other membranes special high temperature versions may be available as well.
NTR-7410 and NTR-7450 are reported to be sulfonated poly(ether)sulfone (SPES) membranes [14-16]. NTR-7470 is reported to be a polysulfone based membrane as well [17] and is most likely made out of the same materials as the other two membranes from the NTR-74xx series, whereas NTR-7250 is a polypiperazine amide based membrane [15]. TS-80, XN-45, NF-90, NF-270 and Desal 5DK are polyamide (PA) based membranes as well [18, 19]. For TS-82 information about the membrane material is not available in open literature to the best of our knowledge. However, this membrane most likely consists of polyamide as well, similar to the other two TriSep membranes evaluated in this work.

3.2. Experimental set-up
Most (lab scale) experiments were carried out using a DSS Labstak® M20 equipment as described by Van der Horst et al. [20]. The experiments were performed in batch circulation mode, meaning that both the retentate (concentrate) and permeates were recycled continuously to the liquid vessel. Each membrane type installed in the lab-stack equipment had a surface area of 0.036 m$^2$. In the DSS Labstak® M20 equipment used, the liquid was supplied to the top of the membrane stack, flowing downwards to the outlet at the bottom of the stack. Pressure indicators were placed at the liquid inlet and the outlet (retentate side) of the Labstak® M20. Temperature was controlled in the liquid vessel of the equipment. A Hydracel pump was used to pump the liquid from the liquid vessel to the Labstak® M20. A Julabo cooling unit was used to control the temperature of the liquid to the membrane equipment. The cooling liquid was sent to a cooler installed in the liquid vessel.

A few experiments using 4 inch NF-270 membrane modules were performed. Membrane surface area per module was 7.6 m$^2$. The equipment used consisted of a high pressure liquid pump, two recirculation pumps recycling the majority of the concentrate produced back to the inlet of the individual modules and a concentrate outlet and two permeate outlets. Continuous experiments were performed under steady state conditions with two NF-270 modules in series, each having their own recirculation loop. Flows, pressures and temperatures were monitored continuously.

3.3. Solutions used during characterization and nanofiltration of saturated salt solutions
During lab-scale characterization experiments de-mineralized water and liquid solutions containing 1 g.L$^{-1}$ of a single solute were used. These solutions were created by
dissolving analytical grade sucrose, glucose, glycerol (all obtained from Fischer Emergo, The Netherlands), or NaCl, Na₂SO₄ (anhydrous), MgSO₄, or CaCl₂ (all obtained from Sigma Aldrich) in de-mineralized water. Relevant properties of these solutes are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Diffusivities and Stokes radii used for ions and neutral solutes [10, 11, 21].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solute</th>
<th>Ionic or molecular weight (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Diffusivity D∞ (m²s⁻¹) x 10⁻⁹</th>
<th>Solute radius rs (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the saturated salt solution experiments practically saturated raw brines from different origins (Delfzijl, The Netherlands and Mariager, Denmark) were used. Brines were obtained from solution mining by infiltrating water into subterranean sodium chloride rock salt caverns. The Mariager raw salt solution thus produced was used in the nanofiltration lab-scale experiments as obtained. For Delfzijl raw salt solution used in the module experiments Drewperse 747A was added in such an amount that the raw salt solution contained a 100 ppm polymaleic acid. The raw salt solutions mainly contained sodium chloride (4.7 – 5.2 mol.L⁻¹) and sodium sulfate (10-15 mmol.L⁻¹ and 45-50 mmol.L⁻¹ for Mariager and Delfzijl, respectively). Only negligible amounts of potassium, calcium, magnesium and bromides were present in the raw salt solutions.

3.4. Experimental conditions

Prior to the lab-scale experiments with Mariager raw salt solution the newly installed membrane sheets were flushed with de-mineralized water at 15 bar and 20°C during 1 hour, followed by flushing at 25 bar during 10 minutes (permeate was discharged during the entire period). Subsequently, membrane characterization was done in batch mode
with total recycle operation at a cross-flow rate of 600 L.h\(^{-1}\). This characterization procedure consisted of the following steps:

- Determination of the pure water flux at temperatures between 19°C and 20°C and three different trans-membrane pressures (7, 15 and 23 bar) using de-mineralized water.
- Determination of the NaCl retention at 10 bar trans-membrane pressure and 20.5°C, using a solution containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) NaCl.
- Determination of the glucose, MgSO\(_4\), glycerol, CaCl\(_2\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and sucrose retention with single component solutions of 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) at 10 bar and temperatures of 21°C.

Evaluation of NF membranes for processing raw Mariager salt solution was done at two different trans-membrane pressures (10.5 bar and 21 bar) and temperatures in the range of 20-22°C. As for the membrane characterization tests the equipment was operated in full recycle mode at a cross-flow rate of 600 L.h\(^{-1}\) at the inlet of the module. The reduction of the cross-flow rate at the top of the module due to permeate production was less than 3%. Samples of the individual permeates and the concentrate were taken for operation at 21 bar pressure. These samples were analyzed for chloride and sulfate. Since the cross-flow rate was far in excess of the total permeate flow, it is assumed that solution provided to the membrane inlet and the concentrate have the same composition.

Module experiments were performed with Delfzijl raw salt solution. Two NF270 modules in series were used at a temperature of 8°C and 13°C for the first and second module, respectively. The pressure of the membrane modules was 35 bar and an overall concentration factor (defined as the volume flow of fresh solution over concentrate discharged) of 1.4 was maintained. The cross-flow rate for both modules was 3.1 m\(^3\)/h.

Chloride and sulfate concentrations were determined through titration with silver nitrate and ion chromatography, respectively. Sucrose, glucose and glycerol retentions were determined from NPOC (non-purgeable organic carbon) concentrations of concentrates and permeates. NaCl, MgSO\(_4\), Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and CaCl\(_2\) retention during characterization experiments using 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) single salt solutions were determined from conductivity.
measurements of permeates and the concentrate samples using a WTW LF340 conductivity meter.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Membrane characterization

Membrane pure water permeances are shown in Table 3. For NF-270, NTR-7450 and Desal 5DK permeances are within the permeance ranges reported for these membranes [22]. For TS-80 the obtained pure water permeance is close to values reported by the membrane supplier and [18].

Table 3: Pure water permeances for evaluated membranes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Obtained permeance (L.m$^{-2}$.h$^{-1}$.bar$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Permeance range from open literature (L.m$^{-2}$.h$^{-1}$.bar$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NF-270</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.6 – 16</td>
<td>summarized in [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-90</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.8 – 23</td>
<td>summarized in [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7410</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7470</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7250</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.1 – 7.9</td>
<td>summarized in [22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-80</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-82</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XN-45</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the basis of flux and retention results for processing of the single neutral solute solutions, pore radii and effective thicknesses were estimated using the equations (1) – (13) as presented earlier. Results are shown in Table 4. For several membranes (NF-270, NF-90, NTR-7250, Desal 5DK, TS-80 and TS-82) average pore radii below 0.47 nm were found. Therefore, pore radii on the basis of processing of the 1 g.L$^{-1}$ sucrose solution were not fitted. For these membranes sucrose retentions quite close to 100% were obtained. Since retentions were slightly below 100 % pore radii could be obtained, but these were obviously in excess of 0.47 nm, which is not representative for these
membranes. The fact that the sucrose retention was below 100% is caused by the presence of a pore size distribution. It should be noted that the pore radius fitted is a mean pore radius. For processing of the sucrose solution only the bigger pores of the membrane will contribute to the passage of sucrose, thus leading to a higher mean pore radius as compared to processing of glucose or glycerol solutions. Apart from this phenomenon, practically all membranes showed a pore radius and effective thickness independent of the solute used (see Table 4). In most cases deviations were within 5%. For NTR-7410 a pore radius and effective thickness were not determined from permeation results processing the glycerol solution, since the retention was practically 0 in this case. On the basis of the results the tightness of the individual membranes (going from the most open to the tightest membrane) is:

NTR-7410 >> NTR-7450 >> NTR-7470 >> XN-45 >> NTR-7250 > Desal 5DK > NF-270 > NF-90 = TS-80 = TS-82

Table 4: Estimated effective pore radius and effective thickness for evaluated nanofiltration membranes based on processing of 1 g.L⁻¹ solutions of glycerol, glucose or sucrose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>1 g.L⁻¹ glycerol</th>
<th>1 g.L⁻¹ glucose</th>
<th>1 g.L⁻¹ sucrose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>r_p (nm)</td>
<td>Δx/A_k (μm)</td>
<td>r_p (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-270</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-90</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7450</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7410</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7470</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTR-7250</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desal 5DK</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-80</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-82</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XN-45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sodium sulfate and magnesium sulfate retentions obtained during the characterization experiments reduce from 99.5% to 75% and 99% to 6.5%, respectively when the average pore radius increases from 0.35 nm to 1.2 nm (see Figs. 1a and 1b).

Figure 1: Sodium sulfate (a) and magnesium sulfate (b) retentions for all evaluated membranes as function of pore radius determined via glycerol retention measurements (apart from NTR-7410 for which the pore radius determined from sucrose retention measurements was used). Symbols represent measured results and lines represent the trends for all (PA and SPES) membranes.
Magnesium sulfate retentions obtained for NF-270, NF-90, TS-80 are in line with values reported by the membrane supplier. For Desal 5DK and XN-45 slightly lower magnesium sulfate retentions are found than reported by the membrane suppliers. Figure 1b seems to indicate that the membrane material used, and therefore the original membrane surface charge density, does not seem to have a significant influence on the retentions for this sulfate salt. This would mean that the separation is either mainly caused by the size exclusion effect or that the surface charge densities for the different membranes have become similar due to adsorption of the ions, and the volumetric charge density, which is inversely related to the pore radius [11, 25] determines the retention characteristics. However, it should be realized that although all results can be described by a single relation between magnesium sulfate retention and pore radius based on glycerol characterization experiments, there is no overlap between retention results for the sulfonated polyether sulfone based membranes and those of the polyamine based membranes. Thus additional studies are required to fully elucidate the observed effect.

The magnesium sulfate retention is lower than the sodium sulfate retention for most membranes. This is only partly caused by the slightly lower ionic strength of the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4\) solution as compared to the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{MgSO}_4\) solution. More importantly, for these low ionic strength solutions negatively charged membranes are reported to have lower retention for di-valent cations than for mono-valent cations when these have the same anion as counter ion [19]. Since characterization experiments have occurred at neutral pH and the membranes contain sulfonic and carboxylic groups, all membranes are negatively charged at characterization conditions. In this case the volumetric charge densities of the nanofiltration membranes appear to be smaller for the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{MgSO}_4\) solution than for the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4\) solution. However, other explanations for the observed behavior such as stronger membrane swelling for processing of the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4\) solution than for the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{MgSO}_4\) solution can be a reason for the observed results as well. This latter hypothetical solution can be verified by performing spectroscopic ellipsometry experiments, but these are outside of the scope of the current study. It should be noted that for sodium sulfate retention, as for magnesium sulfate retention, the membrane material does not seem to influence the sodium sulfate retention, and the retention can be described by a unique relation with the pore radius as obtained from glycerol characterization experiments. However, as for the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\)
MgSO₄ solution, there is no overlap between the retention results for the sulfonated polyether sulfone based membranes and the polyamine based membranes.

Calcium chloride and sodium chloride retentions decrease with increasing pore size as well (see Fig. 2a and 2b). However, in this case the choice of membrane material also affects the retention for both salts. It is well known that for the retention of sodium chloride membrane charge density plays an important role (Donnan exclusion). Since the sulfonate groups will be more highly charged than the carboxylic groups at neutral pH, it is expected that the charge density of the SPES membranes (NTR-7410, NTR-7450 and NTR-7470) will be higher at neutral pH than that of the PA membranes, provided that the concentration of electrically charged groups on the membrane surface for the SPES membrane is similar or higher than for PA membranes. For example for the SPES based NTR-7450, Nystrom et al. [24] have shown that the charge density is higher than for the PA based membrane NTR-7250. This may explain why SPES membranes can have similar NaCl retention as the PA membranes at bigger average pore radius (as for example seen for NTR-7450, NF-7470 and NTR-7250 in Figs. 2a and 2b). The effect of the pore size on the retention for membranes from the same material (or at least quite similar polymeric material) is explained as follows. The membranes produced from the same polymeric material are all expected to have approximately similar surface charge density, because the same charged groups are present. However, for the membranes with bigger pore radii, the membrane charge concentration based on the pore volume, which determines Donnan exclusion, will become smaller since this concentration is proportional to the surface charge density and inversely proportional to the pore radius [11, 25]. Thus, the Donnan exclusion experienced by the negatively charged (chloride) ions that are retained is on average lower for membranes with similar surface charge density and bigger pores. Consequently, lower chloride retention is found, in line with the observations presented in Figs. 2a and 2b. For the PA based membranes it is evident that the materials used and the membrane production processes are not exactly the same for all PA membranes [26, 27]. These differences may give rise to some deviation from the established relation between pore radius and NaCl and CaCl₂ retention. This can for instance be seen from the reversed sequence of NaCl and CaCl₂ retentions for NTR-7250, NF-270 and Desal 5DK membranes. The NaCl retention for NTR-7250 is higher than that for NF-270, which shows higher NaCl retention than Desal 5DK, whereas the CaCl₂ retentions for these membranes show the reversed sequence. Further analysis of
these differences will be required to fully explain the obtained results in detail, but is outside of the scope of the current study. Nevertheless, as can be seen from Figs. 2a and 2b, a first indication of the retentions can be obtained from the pore size of the individual membranes.

For NTR-7410, NTR-7450, NTR-7470 and NTR-7250 obtained sodium chloride retentions are slightly higher than reported by the membrane supplier. This is most likely due to the difference in sodium chloride concentration used (1 g.L\(^{-1}\) in this study vs. 2 g.L\(^{-1}\) as used in the reported membrane supplier tests) as it is generally known that for negatively charged membranes sodium chloride solutions with higher ionic strength (higher concentrations) show lower sodium chloride retention, as a consequence of screening of the original membrane charge, leading to decreasing electrostatic repulsion of chloride at increasing ionic strength (e.g. [11]).
Figure 2: Sodium chloride (a) and calcium chloride (b) retentions for all evaluated membranes as function of pore radius determined via glycerol retention measurements (apart from NTR-7410 for which the pore radius determined from sucrose retention measurements was used). Symbols represent measured results and lines represent the trends for either PA or SPES membranes.
4.2. Nanofiltration of practically saturated salt solutions

For all membranes evaluated the osmotic pressure differences between retentate and permeate, as obtained during processing of Mariager raw salt solution, appear to be limited. For the most open membranes (NTR-7410, NTR-7450, NTR-7470 and XN-45) obtained osmotic pressure differences are between 0 - 1 bar, whereas for tighter membranes (NTR-7250, Desal 5DK and NF270) osmotic pressure differences are between 1 - 2 bar (results not shown). For the tightest membranes (TS-80, TS-82 and NF-90) the flux has become that low, that a proper determination of the osmotic pressure differences was not possible. It should be noted that the osmotic pressure was determined from limited pressure variation results and consequently only gives a first indication. The permeances of the NF membranes obtained during practically saturated salt solution experiments are clearly lower than those obtained during the characterization procedure. On the basis of the ratio of the pure water viscosity over the viscosity of the nearly saturated (and sulfate lean) permeate salt solutions ($\gamma_w/\gamma_{brine \ permeate}$) of 0.55 [28], it is expected that the ratio of the salt solution permeance over pure water permeance ($\text{Perm}_{\text{brine}} / \text{Perm}_w$) would be around 0.55 as well for all nanofiltration membranes evaluated. However, this is only true for the most open NF membranes (NTR-7410 and NTR-7450). For tighter NF membranes the ratio of the salt solution permeance over pure water permeance becomes smaller (see Fig. 3). The decrease in this ratio is especially strong for membranes with pore sizes of approximately 0.4 nm. An explanation for this decrease in permeance ratio from the ratio expected on the basis of the bulk viscosities of pure water and salt solution can be the increase in viscosity in narrow NF pores as explained by Bowen and Welfoot [29]. These authors state that this increase in viscosity (and therefore decrease in permeance) for smaller membrane pores is difficult to quantify. Nevertheless, if the change in viscosity for processing of water is different from the change in viscosity for processing of salt solution a permeance ratio different from 0.55 can occur. Another explanation could be that, as a consequence of the presence of a pore size distribution, permeate through tight nanofiltration membranes only flows through the bigger pores at higher permeate viscosity. Since this would lead to a smaller effective porosity of the membrane, permeance would drop more than expected on the basis of bulk viscosity increase when changing from pure water to practically saturated salt solution. Indications for this phenomenon have been reported earlier [10].
The sodium sulfate retentions that are obtained during processing of the practically saturated salt solutions are a function of the obtained average pore radius as well (see Fig. 4). Only membranes that showed a membrane flux below 2 kg.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\) (NF-90, TS-82 and TS-80) had relatively low sodium sulfate retentions and deviated from the observed trend. Nanofiltration membranes that are operated at very low flux (typically below 10 kg.m\(^{-2}\).h\(^{-1}\)) commonly show lower retentions than when they are operated at higher membrane flux.

Furthermore, the sodium sulfate retentions during processing of practically saturated salt solutions for all membranes evaluated (see Fig. 4) are lower than those for processing of a 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) sodium sulfate model solution (see Fig. 1), even though the sodium sulfate concentrations in the salt solution and the model solution are similar. It is generally believed that the ratio of the solute radius over the average pore radius decreases when the ionic strength of a solution increases. Explanations for the changes in solute radius over pore radius ratio at higher salt concentrations can be found in [10, 19, 22 and 30]. Since for the nearly saturated salt solution very high concentrations of sodium chloride are present in the solution it is clear that the ionic strength of the nearly saturated salt solution is significantly higher than that of the 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) sodium sulfate...
solution used for characterization. Therefore it can be expected that the ratio of the sulfate radius over the pore radius of the nanofiltration membranes will be smaller for the nearly saturated salt solution as compared to the sodium sulfate model solution. Furthermore, the higher ionic strength of the nearly saturated salt solution (as compared to the 1 g.L$^{-1}$ sodium sulfate solution used for characterization) leads to shielding of charges (adsorbed) on the membrane surface and in the membrane pores, and consequently may lead to a smaller contribution of Donnan exclusion for the nearly saturated salt solution. These effects can explain why the sulfate retention for processing of the salt solution is lower than that for the sodium sulfate model solution.

Figure 4: Sodium sulfate retentions for all evaluated membranes during processing of Mariager raw salt solution as function of pore radius determined via glycerol retention measurements (apart from NTR-7410 for which the pore radius determined from sucrose retention measurements was used). Symbols represent measured results and the line represents the trends for PA and SPES membranes having membrane fluxes in excess of 10 kg.m$^{-2}$.h$^{-1}$.

In earlier work [5] nanofiltration of mother liquor brines from NaCl salt production with much higher sulfate concentrations of around 550-600 mmol.L$^{-1}$ and sodium chloride concentrations similar to those used in the current study (4.7-4.9 mol.L$^{-1}$) has been described. For the membranes evaluated in that study (NF-270 and Desal 5DK) the
sodium chloride retention could be described as function of the sulfate concentration difference between retentate and permeate, and negative chloride retentions were obtained. For nanofiltration of raw salt solutions with much lower sulfate concentrations, positive chloride retentions are obtained. These retentions differ only marginally from the extrapolated relation determined for nanofiltration of the mother liquor brine, not only for NF-270 and Desal 5DK (see Fig. 5), but for all other nanofiltration membranes evaluated as well (see Fig. 6). It should be noted that the deviation from the expected retention on the basis of the earlier obtained relation is limited to less than 3% (absolute). The relation can therefore be used to obtain a first prediction of the chloride retention when the sulfate retention is known or is estimated from the pore radius of the membrane as determined from the characterization experiments. However, for the membranes with a very low sulfate retention and consequently a very small sulfate concentration difference between retentate and permeate, chloride retentions start to marginally deviate from the earlier obtained relation approach zero retention (see Fig.6).

Figure 5: Chloride retentions for NF-270 (squares) and Desal 5DK (triangle) during processing of practically saturated raw salt solutions from Mariager and Delfzijl as function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. The line represents a relation found [5] for NF-270 and Desal 5DK processing high sulfate containing mother liquor brines.
Figure 6: Chloride retentions for all evaluated membranes during processing of practically saturated salt solution as function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. The line represents a relation found [5] for NF-270 and Desal 5DK processing high sulfate containing mother liquor brines.

A more accurate prediction of the sodium chloride retention for these membranes and an explanation why the deviation from observed chloride retentions from the extrapolated relation occurs is therefore desired. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge an explanation for the observation that the sodium chloride retentions for all nanofiltration membranes, ranging from very tight to very open, can be predicted reasonably well by the obtained relation between chloride retention and the difference in sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate has not been given in open literature so far.
Translation of the retention results for the raw salt solution experiments into the concentration product ratio for sodium chloride in the permeate over the retentate ($\beta_{NaCl}$) reveals that at small differences in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate, values slightly lower but very close to 1 are found for all membranes evaluated (see Figs. 7 and 8). Based on the theoretical considerations explained earlier in this document this means that the resistance for sodium chloride transport is very small, molar volumes of concentrates and permeates are similar, and activity coefficients in retentate and permeate are similar for processing of the raw salt solutions containing low amounts of sulfate, irrespective of which nanofiltration membrane is used. It furthermore means that the chemical potential of sodium chloride in both phases (permeate and retentate) is practically the same for all membranes evaluated in this study. The presence of the sodium sulfate in the solution practically saturated in sodium chloride and the minor resistance for sodium chloride transport through the membrane thus leads to salting-out of sodium chloride from the retentate to the permeate. Since the concentration difference of sulfate between retentate and permeate is the driving force for salting-out of sodium chloride from the retentate to the
permeate, a relation between chloride retention and the difference in sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate is obtained, independent of the nanofiltration membrane used. The only effect of the membrane on the distribution of sodium chloride and sodium sulfate over retentate and permeate is caused by the sulfate retention of the membrane, which is directly related to the pore radius obtained during membrane characterization, and to a minor extent by the NaCl transport resistance.

![Figure 8: Sodium chloride concentration ratio $\beta_{\text{NaCl}}$ for Desal 5DK as function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. The filled symbols represents the result for processing of raw salt solution originating from Marigier and open symbols represent mother liquor brines originating from Delfzijl as obtained from [5].](image)

When the concentration product ratio $\beta_{\text{NaCl}}$ is related to the pore radius of the individual membranes obtained during the characterization procedure, it furthermore becomes clear that $\beta_{\text{NaCl}}$ increases linearly from 0.9 for the most tight nanofiltration membranes to 1 for the most open nanofiltration membranes (see Fig. 9). This trend can be explained by slight changes in the resistance for NaCl transport (which gets slightly lower when more open membranes are used), in combination with relatively low sodium sulfate retention for the relatively open nanofiltration membranes. In contrast to the sodium chloride retentions obtained during characterization experiments using a 1 g.L$^{-1}$ model
solution, the membrane material used does not have an effect on sodium chloride retention for processing of practically saturated salt solution. This is due to the very high ionic strength of the practically saturated raw salt solution, leading to negligible contribution of the membrane charge density. Thus mainly pore size is important for sodium chloride retention (sieving effect), since the Donnan exclusion contribution for processing of practically saturated salt solutions is negligible. Furthermore, the lower the sulfate retention becomes during processing of the raw salt solution, the closer the activity coefficient ratio for permeate over concentrate to 1, and the more similar the molar volumes for concentrate and permeate become. Consequently, since these contributions mainly cause the difference in chemical equilibrium of NaCl between retentate and permeate, the concentration product ratio for more open membranes is expected to be closer to 1 than that for more tight membranes.

Figure 9: Sodium chloride concentration ratio $\beta_{\text{NaCl}}$ for all evaluated membranes during processing of practically saturated raw salt solution originating from Mariager as function of pore radius determined during membrane characterization with model solutions.

When the retention results for nanofiltration of mother liquor brine (with a relatively high sulfate concentration in the salt solution) using NF-270 (not shown, but similar results as for Desal 5DK are obtained) and Desal 5DK as reported in [5] are translated into sodium concentration ratios $\beta_{\text{NaCl}}$, it is clear that the sodium chloride concentration ratio
\( \beta_{\text{NaCl}} \) increases with a strong increase in the sulfate concentration difference (see Fig. 8). At high concentration differences even values in excess of 1 are obtained. This increase in \( \beta_{\text{NaCl}} \) is not caused by significant changes in membrane resistance for NaCl transport, but by the fact that the activity coefficients ratio \( \left( \gamma_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Cl}^-} \right)_c / \left( \gamma_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot \gamma_{\text{Cl}^-} \right)_p \) increases at higher sulfate concentration difference and the ratio \( \left( V_{m,c} / V_{m,p} \right)^2 \) of molar volumes of concentrate over permeate solutions starts to differ from 1. Since the activity ratio \( \left( a_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot a_{\text{Cl}^-} \right)_c / \left( a_{\text{Na}^+} \cdot a_{\text{Cl}^-} \right)_p \) remains constant at unchanged membrane resistance, the sodium chloride concentration ratio \( \beta_{\text{NaCl}} = (\left[\text{Na}^+\right]_p \cdot [\text{Cl}^-]_p ) / (\left[\text{Na}^+\right]_c \cdot [\text{Cl}^-]_c ) \) needs to increase, and values slightly in excess of 1 can be obtained as well.

Consequently, the sulfate retention during nanofiltration of almost saturated salt solutions depends on the openness of the nanofiltration membrane and is a function of the pore radius determined from membrane characterization experiments using a model solution containing a single neutral solute. The chloride retention for nanofiltration membranes can subsequently be determined quite accurately from the sulfate concentration difference between retentate and permeate, which is a function of the sulfate retention, irrespective of whether open or tight nanofiltration membranes have been used. This means that for practically saturated sodium chloride solutions, a methodology has been developed to predict sodium chloride and sodium sulfate retentions from the pore radius of the nanofiltration membrane determined in a simple characterization experiment. An explanation for the relation between these variables has been given on the basis of thermodynamic considerations. It has furthermore been shown that this relation holds since for these salt solutions practically saturated in sodium chloride the resistance for sodium chloride transport is negligible and the activity coefficients ratio for permeate over retentate is close to one.

**Conclusions**

During nanofiltration of practically saturated raw salt solutions obtained from solution mining, the sulfate retention can be estimated from the mean pore radius of the nanofiltration membrane as determined from simple characterization experiments. For all nanofiltration membranes, ranging from tight to relatively open, the sulfate retention obtained for nanofiltration of raw salt solutions is lower than that obtained during characterization experiments with a single salt sodium sulfate solution at similar sulfate concentration. This reduction is due to the presence of sodium chloride in the solution. It is ascribed to the lower sulfate radius over mean pore radius at high ionic strength of...
the solution and possibly reduced Donnan exclusion. As a first estimate, the chloride retention of the nanofiltration membranes for processing of practically saturated salt solutions, irrespective of the openness of the membrane, can be obtained from the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate (retentate) and permeate. This difference can be obtained from the sulfate retention and therefore indirectly from the mean pore radius obtained from characterization experiments. The fact that this correlation is practically independent of the membrane type used is caused by the low restriction of the membrane for sodium chloride transport and the negligible difference in activity coefficient for sodium chloride between concentrate and permeate, as indicated by thermodynamic considerations. This is illustrated by the ratio of the concentration product for sodium chloride for permeate over concentrate, which is between 0.9 and 1 for all membranes. This ratio is linearly dependent on the pore radius of the nanofiltration membrane as obtained from the characterization and is relatively small for the tightest membranes, indicating that the membrane resistance for transport of sodium chloride is slightly higher than for more open membrane, as expected. At high sulfate concentration differences between concentrate and permeate as obtained for nanofiltration of mother liquor brine, sodium chloride concentration ratios in excess of 1 can be obtained as a consequence of changes in activity coefficients and molar volumes of the solutions. The ratio of the permeance for raw salt solution over pure water drops from 0.55 (as expected on the basis of the bulk viscosity ratio) for the most open membranes to much lower values for tighter nanofiltration membranes. This phenomenon may be caused by viscosity changes in small pores or by a change in effective membrane porosity during permeation conditions.
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**Nomenclature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>activity</td>
<td>mol m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_k$</td>
<td>porosity of the membrane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_c$</td>
<td>concentration in the concentrate (retentate)</td>
<td>mol m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_i$</td>
<td>concentration of component $i$ in the membrane</td>
<td>mol m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{i,m}$</td>
<td>concentration of component $i$ on the feed side of the membrane</td>
<td>mol m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{i,p}$</td>
<td>concentration of component $i$ on the permeate side of the membrane</td>
<td>mol m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$</td>
<td>concentration in the permeate</td>
<td>mol m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_m)</td>
<td>diffusivity of the solute</td>
<td>(\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_i)</td>
<td>bulk diffusivity of solute (i)</td>
<td>(\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{ip})</td>
<td>hindered diffusivity</td>
<td>(\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dx)</td>
<td>differential distance normal to membrane</td>
<td>(\text{m})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>Faraday constant</td>
<td>(\text{C mol}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G)</td>
<td>hydrodynamic enhanced lag coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J)</td>
<td>volume flux</td>
<td>(\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j_i)</td>
<td>flux of component (i)</td>
<td>(\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J_{w/\Delta P})</td>
<td>pure water permeance</td>
<td>(\text{m s}^{-1} \text{kPa}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k)</td>
<td>mass transfer constant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K^1)</td>
<td>hydrodynamic enhanced drag coefficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{ic})</td>
<td>hindrance factor for convection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_{id})</td>
<td>hindrance factor for diffusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td>pressure</td>
<td>(\text{Pa})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_c)</td>
<td>concentrate pressure</td>
<td>(\text{Pa})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_p)</td>
<td>permeate pressure</td>
<td>(\text{Pa})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_0)</td>
<td>pressure at reference state</td>
<td>(\text{Pa})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pe_m)</td>
<td>Peclet number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Perm_{w})</td>
<td>pure water permeance ((J_{w/\Delta P}))</td>
<td>(\text{m s}^{-1} \text{kPa}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Perm_{brine})</td>
<td>salt solution permeance ((J_{brine}/(\Delta P - \Delta IT)))</td>
<td>(\text{m s}^{-1} \text{kPa}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{obs})</td>
<td>observed rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_p)</td>
<td>mean pore radius</td>
<td>(\text{m})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{real})</td>
<td>real rejection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r_s)</td>
<td>solute Stokes radius</td>
<td>(\text{m})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T)</td>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>(\text{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_c)</td>
<td>concentrate temperature</td>
<td>(\text{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_p)</td>
<td>permeate temperature</td>
<td>(\text{K})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u)</td>
<td>diffusive velocity</td>
<td>(\text{m s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>mole fraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x_{Cl^-})</td>
<td>chloride ion fraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x_{Na^+})</td>
<td>sodium ion fraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta x)</td>
<td>membrane thickness</td>
<td>(\text{m})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>solute velocity</td>
<td>(\text{m s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_m)</td>
<td>molar volume</td>
<td>(\text{L mol}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(z_i)</td>
<td>ion valency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Greek**

- \(\alpha\) concentration product ratio of \([\text{Na}].[\text{Cl}]\) for permeate over retentate
- \(\beta\) molal activity coefficient
- \(\gamma\) film thickness
- \(\Delta l\) distance
- \(\Delta P\) pressure difference
\[ \Delta \mu \quad \text{chemical potential drop or difference} \quad \text{J mol}^{-1} \]
\[ \Delta \mu_{\text{membr}} \quad \text{chemical potential drop due to resistance of the membrane} \quad \text{J mol}^{-1} \]
\[ \text{resistances due to concentration polarization} \]
\[ \Lambda \Pi \quad \text{osmotic pressure difference} \quad \text{Pa} \]
\[ \zeta_{ij} \quad \text{friction between solute i and j} \]
\[ \eta \quad \text{viscosity of solution} \quad \text{kPa s} \]
\[ \lambda \quad \text{ratio of solute to pore radius} \]
\[ \mu \quad \text{chemical potential} \quad \text{J mol}^{-1} \]
\[ \mu' \quad \text{chemical potential of component in solution at reference state} \quad \text{J mol}^{-1} \]
\[ \Phi \quad \text{steric partitioning term} \]
\[ \Psi \quad \text{electrical potential} \quad \text{V} \]

**Subscripts**
- \( c \) concentrate (or retentate)
- \( i \) component i
- \( j \) component j
- \( p \) permeate
- \( \text{ref} \) at reference conditions
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Chapter 6

Conclusions and recommendations
1. Conclusions

In Chapter 2 it is shown that for several commercially available nanofiltration membranes the addition of salt ions to a glucose solution can result in reduction of the glucose retention, even at low salt concentrations. The reduction in glucose retention is membrane specific, and furthermore depends on the retention of the salt ion added. A relatively low retention for the salt results in a higher decrease in glucose retention. For addition of NaCl, CaCl₂, and KCl to the glucose solution, the retention drop is a function of the Cl⁻ concentration in the permeate for the nanofiltration membranes Desal 5DK and NF. This function is independent of the cation used. The observed effect is important for prediction of membrane performance during the demineralization of sugar solutions. However, it is not well described by a predictive model on the basis of the Maxwell-Stefan equation, which uses only pore size exclusion, Donnan exclusion, and average pore size to describe the separation process. The reduced glucose retention in the presence of salt can be described well when the pore radius value substituted in the model is increased. Several hypotheses are available to explain the observed phenomenon. An example is that the glucose retention reduction is caused by an increased effective average pore size, as a consequence of higher repulsion forces between the double layers in the pores when the concentration of ions and therefore the membrane charge, as is predicted by the model, is increased. Another possible explanation is the presence of a pore size distribution. The Maxwell-Stefan model shows that the addition of salt with relatively low retention reduces the flux of the small pores to a higher extent than the larger pores. Thus the retention of glucose is determined to a larger extent by the larger pores and reduces when salt is added. This explains why in experiments where salts with low retention characteristics are present, the glucose retention drop is relatively large and a larger pore size estimate in the Maxwell-Stefan model is required to predict the glucose retention more accurately.

In Chapter 3 results from nanofiltration of aqueous solutions containing NaCl in concentrations between 1 g.L⁻¹ and 300 g.L⁻¹ and glucose concentrations as high as 80 g.L⁻¹ are reported using NF 270, NTR 7450, NP030, Desal 5 DK and Desal 5 HL membranes. Extending the sodium chloride concentration range in the glucose solution from 58.5 g.L⁻¹ (as maximally used in the study reported in Chapter 2) to almost 300 g.L⁻¹ shows that the glucose retention for solutions containing 1 g.L⁻¹ glucose decreases strongly when the NaCl concentration is increased from 0 g.L⁻¹ to 100 g.L⁻¹, when
compared at similar flux. As in the study reported in Chapter 2 a change in the pore radius at assumed constant glucose radius or alternatively a change in the ratio of glucose molecular radius over the membrane effective pore radius explains the obtained results. A further increase in NaCl concentration results in slight further reductions of the glucose retentions and consequently slightly higher mean pore radii. This means that the effect of adding salt to the glucose solution stabilized at higher salt concentration. At very high glucose concentrations (of around 80 g.L\(^{-1}\)) in the feed solution and NaCl concentrations in excess of 175 g.L\(^{-1}\), the NaCl retention is around 0 for all membranes evaluated, and is only slightly affected by the difference in glucose concentration between retentate and permeate. The presence of glucose only has a minor salting-out effect on NaCl, leading to slightly negative NaCl retentions for high glucose concentrations. For mixed glucose and NaCl solutions containing NaCl concentrations higher than 90 g.L\(^{-1}\), an increase in glucose concentration from 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) does not affect the glucose retention at the same flux for NF 270, Desal 5DK, Desal 5HL and NP 030. However, at the same operating pressure an increase in glucose concentration from 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) to 80 g.L\(^{-1}\) results in significantly lower glucose retentions due to the lower flux at higher glucose concentrations. For solutions containing 1 g.L\(^{-1}\) glucose and NaCl concentrations of 100 g.L\(^{-1}\) and higher, the membrane flux has a significant influence on the NaCl retention. For all membranes evaluated mean pore radii and effective membrane thicknesses have been determined. Changes in the pore radii and effective diameters can be explained by hypotheses proposed in earlier studies. The obtained parameters can be used to facilitate the development of nanofiltration applications for desalination of concentrated glucose solutions in industry and to get a feel for changing membrane characteristics for solutions with high salt concentrations.

In Chapter 4 it is shown that the use of nanofiltration for the production of brines supersaturated in sodium sulfate is a technically feasible and attractive alternative for evaporative of cooling concentration. Crystallization of sodium sulfate in the membrane modules can be avoided by the presence of a primary nucleation inhibitor in the feed to the nanofiltration unit. Sodium sulfate crystallization can be induced in a separate crystallizer outside of the membrane unit by addition of crystal seeds. NF270 is a suitable membrane for this application and is preferred over Desal DK, Desal DL, TS-80 and MPF-34. Chloride and bromide retentions are negative and they are a function of the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate and permeate. Carbonate
Retention are linearly dependent on sulfate retentions. Calcium retention for NF270 is in excess of 95% and proven to be stable during 1200 hours of continuous operation. The same applies for the potassium retention albeit at a lower level of 10%.

During nanofiltration of practically saturated raw salt solutions obtained from solution mining, the sulfate retention can be estimated from the mean pore radius of the nanofiltration membrane as determined from simple characterization experiments as shown in Chapter 5. For all nanofiltration membranes, ranging from tight to relatively open, the sulfate retention obtained for nanofiltration of raw salt solutions is lower than that obtained during characterization experiments with a single salt sodium sulfate solution at similar sulfate concentration. This reduction is due to the presence of sodium chloride in the solution. Based on results obtained in Chapters 2 and 3 this phenomenon is ascribed to the lower sulfate radius over mean pore radius at high ionic strength of the solution and possibly reduced Donnan exclusion. As a first estimate, the chloride retention of the nanofiltration membranes for processing of practically saturated salt solutions, irrespective of the openness of the membrane, can be obtained from the difference in sulfate concentration between concentrate (retentate) and permeate. This is in line with results shown in Chapter 4 for nanofiltration of solutions saturated in sodium chloride and containing much higher sodium sulfate concentrations, using NF-270 and Desal DK membranes. This difference in sodium sulfate concentration between retentate and permeate can be obtained from the sulfate retention and therefore indirectly from the mean pore radius obtained from characterization experiments. The fact that this correlation is practically independent of the membrane type used is caused by the low restriction of the membrane for sodium chloride transport and the negligible difference in activity coefficient for sodium chloride between concentrate and permeate, as indicated by thermodynamic considerations. This is illustrated by the ratio of the concentration product for sodium chloride for permeate over concentrate, which is between 0.9 and 1 for all membranes. This ratio is linearly dependent on the pore radius of the nanofiltration membrane as obtained from the characterization and is relatively low for the tightest membranes, indicating that the membrane resistance for transport of sodium chloride is slightly higher than for more open membrane, as expected. At high sulfate concentration differences between concentrate and permeate as obtained for nanofiltration of mother liquor brine, sodium chloride concentration ratios in excess of 1 can be obtained as a consequence of changes in activity coefficients and molar volumes of the solutions.
The results obtained and reported in this thesis consequently provide a better insight in the effect of salt ions on glucose retention and vice versa during processing of solutions containing both components. Furthermore, this has led to improved insight in the transport process through the nanofiltration membranes. In addition, nanofiltration of saturated salt solutions has been shown to be feasible. Even nanofiltration operation with solutions super-saturated in a soluble salt such as sodium sulfate is shown to be possible. During nanofiltration of these solutions retentates and permeates practically saturated in sodium chloride are obtained. Sulfate and chloride retentions for nanofiltration membranes can now be determined from a simple membrane characterization method and thermodynamic considerations.

2. Recommendations

Despite the progress that has been made in explaining the effect of the presence of salt ions during nanofiltration of glucose containing aqueous solutions, there is still a lot of study needed to fully unravel the fundamental background of the observed phenomena. In the current work several hypothesis have been put forward which may explain the observations and the phenomena occurring. However, despite targeted approaches to get full understanding of the phenomena occurring, both in the work presented in this thesis and the work performed by several other authors as cited in chapters 2 and 3, the real phenomenon or combinations of phenomena occurring have not yet been proven completely. This leaves room for further investigations. One of the techniques that can assist in getting a better insight in the effects hypothesized to occur is the use of in-situ ellipsometry [1]. With this technique a better insight can be created in membrane swelling during nanofiltration operation. Furthermore, the fundamental work performed so far has mainly focused on retention of glucose during nanofiltration. It is not unlikely that for ultrafiltration operation the retention of neutral components that normally retained well (e.g. bigger polyethylene glycols) is affected by the presence of salt in the solution as well. This leaves room for further investigations as well.

For practically saturated sodium chloride solutions a proper description of retention behavior for sodium chloride and sodium sulfate has been developed in the studies reported in this thesis. However, it would be very helpful to extend this approach to
concentrated, but unsaturated sodium chloride solutions such as used in depleted chlor/alkali brine and seawater. This would facilitate the design of nanofiltration units for these applications. Furthermore, it would be very valuable to extend this work to other saturated solutions to facilitate the development of other applications and to facilitate the design of membrane installations for these applications.
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