Vapour permeation for the recovery of organic solvents from waste air streams: separation capacities and process optimization
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Abstract

Vapour permeation is a potentially suitable technology for the recovery of organic solvents from waste air streams. New solvent stable capillary membrane modules that are currently emerging on the market provide large membrane areas for an acceptable price and enhance the competitiveness of this process. Most membranes used in vapour permeation are silicone coated composites. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) provides good separation capabilities and is highly permeable. The permeabilities for solvents and permanent gases show an inverse temperature dependence due to the different enthalpies of sorption and diffusion. Selectivities of silicone coated composite membranes are lower than that of pure PDMS but still high enough to enable a high degree of enrichment. The investigation of systems with two solvent components in air shows that selectivities and permeabilities are only slightly lower than in the case when only one solvent component is present. Coupling effects like preferential sorption are, therefore, not very strong.

The experimental results have been used as basis for an economical process optimization. Comparison with other waste air cleaning technologies shows that in the range of medium to high solvent concentrations and low to medium feed volume fluxes vapour permeation can be an economical alternative to the conventional processes.
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1. Introduction

In 1986 emissions of organic solvents into the air in Germany amounted to more than 950 000 t [1]. With regard to more strict environmental regulations there is an urgent need for efficient processes to reduce these emissions. On the other hand organic solvents are often valuable compounds and recovery of these substances is desirable in order to recycle them. Vapour permeation is a suitable recycling technology because during the recovery process the solvents are not subjected to high temperatures or changed in their chemical structure [2–8].

A schematic process flow sheet of a vapour recovery system utilizing semipermeable membranes is shown in Fig. 1.

Solvent contaminated air is fed to the membrane module and a solvent enriched air stream can be recovered as permeate. The retentate is a solvent depleted air. Typically the process is performed at nearly atmospheric pressure on the feed side and a vacuum of less than 200 mbar on the permeate side, since in this case no energy consuming compression of the feed and no installation of a feed side compressor are necessary.
Feed side compression is favourable only in case higher concentrated air streams have to be processed. Until recently two main difficulties hindered a wider application of the process.

- The solvent stability of existing membranes was not sufficient or these membranes were only available in the form of flat sheets. In order to treat large low concentrated air streams it is necessary to install higher membrane areas. Therefore, it is mandatory to have a low cost membrane module with high packing density such as hollow fibre or capillary systems. These types of modules which provide large membrane areas at a reasonable price are currently emerging on the market.
- Furthermore, separation capacities, the optimal operating parameters and the area of economical application are still unknown for many applications.

2. Experimental

2.1. Determination of membrane vapour permeability

Most membranes used in vapour permeation are silicone coated composite membranes. Thin films (50–80 μm) from pure polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; Wacker Chemie, Dehesive 920) were investigated with respect to their sorption, diffusion and permeation behaviour for organic solvents and permanent gases (Fig. 2).

A pure solvent vapour or a pure permanent gas is fed into a stirred membrane cell that is kept in an oil bath to guarantee isothermal conditions. Values for the permeability were obtained by two different methods:

According to the first method the mean permeability \( \bar{P} \) in the pressure interval between \( p^F \) and \( p^P \) can be determined from the observed pressure drop in the feed side chamber:

\[
\bar{P} = \frac{-\frac{\Delta p^F}{V^F} \delta^M}{\left(\frac{p^F - p^P}{A^M}\right)T^0} \frac{T}{p^F T^*}
\]
Table 1
Specification of investigated membrane modules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of fibres</td>
<td>100–160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External diameter</td>
<td>0.9–1.2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal diameter</td>
<td>0.7–1.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane length</td>
<td>250 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane area</td>
<td>700–950 cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂ permeability</td>
<td>0.1–0.7 m³/(STP)/m² h bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Experimental set-up for module tests.

Table 2
Monitored operating parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>Feed side: 1 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Permeate side: 10–200 mbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>20–95°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems</td>
<td>One solvent component in air (toluene–air, ethyl acetate–air)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two solvent components in air (toluene–ethyl acetate–air)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>1000–10000 ppm (ca. 4–40 g/m³(STP))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here $V^F$ denotes the volume of the feed compartment, i.e. the stirred cell, $δ^M$ the film thickness, $A^M$ the membrane area, $T$ the temperature, $P^F$ and $P^P$ the pressures on feed and permeate side. The index 0 specifies standard conditions. The permeability at a certain feed pressure $P(p^F)$ can be calculated from:

$$P(p^F) = \bar{P} + \frac{d\bar{P}}{dp^F}(p^F - p^0)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2a)}

If the permeate side is continuously evacuated ($p^P = 0$ mbar) it follows

$$P(p^F) = \bar{P} + \frac{d\bar{P}}{dp^F}(p^F - p^0)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(2b)}

The second method is based on the principle of pressure balance. After adjustment of a certain feed pressure the feed valve $V_2$ is closed and the permeate collector is also disconnected from the vacuum pump. Pressure decrease on the feed side and pressure increase on the permeate side should lead to the same mean permeability data. For an infinitely small pressure difference between feed and permeate the mean permeability approaches the permeability at a certain pressure

$$P(p^F) = \lim_{p^P \to 0} \bar{P}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(3)}

Values for the permeability are determined with very little flux and after a certain stabilization time. This is especially useful for a fast permeating component in order to compensate for dynamic effects, such as adsorption, pressure drops in the sintered membrane support, etc., or if the permeability is strongly concentration dependent. The results are permeabilities at discrete pressures.

2.2. Determination of membrane solvent sorption

Sorption data were obtained by a gravimetric method. A small polymer sample was exposed to a solvent laden air stream of constant solvent concentration and the solvent uptake was determined by measuring the weight increase as a function of time. Equilibrium sorption was assumed when constant weight was reached.

2.3. Investigation of capillary modules

It was not clear whether permeabilities and ideal selectivities in pure silicone rubber derived from single component experiments could be used for the permeation of mixtures through composite membranes. Therefore, experimental studies with capillary membranes (PDMS on a substructure of polyether imide, PEI; the inside of the fibre is subjected with feed) have been performed. The membrane modules which consist of an exchangeable membrane cartridge installed in a stainless steel shell were supplied by GKSS, Forschungszentrum Geesthacht (Germany).
The characteristics of the modules are listed in Table 1.

The experimental set-up and the analyzed operating parameters are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. One component sorption, diffusion and permeation behaviour of PDMS

The permeation behaviour of toluene and nitrogen in polydimethylsiloxane as a function of temperature and pressure is shown in Fig. 4. The data were obtained using the arrangement shown in Fig. 2.

The permeability for toluene decreases with increasing temperature whereas it increases for nitrogen. Accordingly, the ideal toluene–nitrogen selectivity decreases from a value of 800 at a temperature of 30°C to 50 at 140°C. At lower temperatures the permeability for the solvent increases rapidly with concentration, whereas for nitrogen the permeability is concentration independent at all temperatures. But even at higher temperatures silicone is much more permeable for toluene than for permanent gases such as oxygen or nitrogen.

The effect of temperature becomes even more clear if permeabilities are plotted in form of an Arrhenius diagram, as shown in Fig. 5.

The temperature dependence approximately follows the Arrhenius relationship. The different behaviour of solvents and permanent gases can be explained with the assumption of a solution–diffusion membrane transport mechanism and based on the temperature dependence of diffusivity $D$ and sorption coefficient $K$ according to an Arrhenius relationship:

$$P = D \cdot K$$

$$= D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_d}{RT}\right) \cdot K_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta h_s}{RT}\right)$$

$$= D_0 \cdot K_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(E_d + \Delta h_s)}{RT}\right)$$

where $P$ is the permeability, $D$ the diffusion coefficient, $K$ the sorption or partition coefficient, $R$ the universal gas constant and $T$ the temperature. $E_d$ refers to the activation energy for a diffusion step and $\Delta h_s$ to the absorption enthalpy. $D_0$ and $K_0$ can be interpreted as diffusion or sorption coefficients at very high temperatures. For toluene diffusion is a slightly endothermal process ($E_d > 0$), but absorption is strongly exothermic ($\Delta h_s < 0$). Therefore, $E_d + \Delta h_s$ is negative, i.e. the permeation is an exothermal process and the permeability must decrease with increasing temperature. The diffusion of nitrogen is also endothermal ($E_d > 0$), but the absorption enthalpy is very low ($\Delta h_s \approx 0$). Therefore, $E_d + \Delta h_s$ is positive and thus the nitrogen permeability increases with increasing temperature.

The increasing permeability of solvents at lower temperature with increasing concentration can be understood from sorption measurements (Fig. 6).

For low to medium degrees of saturation the sorption is proportional to the applied vapour pressure, i.e. it can be described by Henry’s law. Only at higher satu-
ration the toluene sorption increases more than propor-
tional with the vapour pressure.

Therefore, it can be derived from Eq. (4) that the
increase in permeability with increasing partial solvent
pressure as shown in Fig. 4 is due to an increase in
diffusivity resulting from the high degree of polymer
swelling.

\[ \text{Eq. (4)} \]

3.2. Solvent recovery with capillary modules

Fig. 7 shows enrichment factors and membrane
fluxes for systems with one solvent component in air,
i.e. toluene–air or ethyl acetate–air, and for a stage-cut
of approximately zero, that means no solvent depletion
occurred on the feed side. The investigations were per-
formed with a capillary module specified in Table 1.

Due to the higher selectivity and permeability of
PDMS for toluene, toluene can be higher enriched than
ethyl acetate. The enrichment is always higher for
lower temperatures which is apparent from the temper-
ature dependence of the ideal selectivity as shown in
Fig. 5. A good vacuum on the feed side leads with
simple crossflow system design to satisfactory solvent
purity. It seems surprising that although the permea-
bility decreases with increasing temperature the highest
solvent flux is achieved at elevated temperatures. This
is due to the fact that the lower permeability at elevated
temperatures is overcompensated by the increasing par-
tial pressure difference between feed and permeate side
due to the lower permeate concentration. The lower
permeate concentration results from the lower selectiv-
ity at elevated temperatures.
For systems with two solvent components in air (toluene–ethyl acetate–air) solvent enrichment and solvent fluxes are very similar to the case when only one solvent component is present. Coupling effects like preferential sorption are therefore not very strong. This result contradicts at first sight the pressure or swelling dependent PDMS permeability but can be understood if the influence of the substructure is taken into account, since the resistance of the microporous substructure limits the solvent flux as shown later (Fig. 8) [9].

Typical results for the solvent enrichment on the permeate side, the solvent depletion on the feed side and the solvent fluxes for a stage-cut ≠ 0 are shown in Fig. 9. Since the capillary membranes were relatively short (25 cm) and their diameter comparatively large (1 mm) only minor pressure drops occurred in the module. Therefore, the results are plotted over the ratio of feed standard volume flux and membrane area. Generally any degree of depletion can be achieved. Enrichment factors in the order of 20 are achievable for reasonable degrees of solvent depletion at permeate pressures of approximately 25 mbar.

3.3. Optimal operating conditions and process costs

The adjustment of operating parameters and installed membrane area may lead to any desired degree of depletion. The optimal values can be determined from a cost estimation and optimization. The calculations are based on experimental results with laboratory equipment and are upscaled to a feed flux of 1000 m³(STP)/h. The installed membrane area per module is 30 m² and operation (3000 h/year) is performed in a single stage and in countercurrent flow.

As an example costs are calculated for a feed volume flux of 1000 m³(STP)/h with 5000 ppm toluene in air, a process temperature of $T = 25°C$, a permeate pressure of 30 mbar in a solvent recovery rate of 70% and con-
Fig. 8. Comparison of enrichment factors of toluene and ethyl acetate at 25°C for systems with one solvent component in air (a, 5000 ppm toluene in air, 5000 ppm ethyl acetate in air) and systems with two solvent components in air (b, 2800 ppm toluene and 2800 ppm ethyl acetate, air); stage-cut = 0.

Based on the results shown in Fig. 9, the annual cost varies as a function of the different operating parameters as shown in Fig. 10. A depletion of 70%, for example, can be achieved either by a higher pressure on the permeate side and the installation of a large membrane area or by treating the feed at a low permeate pressure with a relatively small membrane area. A reduction of the permeate pressure leads to higher fluxes but requires the installation of a higher vacuum pump capacity. All iso-depletion lines in Fig. 10 show increasing costs with increasing permeate pressure. Therefore, the optimal permeate side operating pressure is in the range of 30 mbar, which is the lowest pressure that can be achieved with liquid ring pumps, if a feed concentration of 2000 to 10 000 ppm is treated and degrees of solvent depletion of ≤ 90% are anticipated. A further decrease of permeate side pressure leads to increasing costs because in this case much more expensive Roots pumps must be installed. The results obtained for the solvent toluene are also valid for other solvents with similar vapour pressures. The permeability of PDMS for other solvents is in most cases lower than the permeability for toluene. But since the resistance of the composite membrane can be interpreted as a serial arrangement of the resistances of the selective layer and the substructure and since the substructure resistance is in many cases dominating, the overall composite membrane selectivity and permeability for other solvents is only slightly lower than for toluene [9]. As a consequence optimal operation for a chosen depletion leads as a side effect to the highest degree of enrichment and the installation of smallest membrane areas. Optimal operation is also the most energy efficient operation as it is indicated in Fig. 10, because for constant depletion the energy consumption per kg of recovered solvent is approximately a contour line in Fig. 10.

The design of vapour recovery units operating in a single stage should be based on the following selection of operating parameters:
1. Choose a permeate pressure of 30 mbar.
2. Choose the desired degree of depletion or choose alternatively an enrichment factor.
3. Take from diagrams such as Fig. 9, the specific flux and calculate the necessary membrane area.
4. Choose liquid ring vacuum pumps and operate them at low temperature (≈ 3°C).

In a so designed membrane solvent recovery plant vacuum pumps contribute 50% and membrane modules and measurement/control-units each approximately a quarter to the investment costs. Costs for energy affect a third and depreciation of membranes and the depreciation of other equipment each approximately a quarter of the annual costs. But it is worth noting that the membrane costs also influence capital costs and cost of maintenance, so that cheaper membranes significantly
improve the competitiveness of the process. The same applies for profits from the recovered solvent (Fig. 11).

Comparison with costs of other air cleaning processes such as condensation, adsorption, absorption, catalytic and thermal combustion [10] shows that in the range of medium solvent concentrations (above several g/m³(STP)) and low to medium feed volume fluxes (up to several thousand m³(STP)/h) vapour permeation can be an economical alternative to the conventional processes, providing:
Table 3
Costs of a solvent recovery membrane system based on selective vapour permeation membrane, \( n \) is the number of modules to be installed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis of calculation</th>
<th>Investment for 1000 m(^3)/h, recovery 70%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment costs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piping, fittings, valves, flame barriers</td>
<td>1500 DM ( n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement and control instruments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure transducer</td>
<td>500 DM ( n )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas analyser (FID)</td>
<td>30 000 DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multichannel recorder</td>
<td>10 000 DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>control panel, etc.</td>
<td>5000 DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed side blower</td>
<td>10 000 DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum pumps (liquid ring pumps, incl. explosion-protection, on-site cooling available)</td>
<td>(80\ \text{DM/m}^3\cdot\text{h} \cdot \gamma_{\text{permeate}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membranes</td>
<td>250 DM/m(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surcharges:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projection, transport, assembling, start of operation</td>
<td>10% of plant costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures to be paid by the client</td>
<td>4% of plant costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total investment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis of calculation</th>
<th>Annual cost for 1000 m(^3)/h, recovery 70%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual operating costs:</strong> (p.a. = per annum)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of equipment</td>
<td>10% of investment without membranes p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation of membranes</td>
<td>33% of membranes p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital costs</td>
<td>10% of half investment p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fixed costs (costs of space, insurance, etc.)</td>
<td>2.5% of investment p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable costs:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric energy</td>
<td>0.15 DM/kW h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–feed side blower</td>
<td>10 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–vacuum pumps</td>
<td>40 kW/(1000 m(^3)/h permeate volume flux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>1% of investment/1000 h operating time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without profits from recovered solvent:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual costs:</strong></td>
<td>103 080 DM p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air cleaning costs:</td>
<td>0.034 DM/m(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent recovery costs:</td>
<td>2.39 DM/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With profits from recovered solvent:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profits from recovered solvent</td>
<td>1 DM/kg recovered solvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual costs:</strong></td>
<td>6 030 DM p.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air cleaning costs:</td>
<td>0.020 DM/m(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent recovery costs:</td>
<td>1.39 DM/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 11. (a) Contribution of different system components to the investment cost. (b) Contribution of different factors to annual cost in the case study.

1. Direct recycling of the solvents is possible (profits from recovered solvent).
2. Incomplete solvent depletion (≤ 70%) can be tolerated.