
Defining relations for Lie algebras of vector fields

by N. van den Hijligenberg and G. Post

Faculteit Toegepaste Wiskunde, Universiteit Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, the Netherlands

Communicated by Prof. P.J. Zandbergen at the meeting of March 25, 1991

ABSTRACT

We calculated defining relations of the graded nilpotent positive part of Lie algebras of vector fields. These calculations suggest that for \mathcal{W}_n , \mathcal{S}_n and \mathcal{K}_n (n sufficiently large) there are only trivial relations, i.e. relations of degree 2. For \mathcal{H}_n however, we prove that there are non-trivial relations of degree 3, which form a standard module.

1. SURVEY OF RESULTS

In this paper we discuss some results concerning defining relations for classical Lie algebras of vector fields, i.e. for \mathcal{W}_n , \mathcal{S}_n , \mathcal{H}_n and \mathcal{K}_n . These algebras are described in section 2. Let \mathcal{X} denote one of these algebras. Then \mathcal{X} has a \mathbb{Z} -grading such that $\mathcal{X} = \bigoplus_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{X}^{(m)}$, where $\mathcal{X}^{(m)}$ denotes the subspace of homogeneous elements of degree m . Let $n_+(\mathcal{X})$ denote the subalgebra $\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{X}^{(m)}$. We computed a minimal set of defining relations for $n_+(\mathcal{X})$, which are naturally described by $H_2(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$, see section 3. These relations are graded: let $r_m(\mathcal{X})$ denote the number of defining relations for $n_+(\mathcal{X})$ of degree m , that is $r_m(\mathcal{X}) = \dim H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$. Obviously $r_m(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for $m \leq 1$. The relations of degree 2 are called trivial.

Combining known results and our computations, we found the following for $r_m(\mathcal{X})$ (see tables). We refer to section 4 for more details. In the tables, + means $r_m(\mathcal{X}) \geq 1$, 0 means $r_m(\mathcal{X}) = 0$, - means not known; $m \geq 5$.

m	0	0
4	0	0
3	+	0
2	+	+
	\mathcal{W}_2	\mathcal{W}_n

m	0	-	-
4	+	-	-
3	+	0	-
2	+	+	+
	\mathcal{S}_2	\mathcal{S}_3	\mathcal{S}_n

m	0	-	-	-
4	+	0	0	-
3	+	+	+	+
2	+	+	+	+
	\mathcal{H}_1	\mathcal{H}_2	\mathcal{H}_3	\mathcal{H}_n

m	-	-	-
4	0	-	-
3	+	0	-
2	+	+	+
	\mathcal{K}_1	\mathcal{K}_2	\mathcal{K}_n

Let us make some remarks on these results. First we remark that we left out \mathcal{W}_1 and (the isomorphic) \mathcal{K}_0 . This because \mathcal{W}_1 is special in the following sense: $n_+(\mathcal{W}_1)$ is *not* generated by the elements of degree 1; all others are. Because of this, it seems, $H_2(n_+(\mathcal{W}_1))$ has a special structure. It is well known (see [2]) that $r_m(\mathcal{W}_1) = 0$ ($m \neq 5, 7$) and $r_5(\mathcal{W}_1) = r_7(\mathcal{W}_1) = 1$. This means that there are no relations of degree 2, whereas in all other algebras there are.

Let \mathcal{X}_n be one of the tabulated algebras. We believe that the following convexity hypothesis is true:

$$\text{if } r_m(\mathcal{X}_n) = 0, \text{ then also } r_{m+1}(\mathcal{X}_n) = 0 \text{ and } r_m(\mathcal{X}_{n+1}) = 0.$$

This hypothesis is known to be true for \mathcal{W}_n . In fact, according to [2] $r_m(\mathcal{W}_n) = 0$ for $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$. Under the convexity hypothesis, - in the table means $r_m(\mathcal{X}_n) = 0$. For $r_3(\mathcal{H}_n)$ we can not conclude anything from the calculated results. With the help of Y. Kotchekov, we proved that $r_3(\mathcal{H}_n) \geq 2n$, $n \geq 2$. For the proof and explicit representatives, see section 5. This is contrary to what one would a priori expect.

2. THE ALGEBRAS \mathcal{W}_n , \mathcal{S}_n , \mathcal{H}_n AND \mathcal{K}_n

2.1. The algebras \mathcal{W}_n

We define \mathcal{W}_n to be the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of polynomial vector fields, i.e. $f \in \mathcal{W}_n$ can be uniquely written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, \mathbb{K} a field of characteristic 0. As is well known, the Lie algebra structure is given by

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left\{ f_j \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} - g_j \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

The elements $x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n} (\partial/\partial x_i)$ with $\sum m_i = m+1$ are a basis for the subspace $\mathcal{W}_n^{(m)}$. This defines a \mathbb{Z} -grading on \mathcal{W}_n . The subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_n^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $gl(n)$, an isomorphism being

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \rightarrow e_{i,j} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

2.2. The algebras \mathcal{S}_n

The Lie algebra \mathcal{S}_n is the subalgebra of \mathcal{W}_n consisting of vector fields $\sum f_i (\partial/\partial x_i)$ with 0 divergence, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} = 0.$$

Since the divergence operator $\sum_{i=1}^n \partial/\partial x_i$ is an homogeneous operator of degree 0, \mathcal{S}_n inherits the \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathcal{W}_n . The subalgebra $\mathcal{S}_n^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $sl(n)$.

2.3. The algebras \mathcal{H}_n

We consider \mathcal{W}_{2n} , and denote x_i (resp. x_{i+n}) by p_i (resp. q_i), $i=1 \cdots n$. The elements in \mathcal{W}_{2n} that annihilate the 2-form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n dp_i \wedge dq_i$ are called Hamiltonian; these elements form a subalgebra of \mathcal{W}_{2n} , denoted by \mathcal{H}_n . Since α can be seen as an operator of degree 2, \mathcal{H}_n inherits the \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathcal{W}_{2n} .

There is an equivalent description of \mathcal{H}_n , which is more practical for us, namely as Poisson algebra modulo center. In this description, $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$ is a polynomial in $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n$ with coefficients in \mathbb{K} and of degree ≥ 1 . The Lie algebra structure is given by:

$$[f, g] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \right) \text{ mod } \mathbb{K}.1.$$

The isomorphism that relates these two descriptions is given by:

$$f \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right).$$

From this isomorphism, one sees that in the second description, the homogeneous space $\mathcal{H}_n^{(m)}$ consists of polynomials of degree $m+2$. In particular $\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$ consists of the polynomials with only quadratic terms; as Lie algebra it is isomorphic to $sp(2n)$. Let C_n be the abstract Lie algebra, which is isomorphic to $sp(2n)$. It has Chevalley generators e_i and f_i , which can be taken as follows:

$$e_i = p_i q_{i+1}, \quad i = 1 \cdots n-1, \quad e_n = \frac{1}{2} p_n^2$$

and for f_i , the same with p and q interchanged for $i \leq n-1$ and $f_n = -\frac{1}{2} q_n^2$.

2.4. The algebras \mathcal{K}_n

To describe \mathcal{K}_n we consider \mathcal{W}_{2n+1} , and denote x_i by p_i for $i=1 \cdots n$, x_{i+n} by q_i for $i=1 \cdots n$, and x_{2n+1} by z . The elements that send the 1-form $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i dq_i - q_i dp_i) + dz$ into a $\mathbb{K}[p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, z]$ -multiple of α are called contact vector fields and constitute a subalgebra, which we denote by \mathcal{K}_n . Now α is not homogeneous; hence \mathcal{K}_n does not inherit the \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathcal{W}_{2n+1} . This can be solved by giving z degree 2 instead of 1. This is what is done in the following description, which relates \mathcal{K}_n to the Poisson algebra described in subsection 2.3. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n, z]$. The Lie algebra structure is given by

$$[f, g] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} + q_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} - 2g \right) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} + q_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} - 2f \right).$$

The isomorphism that relates the descriptions above is given by:

$$f \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right) - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(p_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} + q_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \right) - 2f \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

A \mathbb{Z} -grading in this description can be obtained by giving p_i and q_i degree 1 and z degree 2. Thus the homogeneous space $\mathcal{X}_n^{(m)}$ consists of polynomials with terms $\prod_{i=1}^n (p_i^{m_i} q_i^{n_i}) z^k$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n (m_i + n_i) + 2k = m + 2$. From this one sees that $\mathcal{X}_n^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $sp(2n) \times \mathbb{C}$, the polynomial z being a central element in $\mathcal{X}_n^{(0)}$.

3. RELATIONS AND HOMOLOGY

3.1. Relations and homology for nilpotent Lie algebras

Let \mathcal{X} be one of the algebras $\mathcal{W}_n, \mathcal{S}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) or $\mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{X}_n$ ($n \geq 1$). Then \mathcal{X} has a \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathcal{X}^{(m)}$ as described in section 2. Define $n_+(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{X}^{(m)}$. Let $C_q(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ denote the q -forms (or q -chains), and $\partial : C_q(n_+(\mathcal{X})) \rightarrow C_{q-1}(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ the boundary operator. As usual let $H_q(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ denote the corresponding homology. $C_q(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ and $H_q(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ inherit the grading of $n_+(\mathcal{X})$: hence we have

$$H_q(n_+(\mathcal{X})) = \bigoplus_{m \geq q} H_q^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{X})).$$

The spaces $H_1(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ and $H_2(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ have the following interpretation (see [2], p. 335): a basis in $H_1(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ yields a minimal set of generators of $n_+(\mathcal{X})$ and a basis of $H_2(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ is a minimal set of defining relations of $n_+(\mathcal{X})$. One can prove in our case that $H_1^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{X})) = 0$ for $m \neq 1$, i.e. that $n_+(\mathcal{X})$ is generated by $\mathcal{X}^{(1)}$. Since we are interested in defining relations, we need to compute $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ ($m = 2, 3, \dots$). Here the case $m = 2$ is trivial in the following sense: since $C_3^{(2)}(n_+(\mathcal{X})) = 0$, we have $H_2^{(2)}(n_+(\mathcal{X})) = \ker(\partial|_{\Lambda^2(\mathcal{X}^{(1)})})$. Note that

$$\partial|_{\Lambda^2(\mathcal{X}^{(1)})} (\sum a_i x_i \wedge y_i) = \sum a_i [x_i, y_i] \quad (x_i, y_i \in \mathcal{X}^{(1)}).$$

Hence $H_2^{(2)}(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ is easily described, and $\dim(H_2^{(2)}(n_+(\mathcal{X})))$ can easily be computed: $\partial : \Lambda^2(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{(2)}$ is surjective in our cases, hence

$$\dim(H_2^{(2)}(n_+(\mathcal{X}))) = \frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}) \cdot (\dim(\mathcal{X}^{(1)}) - 1) - \dim(\mathcal{X}^{(2)}).$$

The study of $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{X}))$ ($m \geq 3$) is less trivial, but is simplified by the following observation

$$H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{X})) \text{ is a module over } \mathcal{X}^{(0)}$$

the action being the summed componentwise action. We study $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}))$ as a module over a suitable subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$. Let us exemplify how this helps the computation. Suppose we choose a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ isomorphic to $sl(2) = \langle e, f, h \rangle$. Since $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}))$ is finite-dimensional, it is completely reducible over $sl(2)$. As is well-known, (see i.e. [7]) the number of irreducible components is equal to $\dim(V_1) + \dim(V_0)$, where V_j is the space $\{x \in H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{A})) \mid h \cdot x = j \cdot x\}$. Hence to find all homology $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}))$, we only need to consider homology of weight 0 and 1. This fact is exploited in the sections 4 and 6.

3.2. Connection with the original algebra

As said before, any algebra of vector fields \mathcal{A} has a decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{m \geq -1} \mathcal{A}^{(m)}$. In this paper, we mainly consider $n_+(\mathcal{A})$. This, however, is not a severe restriction. In fact, if we know the defining relations for $n_+(\mathcal{A})$, one can easily write down a set of defining relations for \mathcal{A} . Namely, $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ is a finite-dimensional subalgebra of $gl(n)$, and $\mathcal{A}^{(-1)}$ is submodule in \mathbb{K}^n . Therefore one can find (non-canonical) generators for these parts, and find a (non-canonical) set of defining relations. Since $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{(-1)}$ is finite-dimensional, these sets are finite. To define all commutators in \mathcal{A} it is sufficient to fix the commutators of the generators of $\mathcal{A}^{(0)} \oplus \mathcal{A}^{(-1)}$ with the generators of $n_+(\mathcal{A})$. We remark that this strategy of finding defining relations is similar to the case of finite-dimensional semi-simple Lie algebras or Kac-Moody algebras (Chevalley generators). Probably, the main difference is that we do not take the maximal nilpotent subalgebra, but the maximal *graded* nilpotent subalgebra. This has great technical advantages.

4. THE COMPUTER RESULTS

Here we describe the results which we found by symbolic computations using REDUCE (see [3], [4]).

4.1. The algebras \mathcal{W}_n

Thanks to Fuks, we know that for $n \geq 3$, $n_+(\mathcal{W}_n)$ has only trivial defining relations; moreover for $n=2$ there are 25 relations, distributed as follows: $r_2(\mathcal{W}_2) = 7$ ($= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 6 \cdot 5 - 8$) and $r_3(\mathcal{W}_2) = 18$. See [2], Appendix. For completeness, we describe $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{W}_2))$ as $sl(2)$ -module. It has 4 irreducible components: 2 of highest weight 1, 1 of highest weight 5 and 1 of highest weight 7. The highest weight vectors, denoted by v_1, v'_1, v_5 and v_7 can be chosen to be:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 = & x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1 x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ & + x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1 x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\ & - x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v'_1 &= x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1 x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1 x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - 2x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\
&\quad + 2x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - x_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
v_5 &= 2x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + 3x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^2 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_1 x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
v_7 &= x_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \wedge x_1^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.2. The algebras \mathcal{P}_n

We can be brief about this case: \mathcal{P}_2 is isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_1 , and we refer to subsection 4.3 and section 6 for a complete description. Further we calculated $r_3(\mathcal{P}_3)$, and it turned out to be 0. Assuming the convexity hypothesis, \mathcal{P}_n ($n \geq 3$) has only trivial relations.

4.3. The algebras \mathcal{H}_n

This case turned out to be the most interesting case. The algebra $\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$ consists of polynomials in $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_n$ with terms of degree 2 only. One easily determines n mutually commuting subalgebras $sl(2)_i$ isomorphic to $sl(2)$, namely $sl(2)_i = \langle \frac{1}{2}p_i^2, -\frac{1}{2}q_i^2, -p_i q_i \rangle$. Hence we can restrict our search for homology $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ to the elements with weight 0 or 1 with respect to all $sl(2)_i$. We denote these weights as a vector: by the weight (j_1, \dots, j_n) we mean weight j_i with respect to $sl(2)_i$. Let $r_m(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ denote the dimension of the subspace of $H_2^{(m)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ of weight (j_1, \dots, j_n) . Due to the symmetry of \mathcal{H}_n it is clear that $r_m(j_1, \dots, j_n) = r_m(j_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(n)})$ for $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)$. Hence to find out whether $r_m(\mathcal{H}_n) = 0$, we only need to compute $r_m(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ with $1 \geq j_1 \geq j_2 \geq \dots \geq j_n \geq 0$. Moreover, one easily checks that the parity of m and $\sum_i j_i$ is the same. All this reduces our problem considerably. For example to conclude that $r_4(\mathcal{H}_3) = 0$, we only need to compute that $r_4(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and $r_4(1, 1, 0) = 0$.

Using this technique, combining it with the $\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$ -action, we computed $r_m(\mathcal{H}_1)$, ($m = 3, 4, \dots, 8$), $r_m(\mathcal{H}_2)$, ($m = 3, 4$), $r_m(\mathcal{H}_3)$, ($m = 3, 4$) and $r_3(\mathcal{H}_4)$. The results are tabulated below.

m	0	-	-	-
4	3	0	0	-
3	10	4	6	8
	\mathcal{H}_1	\mathcal{H}_2	\mathcal{H}_3	\mathcal{H}_4

Under the convexity hypothesis, the places with - are also 0. The case $r_m(\mathcal{H}_1) = 0$, for $m \geq 9$ is described in section 6. For $m \geq 5$, we also found that $r_m(\mathcal{H}_1) = 0$. Moreover it turned out that $r_3(\mathcal{H}_1) = 10$, and $r_4(\mathcal{H}_1) = 3$. Considered as $sl(2)$ -module, $H_2^{(4)}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ is irreducible with highest weight vector w_2 , and $H_2^{(3)}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ has two irreducible components with highest weights 1 and 7; we denote the highest weight vectors by v_1 and w_7 , respectively. We give them below.

The cases \mathcal{H}_n , for $n \geq 2$ seem quite similar in result: $r_m(\mathcal{H}_n) = 0$, $m \geq 4$ and $r_3(\mathcal{H}_n) = 2n$. We investigated the $\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$ -module structure of $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$, $n = 2, 3, 4$. Reminding that $\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $sp(2n)$, see subsection 2.3, it is clear that it has a standard $2n$ -dimensional representation. It turned out that $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$, $n = 2, 3, 4$ is isomorphic to the standard module \mathbb{K}^{2n} . This result suggests that $r_3(\mathcal{H}_n) = 2n$ for $n \geq 2$. We prove that $r_3(\mathcal{H}_n) \geq 2n$ in the next section. The highest weight vector of $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_2))$, denoted by v_2 , is given below.

$$\begin{aligned}
w_2 &= 3p_1^2q_1^2 \wedge p_1^3q_1 - p_1q_1^3 \wedge p_1^4 \\
w_7 &= p_1^3 \wedge p_1^4 \\
v_1 &= -q_1^3 \wedge p_1^4 + 3p_1q_1^2 \wedge p_1^3q_1 - 3p_1^2q_1 \wedge p_1^2q_1^2 + p_1^3 \wedge p_1q_1^3 \\
v_2 &= p_1^3 \wedge p_1q_1^3 - 3p_1^2q_1 \wedge p_1^2q_1^2 + 3p_1q_1^2 \wedge p_1^3q_1 - q_1^3 \wedge p_1^4 + 3p_1^2p_2 \wedge p_1q_1^2q_2 \\
&\quad - 6p_1q_1p_2 \wedge p_1^2q_1q_2 + 3q_1^2p_2 \wedge p_1^3q_2 + 3p_1p_2^2 \wedge p_1q_1q_2^2 - 3q_1p_2^2 \wedge p_1^2q_2^2 \\
&\quad + p_2^3 \wedge p_1q_2^3 - 3p_1^2q_2 \wedge p_1q_1^2p_2 + 6p_1q_1q_2 \wedge p_1^2q_1p_2 - 3q_1^2q_2 \wedge p_1^3p_2 \\
&\quad - 6p_1p_2q_2 \wedge p_1q_1p_2q_2 + 6q_1p_2q_2 \wedge p_1^2p_2q_2 - 3p_2^2q_2 \wedge p_1p_2q_2^2 \\
&\quad + 3p_1q_2^2 \wedge p_1q_1p_2^2 - 3q_1q_2^2 \wedge p_1^2p_2^2 + 3p_2q_2^2 \wedge p_1p_2^2q_2 - q_2^3 \wedge p_1p_2^3.
\end{aligned}$$

4.4. The algebras \mathcal{K}_n

For the algebras \mathcal{K}_n , we found that $r_3(\mathcal{K}_2) = 0$ and $r_4(\mathcal{K}_1) = 0$. Hence, from the convexity hypothesis, we conclude that there are only non-trivial relations for \mathcal{K}_1 at degree 3. As $sp(2) \times \mathbb{K}$ (or $sl(2)$)-module, it has 5 irreducible submodules: 2 of highest weight 1, and 1 of highest weight 3, 5 and 7. As highest weight vectors, we can take respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 &= p_1z \wedge z^2 \\
v'_1 &= p_1^3 \wedge q_1^2z - 2p_1^2q_1 \wedge p_1q_1z + p_1q_1^2 \wedge p_1^2z \\
v_3 &= 2p_1z \wedge p_1^2z + p_1^3 \wedge z^2 \\
v_5 &= p_1z \wedge p_1^4 + 2p_1^3 \wedge p_1^2z \\
v_7 &= p_1^3 \wedge p_1^4.
\end{aligned}$$

5. RELATIONS OF DEGREE 3 OF \mathcal{H}_n

In this section, we will describe natural representatives for $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ and $H_3^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$. Moreover we prove that these are indeed representatives for the homology and cohomology and form a standard representation of $sp(2n)$, see subsection 5.3.

In some of the proofs presented in this section, we use that the following actions induce automorphisms on \mathcal{H}_n of degree zero:

$$p_i \leftrightarrow p_j, q_i \leftrightarrow q_j \quad \text{and} \quad p_i \rightarrow q_i, q_i \rightarrow -p_i.$$

These morphisms reduce the cases to be investigated considerably.

5.1. $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}_n))$

The representatives of $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}_n))$ are most easily described in the following way. Consider the formal product

$$c = \left(\sum_1^n (p_i \wedge q_i - q_i \wedge p_i) \right)^3 \cdot (1 \wedge p_1)$$

in $C_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}_n))$ of weight $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. The product \cdot is defined as:

$$(a \wedge b) \cdot (c \wedge d) = (ac) \wedge (bd).$$

In the cases $n=1$ and $n=2$ we obtain for c the elements v_1 and v_2 of subsection 4.3.

We state that c is a cycle. This can be seen in the following way: $\partial(c) \in C_1^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{A}_n))$ is a linear combination of the basiselements $(i, j \neq 1, i \neq j)$:

$$p_1^3 q_1^2 \quad p_1^2 q_1 p_i q_i \quad p_1 q_i^2 p_i^2 \quad p_1 q_i p_i q_j p_j.$$

For each of these elements we write out from which terms in c they arise. These elements, x , are listed in the first column below. In the second column, the coefficient of the considered basiselement in $\partial(x)$ is given. Their sum is 0. Here we consider only the basiselement $p_1^3 q_1^2$. The other cases can be handled similarly, see [5].

$q_1^3 \wedge p_1^4$	$-1 \cdot -12 = 12$	}	due to p_1, q_1 action
$q_1^2 p_1 \wedge q_1 p_1^3$	$3 \cdot (1 - 6) = -15$		
$q_1 p_1^2 \wedge q_1^2 p_1^2$	$-3 \cdot (4 - 2) = -6$		
$p_1^3 \wedge q_1^3 p_1$	$1 \cdot 9 = 9$		
$q_1^2 q_i \wedge p_i p_1^3$	$-3 \cdot -1 = 3$	}	due to p_i, q_i action
$q_1 q_i p_1 \wedge q_1 p_i p_1^2$	$6 \cdot -1 = -6$		
$q_i p_1^2 \wedge q_1^2 p_i p_1$	$-3 \cdot -1 = 3$		

Thus we can prove that c is a cycle. On account of the morphisms given before, it is obvious that

$$\left(\sum_1^n (p_i \wedge q_i - q_i \wedge p_i) \right)^3 \cdot (1 \wedge p_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\sum_1^n (p_i \wedge q_i - q_i \wedge p_i) \right)^3 \cdot (1 \wedge q_j)$$

are cycles for all j ($1 \leq j \leq n$). So we have constructed $2n$ linearly independent cycles.

5.2. The non-triviality of the cycles

In order to prove that the given cycle c is non-trivial, it is sufficient to show that for a certain cocycle c^* holds $\langle c^* | c \rangle \neq 0$. For if $c = \partial(b)$, then

$$\langle c^* | c \rangle = \langle c^* | \partial(b) \rangle = \langle d(c^*) | b \rangle = 0.$$

We construct c^* in the following way: write out c :

$$c = \sum_i c_i f_i \wedge g_i, \quad \text{where } f_i = p^{\alpha^i} q^{\beta^i} \quad \text{and} \quad g_i = p^{\gamma^i} q^{\delta^i}$$

where α^i , β^i , γ^i and δ^i are multi-indices of length n with $|\alpha^i| + |\beta^i| = 3$ and $|\gamma^i| + |\delta^i| = 4$. Then c^* is given by

$$c^* = \sum_i c_i^* f_i^* \wedge g_i^* \quad \text{with } c_i^* = (-1)^{|\beta^i|} \gamma^i! \delta^i!$$

Then clearly $\langle c^* | c \rangle = \sum c_i^* \cdot c_i > 0$.

To prove that c^* is a cocycle, we study the terms that can arise in $d(c^*) \in C_{(3)}^3(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ of weight $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. In these terms, the total power of p 's and q 's equals 9, so there can be maximal 5 different p 's and q 's. From this observation and the morphisms given at the beginning of this section, we conclude:

LEMMA 5.1. *If c^* is a cocycle in $C_{(3)}^2(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ for $n \leq 5$, then it is a cocycle for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

With help of the computer, we have checked that c^* is a cocycle for $n \leq 4$. (The space $C_{(3)}^3(n_+(\mathcal{H}_5))$ of weight $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is 4237-dimensional!) The only difference between the case $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ is the existence of terms $q_i q_j q_k \wedge q_l p_i p_j \wedge p_k p_l p_1$. These terms can arise from:

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} q_l p_i p_j \wedge q_i q_j p_l p_1 & -1 \cdot -1 = 1 \\ q_i q_j q_k \wedge p_i p_j p_k p_1 & -1 \cdot 1 = -1 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{due to } p_k, q_k\text{-action} \\ \text{due to } p_l, q_l\text{-action.} \end{array}$$

Obviously the same reasoning holds for all c 's ($2n$) given in the previous subsection and thus we have proven that the space $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ is at least $2n$ -dimensional.

5.3. The space $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ as $sp(2n)$ -representation

In section 2.3 we described that $\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $sp(2n)$. From this it follows that we can view $H_2^{(3)}(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$ as an $sp(2n)$ -representation.

THEOREM 5.2. *The subspace generated by*

$$c_p^1, \dots, c_p^n, c_q^1, \dots, c_q^n, \quad c_y^i = c^3 \cdot (1 \wedge y_i), \quad c = \sum (p_j \wedge q_j - q_j \wedge p_j)$$

is an irreducible module, isomorphic to the standard representation of $sp(2n)$.

PROOF. If v_i denotes the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n} , then the standard $sp(2n)$ -representation can be schematically given by:

$$v_{n+1} \xrightarrow{e_1} v_{n+2} \xrightarrow{e_2} \dots \xrightarrow{e_{n-1}} v_{2n} \xrightarrow{e_n} v_n \xrightarrow{e_{n-1}} v_{n-1} \dots \xrightarrow{e_1} v_1.$$

We shall show that $v_i \rightarrow (-1)^{i+1} c_p^i$, ($1 \leq i \leq n$) and $v_i \rightarrow (-1)^{n+1} c_q^{i-n}$, ($n+1 \leq i \leq 2n$) defines an isomorphism of representations.

We remember the Leibniz-rule in \mathcal{H}_n :

$$\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\}.$$

From this we find for the action $*$ of \mathcal{H}_n on $C_2(n_+(\mathcal{H}_n))$

$$f*((g_1 \wedge g_2) \cdot (h_1 \wedge h_2)) = (f*(g_1 \wedge g_2)) \cdot (h_1 \wedge h_2) + (g_1 \wedge g_2) \cdot f*(h_1 \wedge h_2)$$

and hence for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$

$$f*c_y^i = f*(c^3 \cdot (1 \wedge y_i)) = 3c^2 \cdot (f*c) \cdot (1 \wedge y_i) + c^3 \cdot (1 \wedge \{f, y_i\}).$$

LEMMA 5.3. $e_i * c = f_i * c = 0$.

PROOF.

$$e_i * \sum (p_j \wedge q_j - q_j \wedge p_j) = -p_i \wedge q_{i+1} + p_i \wedge q_{i+1} + q_{i+1} \wedge p_i - q_{i+1} \wedge p_i = 0$$

$$(1 \leq i < n).$$

Similar for e_n and f_i .

From this lemma we conclude that $e_j * c_y^i = c^3 \cdot (1 \wedge \{e_j, y_i\})$ and so a direct calculation verifies the isomorphism given above. This proves the theorem.

6. FINITE-DIMENSIONALITY OF $H_2(n_+(\mathcal{H}_1))$

In this section, we will prove that $r_m(\mathcal{H}_1) = 0$ for $m \geq 9$. Once the proof is written down, one notices that a similar reasoning works for a class of subalgebras as well. The simplest subalgebra of this class is the set of even polynomials, which is of interest in connection with $sl(\lambda)$, see [1].

6.1. The class \mathfrak{g}_k

We consider the following class \mathfrak{g}_k of subalgebras of $n_+(\mathcal{H}_1)$: let $\mathfrak{g}_k^{(m)}$ be the span of elements of degree $m \cdot k$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\mathfrak{g}_k = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_k^{(m)} = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_1^{(mk)}.$$

We have that $\mathfrak{g}_1 = n_+(\mathcal{H}_1)$. As degree on \mathfrak{g}_k we take the old degree divided by k , and call it k -degree. We denote the ideal spanned by all elements of k -degree ≥ 2 by \mathfrak{h}_k .

It is obvious that \mathfrak{g}_k is a module over $\mathcal{H}_1^{(0)} \simeq sl(2)$. Note that the k -degree and the weight determine the basiselements of \mathfrak{g}_k up to a factor. We will denote the element $p_1^i q_1^j$ of k -degree m and weight α by $\{m; \alpha\}$; hence $m = (i + j - 2)/k$, and $\alpha = i - j$. We will use the following lemma

LEMMA 6.1. *Let $x = \{m; \alpha\} \in \mathfrak{h}_k \subset \mathfrak{g}_k$.*

1. *Then there exists an element g of k -degree 1 and a $y = \{m-1; \beta\}$ such that*

$$\partial(g \wedge y) = g \cdot y = [g, \{m-1; \beta\}] = \{m; \alpha\}.$$

2. *Suppose $-k(m-1) - 2 \leq \beta \leq k(m-1)$ and $-2k \leq \alpha - \beta \leq 2k + 2$. Then either $\partial(\{1; \alpha - \beta\} \wedge \{m-1; \beta\}) = cx$ or $\partial(\{1; \alpha - \beta - 2\} \wedge \{m-1; \beta + 2\}) = cx$, with $c \neq 0$.*

In particular, \mathfrak{g}_k is generated by $\mathfrak{g}_k^{(1)}$. Using $[\{m; \alpha\}, \{l; \beta\}] = 0$ iff $\alpha(l+2) = \beta(m+2)$, this lemma is easily proved.

6.2. The main theorem; sketch of the proof

Our purpose is to prove that \mathfrak{g}_k has only finitely many defining relations. In fact we get a bound on the k -degree of the minimal set of defining relations as follows:

THEOREM 6.2. *For \mathfrak{g}_k , defined above, we have*

$$H_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq 9.$$

We prove this theorem using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see [6] or [2]) with respect to the ideal $\mathfrak{h}_k \subset \mathfrak{g}_k$. From now on, we will write \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} instead of \mathfrak{g}_k and \mathfrak{h}_k .

The second term in the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence, E^2 , consists of 3 parts:

$$E_{2,0}^2 = H_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_0(\mathfrak{h})), \quad E_{1,1}^2 = H_1^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_1(\mathfrak{h})), \quad E_{0,2}^2 = H_0^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_2(\mathfrak{h})).$$

Obviously, we have $E_{2,0}^2 = H_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) = 0$ for $m \geq 3$. Further, since $H_1(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{g}^{(2)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{(3)}$, we have $E_{1,1}^2 = H_1^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_1(\mathfrak{h})) = 0$ for $m \geq 5$. The most difficult part is $E_{0,2}^2 = H_0^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_2(\mathfrak{h}))$. We can write this term in the following way:

$$E_{0,2}^2 = H_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{h})/\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \cdot H_2^{(m-1)}(\mathfrak{h}) = (Z_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{h})/B_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \cdot Z_2^{(m-1)}(\mathfrak{h}))$$

where $Z_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{h})$ stands for the space of cycles of k -degree m , and $B_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{h})$ for the boundaries of k -degree m . In the second simplification, we have used that $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \cdot B_2^{(m-1)}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset B_2^{(m)}(\mathfrak{h})$.

The space $H_0^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_2(\mathfrak{h}))$ can be considered as an $sl(2)$ -module, see section 3. Hence we know that the homology $H_0^{(m)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$ occurs in strings, in which weight 0 (for $k \cdot m$ even) or weight 1 (for $k \cdot m$ odd) certainly is present. Therefore we can restrict our attention to weight 0 and 1. We denote these spaces by $H_0^{(m,0)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_2(\mathfrak{h}))$ and $H_0^{(m,1)}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}; H_2(\mathfrak{h}))$, respectively. Thus in order to prove that $E_{2,0}^2 = 0$, it is sufficient to show that these spaces are 0. We do this by showing that

$$Z_2^{(m,i)}(\mathfrak{h}) = \sum_j \mathfrak{g}^{(1,j)} \cdot Z_2^{(m-1,i-j)}(\mathfrak{h}) \quad \text{for } i = m \cdot k \bmod 2.$$

We proved this by a case by case check. We refer to [5] for the proof.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank D. Leites for many discussions on this subject and posing this problem and to Y. Kotchetkov for finding the beautiful structure in the representatives of $H_2(n_1(\mathcal{H}_n))$.

REFERENCES

1. Feigin, B.L. – The Lie algebras $gl(\lambda)$ and cohomologies of Lie algebras of differential operators', *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **43**, no. 2, p. 157 (1988).
2. Fuks, D.B. – *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Plenum, New York (1986).
3. Hearn, A.C. – *Reduce User's Manual Version 3.2*, Rand Corp., Santa Monica (1985).
4. Hijligenberg, N.W. van den and G.F. Post – Calculation of Lie algebra cohomology by computer, Memorandum 833, University of Twente, The Netherlands (1989).
5. Hijligenberg, N.W. van den and G.F. Post – Defining relations for Lie algebras of vector fields, Memorandum, University of Twente, The Netherlands (1991).
6. Hochschild, G. and J-P. Serre – Cohomology of Lie algebras, *Annals of Mathematics* **57**, p. 591 (1953).
7. Humphreys, J.E. – *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer, New York (1972).