

A New Martingale Approach to Kalman Filtering

ARUNABHA BAGCHI

Department of Applied Mathematics, Twente University of Technology, Enschede, Postbus 217, The Netherlands

Communicated by Karl-Johan Åström

ABSTRACT

A new derivation of continuous-time Kalman Filter equations is presented. The underlying idea has been previously used to derive the smoothing equations. A unified approach to filtering and smoothing problems has thus been achieved.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently many rigorous derivations of continuous-time Kalman Filter equations have been obtained [1, 2, 3]. The most general nonlinear problem has been studied in [3] but its specialization to the linear case obscures the simplicity of the linear problem. An elegant proof in the linear case has been proposed in [1] which exploits a result on the estimation of one martingale from another. As pointed out in [4], the "state martingale" used in [1] does not yield the smoothing equations and a different martingale has, therefore, been proposed. This paper shows that the same martingale can be used to derive the filtering equations also, thus unifying the martingale technique initiated in [1] to derive both the filtering and smoothing equations.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Let us consider the linear stochastic equations (continuous version)

$$x(t; \omega) = \int_0^t A(\sigma) x(\sigma; \omega) d\sigma + \int_0^t B(\sigma) dW(\sigma; \omega) \quad (2 \cdot 1)$$

$$y(t; \omega) = \int_0^t C(\sigma) x(\sigma; \omega) d\sigma + \int_0^t D(\sigma) dW(\sigma; \omega) \quad (2 \cdot 2)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$ where $x(t; \omega)$ and $y(t; \omega)$ take values in n - and m -dimensional Euclidean spaces R^n and R^m , respectively, $W(t; \omega)$ is a p -dimensional Wiener process, and $A(t)$, $B(t)$, $C(t)$, and $D(t)$ are appropriate dimensional matrix-valued functions. Assume that these coefficient functions are all continuous and $D(t)D(t)^* > 0$ on the interval $[0, T]$ of interest, where $*$ stands for the transpose.

Let $\beta(s)$ be the smallest σ -algebra generated by the process $y(\sigma; \omega)$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq s$ completed with respect to sets of measure 0 and $\beta(s-)$ the smallest σ -algebra generated by the process $y(\sigma; \omega)$, $0 \leq \sigma < s$ completed with respect to sets of measure 0. Then since $y(t; \omega)$ is continuous in t with probability one, $\beta(s) = \beta(s-)$.

Let $\hat{x}(t | s) = E[x(t) | \beta(s)]$. Then it is well known [5, p. 44] that $\hat{x}(t) = \hat{x}(t | t)$ is the best minimum variance estimate of $x(t)$ based on the observation $y(\sigma; \omega)$, $0 \leq \sigma \leq t$ and is called the filtered estimate of $x(t)$. Since $\hat{x}(t | s)$ is a martingale in s for fixed t we have from [6, p. 121]

$$\hat{x}(t | s) = \int_0^s \gamma_{12}(\tau) dZ_0(\tau; \omega) \tag{2.3}$$

where $Z_0(t; \omega)$, the so-called innovation process, is defined as

$$Z_0(t; \omega) = y(t; \omega) - \int_0^t C(\sigma) \hat{x}(\sigma; \omega) d\sigma$$

and

$$\gamma_{12}(\tau) = P_{12}(\tau) P_{22}(\tau)^{-1}$$

where

$$P_{12}(\tau) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\hat{x}(t | \tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(t | \tau))(Z_0(\tau + \Delta) - Z_0(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] ,$$

$$P_{22}(\tau) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} dZ_0(\sigma; \omega))(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} dZ_0(\sigma; \omega))^* | \beta(\tau)] .$$

3. FILTERING EQUATIONS

Let us consider (2.3) for $s < t$. From [6, p. 127], for any $\tau > 0$

$$P_{22}(\tau) = D(\tau)D(\tau)^*$$

while for $\tau < t$

$$P_{12}(\tau) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\hat{x}(t | \tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(t | \tau))(Z_0(\tau + \Delta) - Z_0(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)]$$

where τ and $\tau + \Delta$ are both less than t . Now

$$x(t) = \Phi(t, \tau + \Delta) x(\tau + \Delta) + \int_{\tau + \Delta}^t \Phi(t, \sigma) dW(\sigma)$$

where $\Phi(t, \tau)$ is the fundamental matrix of dimension $n \times n$ satisfying

$$\frac{d\Phi(t, \tau)}{dt} = A(t) \Phi(t, \tau) \quad \Phi(\tau, \tau) = I.$$

Hence

$$E[x(t) | \beta(\tau + \Delta)] = \Phi(t, \tau + \Delta) \hat{x}(\tau + \Delta)$$

and

$$E[x(t) | \beta(\tau)] = \Phi(t, \tau) \hat{x}(\tau).$$

With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{12}(\tau) &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\Phi(t, \tau + \Delta) \hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) \\ &\quad - \Phi(t, \tau) \hat{x}(\tau))(Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] \\ &= \Phi(t, \tau) \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\Phi(\tau, \tau + \Delta) \hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(\tau))(Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] \\ &= \Phi(t, \tau) \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E \left\{ (\Phi(\tau, \tau + \Delta) - I) \hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) + \hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(\tau) \right\} \\ &\quad \left\{ Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau) \right\}^* | \beta(\tau) \} \\ &= \Phi(t, \tau) \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\tau, \tau + \Delta) - I}{\Delta} E [\hat{x}(\tau + \Delta)(Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] \\ &\quad + \Phi(t, \tau) \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(\tau))(Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] \\ &= \Phi(t, \tau) \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E [(\hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(\tau))(Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\tau, \tau + \Delta) - I}{\Delta} = -A(\tau)$$

exists and

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} E [\hat{x}(\tau + \Delta)(Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | \beta(\tau)] = 0$$

in L_1 sense.

Let us define the error $e(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) &= x(\tau + \Delta) - e(\tau + \Delta) \\ &= \int_0^{\tau + \Delta} A(\sigma)x(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^{\tau + \Delta} B(\sigma) dW(\sigma) - e(\tau + \Delta)\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\hat{x}(\tau + \Delta) - \hat{x}(\tau) = e(\tau) - e(\tau + \Delta) + \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} A(\sigma)x(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} B(\sigma) dW(\sigma).$$

Let $P(t; \tau) = E[e(t; \omega) e(\tau; \omega)^*]$. Then

$$P_{12}(\tau) = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta} E[(e(\tau) - e(\tau + \Delta) +$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} A(\sigma)x(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} B(\sigma) dW(\sigma)) \times (Z_o(\tau + \Delta) - Z_o(\tau))^* | B(\tau)] .$$

Now for any $\Delta > 0$, $e(\tau + \Delta; \omega)$ is uncorrelated with $y(\sigma; \omega)$, $\sigma \leq \tau + \Delta$ and hence with $Z_o(\sigma; \omega)$, $\sigma \leq \tau + \Delta$. It is also uncorrelated with (and hence independent of) the random variables generating $\beta(\tau)$. Hence

$$E(e(\tau + \Delta; \omega)(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} dZ_o(\sigma; \omega))^* | \beta(\tau)) = 0.$$

Furthermore, we have the following [6, p. 129]:

$$E(e(\tau)(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} dZ_o(\sigma; \omega))^* | \beta(\tau)) = \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} P(\tau, \sigma) C(\sigma)^* d\sigma,$$

$$|E((\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} A(\sigma)x(\sigma) d\sigma)(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} dZ_o(\sigma; \omega))^* | \beta(\tau))| = O(\Delta^{3/2}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}E((\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} B(\sigma) dW(\sigma; \omega))(\int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} dZ_o(\sigma; \omega))^* | \beta(\tau)) &= \int_{\tau}^{\tau + \Delta} B(\sigma) D(\sigma)^* \\ &d\sigma + O(\Delta^{3/2}).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$P_{12}(\tau) = P(\tau) C(\tau)^* + B(\tau) D(\tau)^*$$

where

$$P(\tau) = P(\tau, \tau).$$

Thus we finally get, for $s < t$,

$$\hat{x}(t | s) = \int_0^s \Phi(t, \tau) [P(\tau) C(\tau)^* + B(\tau)D(\tau)^*] (D(\tau)D(\tau)^*)^{-1} dZ_0(\tau). \quad (3.1)$$

Now $\hat{x}(t | s)$ being a Martingale in s for fixed t , we have from Doob [7, Theorem 4.3, p. 355]

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t^-} x(t | s) = E [x(t) | \beta(t^-)] = E [x(t) | \beta(t)] = \hat{x}(t).$$

Hence taking limit in (3.1) as $s \rightarrow t^-$, we get

$$\hat{x}(t) = \int_0^t \Phi(t, \tau) [P(\tau) C(\tau)^* + B(\tau)D(\tau)^*] (D(\tau)D(\tau)^*)^{-1} dZ_0(\tau),$$

or, writing

$$K(t) = [P(t) C(t)^* + B(t)D(t)^*] (D(t) D(t)^*)^{-1},$$

$\hat{x}(t)$ is the solution of the stochastic integral equation

$$\hat{x}(t) = \int_0^t A \hat{x}(s) ds + \int_0^t K(s) [dy(s) - C(s)\hat{x}(s) ds] \quad (3 \cdot 2)$$

and $P(t)$, the error covariance matrix that appears in $K(t)$, satisfies the well-known matrix Ricatti equation [6, Corollary 2, p. 137]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} P(t) &= A(t) P(t) + P(t) A(t)^* + B(t) B(t)^* \\ &- [P(t)C(t)^* + B(t)D(t)^*] (D(t)D(t)^*)^{-1} [C(t)P(t) + D(t)B(t)^*] \quad (3 \cdot 3) \end{aligned}$$

with $P(0) = 0$.

4. CONCLUSION

A new derivation of linear recursive filtering equations is presented. This, with an earlier paper [4], enables us to give a unified rigorous approach to linear filtering and smoothing problems in continuous-time dynamical systems.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Balakrishnan, A Martingale approach to linear recursive state estimation, *SIAM J. Control* 10, No. 4, 754-756 (1972).
2. T. Kailath, A note on least squares estimations by the innovation method, *SIAM J. Control* 10, No. 3, 477-486 (1972).
3. M. Fujisaki, G. Kallianpur, and H. Kunita, Stochastic differential equations for the nonlinear filtering problem, *Osaka J. Math.* 9, No. 1, 19-40 (1972).
4. A. Bagchi, A Martingale approach to continuous time linear smoothing, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 10, No. 2, 276-281 (1975).
5. R. S. Bucy and P. D. Joseph, *Filtering for Stochastic Processes with Applications To Guidance*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1968.
6. A. V. Balakrishnan, *Stochastic Differential Systems 1*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, No. 84, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
7. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley, New York, 1953.

Received December, 1974