

## CHEMISTRY OF PYRROLIZINES; REACTIONS WITH CYANOGEN BROMIDE AND TRIFLUOROACETIC ANHYDRIDE



W. VERBOOM, G. W. VISSER and D. N. REINHOUDT\*

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Twente University of Technology, Enschede, The Netherlands

(Received in U.K. 16 November 1981)



**Abstract**—Interaction of the pyrrolizine 3 with cyanogen bromide in a tetrahydrofuran/water mixture affords addition to the enamine double bond with formation of 5 which can be aromatized to 6 by silica gel. Reaction of 6 with cyanogen bromide in the same solvent mixture yields the indoline 8a which structure is proved in a chemical way by conversion of the product into the aldehyde 8d. The different reaction pathway is discussed in terms of steric hindrance by the ester groups. Treatment of 6 with trifluoroacetic anhydride gives the trifluoroacetylated compound 11. Removal of the sterically hindered ester groups in 6, with acetic acid in quinoline at 200°, is accompanied by the simultaneous decarboxylation to yield the pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole 13.

Recently we reported that the reactions of 3-(1-pyrrolidinyl) thiophenes<sup>1</sup> and 1-pyrrolidinyl cycloalkenes<sup>2,3</sup> with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate in polar solvents give pyrrolizines instead of the cyclobutene derivatives that are formed in apolar solvents. Since the 1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole system is the chemical backbone of the anti-tumor antibiotic mitomycin 2 we are currently exploring the possibility of modification of our pyrrolizines and the conversion of these into analogues of mitomycins. Since the structures of the mitomycins were first elucidated,<sup>4</sup> several approaches to their synthesis have been reported<sup>5</sup> and a total synthesis was accomplished *via* compound 1 by Kishi *et al.*<sup>6</sup> However, the number of steps and the low overall yield renders the synthesis of a large number of derivatives very difficult.



The experiments described in this paper have been carried out with the readily accessible 5,7,7a,8,9,10-hexahydro-7-methoxycarbonyl-6H-benzo[g]pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole-7-acetic acid methyl ester (3, E = COOCH<sub>3</sub>) as a model system. Our first objective was to study the conversion of the pyrrolizine system into an azocine 4 *via* reaction with cyanogen bromide or trifluoroacetic

anhydride in a similar way to that described by Kametani *et al.*<sup>7</sup> In azocine 4 R<sup>2</sup> must be converted in several steps



into a keto function which can serve 1) to introduce a handle for the aziridine group, 2) to remove one of the ester groups and 3), *via* the acetal, for the introduction of the methoxy group. Surprisingly, we found a mode of reaction which is different from known reactions of pyrrolizines with both cyanogen bromide and trifluoroacetic anhydride.


### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Reactions with cyanogen bromide.** Reactions of tertiary amines with cyanogen bromide (the von Braun reaction) are normally carried out in inert solvents such as diethyl ether, chloroform or benzene.<sup>8</sup> Albright *et al.*<sup>9</sup> however, used mixtures of tetrahydrofuran and water or alcohols and Rönsch<sup>10</sup> carried out the reaction in the presence of magnesium oxide. Using these conditions they were able to introduce directly a hydroxy- or an alkoxy group instead of a bromine atom.

The pyrrolizine 3 was reacted with cyanogen bromide in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran and water (25:10) at room temperature to give a product which was not the

expected azocine **4a** in 89% yield. According to the mass spectrum and elemental analysis the elemental composition of the reaction product was  $C_{21}H_{23}BrN_2O_4$  indicating that a bromine and not an OH group was incorporated. In the  $^1H$  NMR spectrum the characteristic N-CH-signal for pyrrolizines at  $\delta$  4.60 ppm (dd,  $J = 5.5$  and 11 Hz) was still present and the  $^{13}C$  NMR spectrum showed that the absorptions of the original double bond were replaced by -C-Br and -C-CN signals at  $\delta$  73.0 and 72.1 ppm. On the basis of these data we concluded that the reaction product was 6a-bromo-7-carboxy-11a-cyano-5,6a,7,7a,8,9,10,11a-octahydro-6H-benzo[g]pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole-7-acetic acid, dimethyl ester **5**. Obviously addition of cyanogen bromide had taken place at the more reactive enamine double bond.<sup>†</sup>


Due to the polarization of cyanogen bromide we would expect that the bromine attacks at the  $\beta$ -enamine carbon atom having the highest electron density.



Reaction of compound **5** in the presence of silica gel gave rise to the formation of 7-carboxy-7a,8,9,10-tetrahydro-7H-benzogpyrrolo[1,2-a]indole-7-acetic acid, dimethyl ester **6** which could be crystallized from the crude reaction mixture in 30–40% yield.

Direct aromatization of pyrrolizine **3** with diisopentyldisulfide<sup>12</sup> or *N*-bromosuccinimide gave, according to the  $^1H$  NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures, higher yields of pyrrolizine **6**. However it was difficult to isolate pure **6**. In the case of the reaction with *N*-bromosuccinimide the pyrrolizine **6** could not be separated by chromatography from a product which contains a bromine atom in the aromatic ring.

Because pyrrolizine **6** does not possess the reactive enamine double bond of **5** and is therefore more closely related to the dioxindole skeleton of mitomycin C we have carried out further reactions with **6**. Reaction of pyrrolizine **6** with cyanogen bromide in tetrahydrofuran-water (25:10) at 40° gave a 1:1 reaction product in 72%




<sup>†</sup>For other examples of his type of reaction see Ref. 11.

yield as was shown by mass spectrometry ( $M^+ 444.072$ , calcd for  $C_{21}H_{23}BrN_2O_4$  444.069) and the elemental analysis. The  $^1H$  NMR spectrum showed the characteristic N-CH- signal at  $\delta$  5.07 ppm (dd,  $J = 5.5$  and 8 Hz) indicating that the C(7a)-N bond had not reacted, however a definite structure assignment could not be made. Assuming that there were two possibilities viz. 1) reaction of cyanogen bromide with the N-CH-bond and 2) reaction with the N-CH<sub>2</sub>- bond, we decided to replace the bromine atom by a hydroxyl function which after oxidation is converted into either a keto- or an aldehyde group. This would prove either one of the two possible structures (**7a** or **8a**) in a chemical way. Attempts to substitute the bromine atom for a hydroxyl group with silver(I) oxide in an acetone-water mixture failed. The result was a very complicated reaction mixture in which no product could be identified. However, reaction with potassium acetate in the presence of 18-crown-6 in acetonitrile at reflux temperature according to Liotta *et al.*<sup>13</sup> resulted in the formation of the corresponding acetate **8b** in 86% yield. This compound could be smoothly converted into the alcohol **8c** with sodium carbonate in 72% yield. Oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate<sup>14</sup> in dichloromethane, ultimately leads to the formation of 3-carboxy-1-cyano-2-(2-formylethyl)benz[g]indoline-3-acetic acid, dimethyl ester **8d** in 80% yield. The structure of **8d** was proven by its spectral data. Conclusive evidence for the aldehyde structure are the absorptions in the  $^1H$  NMR spectrum at  $\delta$  9.87 ppm and in the  $^{13}C$  NMR spectrum at  $\delta$  199.5 ppm. From this result we concluded that in the pyrrolizine **6** cyanogen bromide had reacted with the N-CH<sub>2</sub>- bond with formation of **8a**. In the spectra of the crude reaction mixtures we could not demonstrate the presence of the azocine **7b**.

In their work Kametani *et al.*<sup>7</sup> reacted a compound that resembles our pyrrolizine namely 5, 8-diacetoxy-2, 3, 9,9a-tetrahydro-7-methoxy-6-methyl-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole **9** with cyanogen bromide and they observed that the von Braun reaction of **9** gave 7, 10-diacetoxy-5-bromo-1-cyano-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-8-methoxy-9-methyl-1-benzazocine **10a** in 56% yield, consequently

reaction with the N-CH-bond. They did not mention the presence of compounds originating by reaction with the N-CH<sub>2</sub>-bond.




**Reactions with trifluoroacetic anhydride.** As an alternative way to convert pyrrolizines into azocines

Kametani *et al.*<sup>7</sup> found that the pyrrolo[1, 2-*a*]indole 9 reacted with trifluoroacetic anhydride in a sealed tube at 150° to yield 7,10-diacetoxy-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexahydro-8-methoxy-9-methyl-5-trifluoroacetoxy-1-trifluoroacetyl-1-benzazocine 10b. Under the same conditions the pyrrolizines 3 and 6 reacted with trifluoroacetic anhydride to give complicated reaction mixtures from which no pure compound could be isolated. However, the reaction of pyrrolizine 3 with trifluoroacetic anhydride at reflux temperature (40°) is fast yielding a salt which was isolated. The mass spectrum showed a parent peak at *m/e* 341 (starting pyrrolizine 3) and in addition fragments originating from trifluoroacetic anhydride. Treatment of the salt with sodium bicarbonate in water gave the starting pyrrolizine 3.

Pyrrolizine 6 reacted with trifluoroacetic anhydride at room temperature to give 7-carboxy-7a,8,9,10-tetrahydro-4-(trifluoroacetyl)-7*H*-benzo[*g*]pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]indole-7-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 11 which was isolated in 78% yield. The structure of 11 was proven by spectroscopic methods. The mass spectrum and elemental analysis showed that only a CF<sub>3</sub>CO-group had been introduced. Besides, in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum the

characteristic N-CH-absorption at δ 5.10 ppm (dd, *J* = 5 and 12 Hz) is still present. On the basis of these data the azocine structure 7c could be excluded. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the product and of pyrrolizine 6 showed essential differences in the aromatic patterns; the spectrum of the reaction product exhibited only five aromatic hydrogen atoms. Therefore we concluded that an electrophilic aromatic substitution had taken place. One of the aromatic hydrogens in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum is shifted downfield to δ 9.26 ppm (dd) (influence of the nearest CF<sub>3</sub>CO-group) and showed a *J*<sub>ortho</sub> of 8 Hz and *J*<sub>meta</sub> of 1.5 Hz so that the only possibility is that the CF<sub>3</sub>CO-group had been introduced at the C(4) position.


In the literature it has been reported that trifluoroacetic anhydride is capable of effecting trifluoroacetylation in reactive aromatic and heterocyclic nuclei.<sup>15</sup> In the case of Kametani *et al.*<sup>7</sup> trifluoroacetylation is not possible because in pyrrolo[1, 2-*a*]indole 9 all aromatic positions are blocked.



We concluded that we were not able to convert the pyrrolizine 6 into the azocines 7 either with cyanogen bromide or with trifluoroacetic anhydride. A reason that in pyrrolizine 6 cyanogen bromide does not react with the annulating N-CH-bond might be the presence of the bulky ester groups which possibly prevent an attack at the C(7a) position. Therefore we have subsequently tried to remove these ester groups first.

**Removal of the ester groups.** With classical methods such as sodium hydroxide or hydrogen chloride in water or dioxane, concentrated sulphuric acid or trifluoroacetic acid we were not able to remove the ester groups.

Fétizon *et al.*<sup>16</sup> reported acetic acid in quinoline to be an effective method for the hydrolysis of hindered esters. Reaction of pyrrolizine 6 with this reagent at 200° afforded not the expected diacid 12 but 9,10-dihydro-7-methyl-8*H*-benzo[*g*]pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]indole 13 in 36% yield. The structure of 13 was proven by spectroscopic methods. For instance



the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the presence of a methyl group at δ 2.32 ppm and an N-CH<sub>2</sub>-group at δ 4.50 ppm (t, *J* = 7 Hz); signals of methoxy- or acid groups were absent. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum resembled that of the known 2,3-dihydro-9-methyl-1*H*-pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]indole.<sup>17</sup>

We assume that the formation of 13 occurs *via* the intermediate diacid 12 which eliminates two moles of carbon dioxide. This was proven by heating the diacid 12 in quinoline at 200° which also resulted in formation of 13. This diacid 12 could be prepared by reaction of the pyrrolizine 6 with potassium *tert*-butoxide in dimethylsulfoxide according to Bartlett *et al.*<sup>18</sup> Because this diacid is very soluble in water and difficult to separate from salts, attempts to isolate the diacid in a pure state failed. However, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum clearly revealed the absence of the ester groups. The IR- and mass spectrum further supported this structural assignment.

To our knowledge this conversion of 3 *via* 6 into 9,10-dihydro-8*H*-benzo[*g*]pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]indole system.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

M.p.s were determined with a Reichert melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker WP80-FT and a Varian XL-100 spectrometer, respectively, in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained with a Varian Mat 311A spectrometer and IR spectra with a Perkin-Elmer 257 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were carried out by the Element Analytical section of the Institute for Organic Chemistry TNO, Utrecht, The Netherlands, under the supervision of Mr. W. J. Buis. Pyrrolizine 3 was prepared as described.<sup>3</sup>

6a-Bromo-7-carboxy-11a-cyano-5, 6a, 7, 7a, 8, 9, 10, 11a-octa-hydro-6*H*-benzo[*g*]pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]indole-7-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 5

To a soln of 3 (17.1 g, 0.05 mol) in 400 ml THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (25:10) were added magnesium oxide (4.0 g, 0.1 mol) and, dropwise, a soln. of cyanogen bromide (10.6 g, 0.1 mol) in 100 ml THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (25:10) at room temp. After stirring for 1 h the magnesium oxide was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated *in vacuo* to about 1/10 of the original volume. The crude 3 crystallized from the filtrate. 5 was collected on a sintered glass filter, successively washed twice with MeOH and Et<sub>2</sub>O and dried over CaCl<sub>2</sub> (yield 89%). 5 could be used without further purification for the preparation of 6. A sample of 5 was recrystallized from MeOH. M.p. 133–133.5°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 7.6–7.1 (m, 4H, Ar), δ 4.60 (dd, *J* = 5.5

and 11 Hz, N-CH-), δ 3.81 and 3.68 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), δ 3.7–1.4 (m, 12H, CH<sub>2</sub>E, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 171.6 and 170.6 (s, C=O), δ 132.2 (s), 131.0 (s), 129.2 (d), 128.9 (d), 128.3 (d) and 126.6 (d) (Ar), δ 120.0 (s, C=N), δ 73.0 and 72.1 (s, -C-Br and -C-C=N),

866.1 (d, N-CH-), 858.3 [s, C(E)(CH<sub>2</sub>E)], 852.6 and 51.9 (q, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 848.8 (t, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 840.6 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E-), 832.2, 28.4, 28.1 and 27.3 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). IR (KBr): 1742 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). MS: M<sup>+</sup> 446.087, Calc. 446.084, (Found: C, 56.20, H, 5.21; N, 6.10. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(447.33): C, 56.41; H, 5.15; N, 6.26%).

**7-Carboxy-7a,8,9,10-tetrahydro-7H-benzo[g]pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole-7-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 6**

Silica gel (60 g) was added to a soln of **5** (6.0 g, 13 mmol) in 150 ml CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The resulting slurry was stirred for 20–24 h at room temp. The silica gel was filtered off on a sintered glass filter and washed five times with EtOAc. The filtrate was dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub> and subsequently concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil solidified upon the addition of a small amount of MeOH. Purification by trituration with MeOH afforded the pure **6** in 30–40% yield. M.p. 148–150°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 88.2–7.95 (m, 1H, Ar), 87.9–7.7 (m, 1H, Ar), 87.5–7.2 (m, 4H, Ar), 84.94 (dd, J = 5 and 11 Hz, N-CH-), 83.74 and 3.68 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 83.76 and 2.84 (AB-q, J = 18 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 82.3–1.2 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). <sup>13</sup>C NMR 8174.0 and 171.9 (s, C=O), 8149.9 (s), 135.3 (s), 128.3 (d), 125.7 (d), 125.2 (s), 124.3 (d), 123.9 (d), 122.5 (s), 121.3 (d) and 120.2 (d) (Ar), 872.3 (d, N-CH-), 854.5 [s, C(E)CH<sub>2</sub>E], 853.9, 52.7 and 51.9 (OCH<sub>3</sub> and N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 839.1 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 827.0 and 26.4 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). IR (KBr): 1721 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). MS: M<sup>+</sup> 339.148, Calc. 339.147. (Found: C, 70.53; H, 6.31; N, 4.01. Calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (339.395): C, 70.78; H, 6.24; N, 4.13%).

**2-(3-Bromopropyl)-3-carboxy-1-cyanobenz[g]indoline-3-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 8a**

To a soln of **6** (1.0 g, 2.9 mmol) in 100 ml THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (25:10) was added magnesium oxide (0.4 g, 10 mmol) and cyanogen bromide (2.0 g, 19 mmol) at room temp. The reaction mixture was heated at 40° for 24 h. After filtration of the magnesium oxide, 100 ml H<sub>2</sub>O was added. The product was isolated by extraction (3–4 times) with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined extracts were washed with a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution, dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub> and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil solidified upon the addition of a few drops of MeOH. Purification by trituration with MeOH gave pure **8a** in 75% yield. M.p. 133–134°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 88.5–8.3 (m, 1H, Ar), 87.9–7.3 (m, 5H, Ar), 85.07 (dd, J = 5.5 and 8 Hz, N-CH-), 83.75 and 3.73 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 83.6–3.4 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>Br), 82.82 (part of AB-q, J = 18 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 82.4–2.0 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 82.0–1.6 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 8171.8 and 171.0 (s, C=O), 8135.5 (s), 134.7 (s), 128.6 (d), 127.0 (d), 126.0 (d), 125.2 (s), 122.0 (s), 121.2 (d) and 120.6 (d) (Ar), 8113.9 (s, C=N), 870.3 (d, N-CH-), 856.9 [s, C(E)CH<sub>2</sub>E], 853.3 and 52.4 (q, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 836.3 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 832.8 and 29.0 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>Br and -CH<sub>2</sub>-). IR (KBr): 2218 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡N), 1735 (sh) and 1726 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). MS: M<sup>+</sup> 444.072, Calc. 444.069. (Found: C, 56.63; H, 4.78; N, 6.32. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>21</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (445.32): C, 56.64; H, 4.75; N, 6.29%).

**3-Carboxy-1-cyano-2-(3-hydroxypropyl)benz[g]indoline-3-acetic acid, dimethyl ester, acetate (ester) 8b**

To a soln of 18-crown-6 (42 mg, 0.16 mmol) in 12 ml CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added dry potassium acetate (0.3 g, 3 mmol) under N<sub>2</sub> at room temp. After stirring for 30 min, **8a** (0.60 g, 1.3 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 3.5 h. After cooling to room temp. 50 ml CHCl<sub>3</sub> was added. The organic layer was washed with water, dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub> and passed through a short path of silica gel in order to remove the 18-crown-6. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo* the resulting oil solidified upon the addition of a few drops of MeOH. Purification by trituration with diisopropyl ether and recrystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/light petroleum (60/80) afforded pure **8b** in 86% yield. M.p. 119–120.5°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 88.5–8.3 (m, 1H, Ar), 88.0–7.25 (m, 5H, Ar), 85.06 (dd, J = 6 and 8 Hz, N-CH-), 84.25–4.0 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>-OAc), 83.74 (s, 6H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 83.59 and 2.80 (AB-q, J = 18 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 82.03 (s, 3H, H<sub>3</sub>C-C=O), 82.2–1.5 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 8171.8,

171.1 and 170.7 (s, C=O), 8135.6 (s), 134.7 (s), 128.6 (d), 127.0 (d), 125.9 (d), 125.3 (s), 122.0 (s), 121.2 (d) and 120.7 (d) (Ar),

8113.9 (s, C=N), 870.7 (d, N-CH-), 863.5 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>-OAc), 856.9 [s, C(E)CH<sub>2</sub>E], 853.3 and 52.3 (q, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 836.2 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 827.1 and 25.4 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 822.7 (q, H<sub>3</sub>C-C=O). IR (KBr): 2220 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=N), 1734 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). MS: M<sup>+</sup> 424.164, Calc. 424.163. (Found: C, 65.14; H, 5.78; N, 6.54. Calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub> (424.46): C, 65.08; H, 5.70; N, 6.60%).

**3-Carboxy-1-cyano-2-(3-hydroxypropyl)benz[g]indoline-3-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 8c**

To a soln of sodium carbonate (2 g) in 90 ml of a 1:1:1 mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O, MeOH and THF **8b** (1.0 g, 2.4 mmol) was added. After stirring for 3.5 h at room temp the reaction was complete. Most of the MeOH and THF were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, washed with water and dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil which solidified upon the addition of a few drops of Et<sub>2</sub>O. Purification by trituration with diisopropyl ether and recrystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/light petroleum (60/80) gave pure **8c** in 72% yield. M.p. 126.5–128°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 88.5–8.3 (m, 1H, Ar), 87.9–7.3

(m, 5H, Ar), 85.05 (dd, J = 5.5 and 8 Hz, N-CH-), 83.8–3.5 (m, 2H, HO-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 83.74 (s, 6H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 83.58 and 2.84 (AB-q, J = 18 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 82.3–1.5 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 8172.0 and 171.2 (s, C=O), 8135.6 (s), 134.7 (s), 128.6 (d), 126.9 (d), 125.8 (d), 125.4 (s), 122.0 (s), 121.2 (d) and 120.7 (d) (Ar), 8114.1 (s, C=N), 870.8 (d, N-CH-), 861.8 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 856.9 [s, C(E)CH<sub>2</sub>E], 853.2 and 52.3 (q, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 836.2 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 829.1 and 26.8 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). IR (KBr): 3480 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH), 2222 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡N), 1729 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O). MS: M<sup>+</sup> 382.153, Calc. 382.153. (Found: C, 65.67; H, 5.89; N, 7.21. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>(382.42): C, 65.96; H, 5.80; N, 7.33%).

**3-Carboxy-1-cyano-2-(2-formylethyl)benz[g]indoline-3-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 8d**

To a suspension of pyridinium chlorochromate (0.27 g, 1.3 mmol) in 3 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, a soln. of **8c** (0.30 g, 0.8 mmol) in 3 ml CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was rapidly added under N<sub>2</sub> at room temp. After stirring for 3 h the black reaction mixture was passed through a short florisil column with CHCl<sub>3</sub>:EtOAc 1:1 as the eluent. The solvents were evaporated *in vacuo* and the residue was treated with a small amount of diisopropyl ether to give a solid product **8d**. Trituration with diisopropyl ether and recrystallization from Et<sub>2</sub>O afforded pure **8d** in 80% yield. M.p. 134–135°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 89.87 (s, 1H, H-C=O), 88.4–8.2 (m, 1H, Ar), 87.9–7.3 (m, 5H, Ar), 85.06 (dd, J = 6 and 8 Hz, N-CH-), 83.76 and 3.74 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 83.64 and 2.82 (AB-q, J = 18 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 83.1–2.8 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 82.1–1.8 (m, 2H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 8199.5 (s, H-C=O), 8171.7 and 171.0 (s, C=O), 8135.3 (s), 134.7 (s), 128.6 (d), 127.0 (d), 126.1 (d), 125.3 (s), 122.1 (s), 121.1 (d) and 120.6 (d) (Ar), 8113.9 (s, C=N), 870.5 (d, N-CH-), 857.1 s, [C(E)CH<sub>2</sub>E], 853.3 and 52.3 (q, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 840.4 (t, H<sub>2</sub>C-CH=O), 836.2 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E), 822.8 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>-). IR (KBr): 2220 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C≡N), 1735 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O, esters). MS: M<sup>+</sup> 380.137, Calc. 380.137. (Found: C, 66.10; H, 5.53; N, 7.20. Calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (380.405): C, 66.31; H, 5.30; N, 7.36%).

**7-Carboxy-7a,8,9,10-tetrahydro-4-(trifluoroacetyl)-7H-benzo[g]pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole-7-acetic acid, dimethyl ester 11**

A suspension of **6** (0.50 g, 1.5 mmol) and 10 ml trifluoroacetic anhydride was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> for 2 h. After evaporation of most of the trifluoroacetic anhydride *in vacuo*, the residue, dissolved in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, was passed through a short column of silica gel. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> was removed and the resulting yellow solid recrystallized from Et<sub>2</sub>O/light petroleum (40/60) affording pure **11** in 78% yield. M.p. 152.5–153.5°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR: 89.26 (dd, J<sub>ortho</sub> = 8 Hz, J<sub>meta</sub> = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Ar), 88.2–7.3 (m, 4H, Ar), 85.10 (dd,

J = 5 and 12 Hz, N-CH-), 84.3–3.9 (m, 1H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 83.8–3.3 (m, 1H, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-), 83.75 and 3.73 (s, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 83.66 and 2.85

†The other part is hidden under the OCH<sub>3</sub>- and CH<sub>2</sub>Br- signals.

(AB-q,  $J = 18$  Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>E),  $\delta$ 2.4–1.7 (m, 3H),  $\delta$ 1.7–1.0 (m, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 178.7 (q, O=C-CF<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ 172.8 and 171.4 (s, C=O),  $\delta$ 156.1 (s), 135.4 (s), 130.2 (d), 126.6 (d), 125.2 (d), 124.7 (d), 121.7 (s), 121.5 (s) and 115.4 (s) (Ar),  $\delta$ 117.3 (q,  $J = 288$  Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ 73.1 (d, N-CH-),  $\delta$ 52.9 [s, C(E)CH<sub>2</sub>E],  $\delta$ 53.0 and 52.1 (q, OCH<sub>3</sub>),  $\delta$ 51.6 (t, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-),  $\delta$ 39.3 (t, CH<sub>2</sub>E),  $\delta$ 26.4 (m, 2x-CH<sub>2</sub>-). IR (KBr): 1748 and 1730 (sh) cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O, esters), 1662 cm<sup>-1</sup> (O=C-CF<sub>3</sub>). MS:  $M^+$  435.128, Calc. 435.129. (Found: C, 60.73; H, 4.61; N, 3.18. Calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>(435.40): C, 60.69; H, 4.63; N, 3.22%).

9, 10-Dihydro-7-methyl-8H-benzo[g]pyrrolo[1,2-a]indole 13.

To a soln of **6** (2.04 g, 6.0 mmol) in 12 ml quinoline was added dry acetic acid (6.12 ml, 108 mmol) under a slow stream of N<sub>2</sub>. The reaction mixture was heated at 200° for 40 h. Most of the quinoline was removed under reduced pressure. The residue, dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, was passed through a short column of florisil. After removal of the solvent the resulting solid was purified by trituration with light petroleum (60/80) affording **13** in 36% yield. A sample was recrystallized from ethanol *M.p.* 151.0–152.5°; <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$ 8.3–8.15 (m, 1H, Ar),  $\delta$ 8.0–7.8 (m, 1H, Ar),  $\delta$ 7.7–7.25 (m, 4H, Ar),  $\delta$ 4.50 (t,  $J = 7$  Hz, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-),  $\delta$ 3.1–2.5 (m, 4H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-),  $\delta$ 2.32 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR:  $\delta$ 139.8 (s), 129.9 (s), 128.6 (d), 124.7 (d), 122.4 (d), 120.0 (d), 119.1 (d), 118.9 (d), 102.3 (s) (sp<sup>2</sup>-carbon atoms),  $\delta$ 47.1 (t, N-CH<sub>2</sub>-),  $\delta$ 28.1 and 22.4 (t, -CH<sub>2</sub>-),  $\delta$ 9.0 (q, CH<sub>3</sub>). MS:  $M^+$  221.120, Calc. 221.120. (Found: C, 86.67; H, 6.92; N, 6.26. Calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N (221.30): C, 86.84; H, 6.83; N, 6.33%).

*Acknowledgement*—We are grateful for the financial support of this work by the "Koningin Wilhelmina Fonds". The authors wish to express their gratitude to Miss J.M. Visser and Miss J.L.M. Vrieling for recording the NMR spectra and to Mr. T.W. Stevens for recording the mass spectra.

#### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup>D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Geevers, W. P. Trompenaars, S. Harkema and G. J. van Hummel, *J. Org. Chem.* **46**, 424 (1981).

<sup>2</sup>J. Geevers, G. W. Visser, W. P. Trompenaars, D. N. Reinhoudt, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **98**, 251 (1979).

<sup>3</sup>W. Verboom, G. W. Visser, W. P. Trompenaars, D. N. Reinhoudt, S. Harkema and G. J. van Hummel, *Tetrahedron* **37**, 3525 (1981).

<sup>4</sup>For a review see: W. Szybalski and V. N. Iyer, *Antibiotics I* (Edited by D. Gottlieb and P. D. Shaw), p. 211. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1967).

<sup>5</sup>For a review see: K. Takahashi and T. Kametani, *Heterocycles* **13**, 411 (1979).

<sup>6</sup>F. Nakatsubo, T. Fukuyama, A. J. Cocuzza and Y. Kishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **99**, 8115 (1977); T. Fukuyama, F. Nakatsubo, A. J. Cocuzza and Y. Kishi, *Tetrahedron Letters* 4295 (1977); Y. Kishi, *J. Nat. Prod.* **42**, 549 (1979).

<sup>7</sup>T. Kametani, K. Takahashi, M. Ihara and K. Fukumoto, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I* 1847 (1979).

<sup>8</sup>H. A. Hageman, *Org. Reactions* **7**, 198 (1953).

<sup>9</sup>J. D. Albright and L. Goldman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **91**, 4317 (1969).

<sup>10</sup>H. Rönsch, *J. prakt. Chem.* **314**, 382 (1972).

<sup>11</sup>A. G. Cook, *Enamines, Synthesis, Structure and Reactions* (Edited by A. G. Cook), p. 155 and 400–401. Marcel Dekker, New York (1969).

<sup>12</sup>D. N. Reinhoudt, W. P. Trompenaars and J. Geevers, *Synthesis* 368 (1978).

<sup>13</sup>C. L. Liotta, H. P. Harris, M. McDermott, T. Gonzalez and K. Smith, *Tetrahedron Letters* 2417 (1974).

<sup>14</sup>E. J. Corey and J. W. Suggs, *Ibid* 2647 (1975).

<sup>15</sup>For several examples see: R. E. A. Dear, *Intra-Sci. Chem. Rep.* **5**, 37 (1971); R. K. Mackie, S. Mhatre and J. M. Tedder, *J. Fluorine Chem.* **10**, 437 (1977); L. J. Mathias and C. G. Overberger, *J. Org. Chem.* **45**, 1701 (1980) and references cited therein.

<sup>16</sup>G. Aranda and M. Fétizon, *Synthesis* 330 (1975).

<sup>17</sup>A. S. Bailey, P. W. Scott and M. H. Vandrevale, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I* 97 (1980).

<sup>18</sup>P. A. Bartlett and W. S. Johnson, *Tetrahedron Letters* 4459 (1970).