The headline of this article might look like a slogan for a cleaning product. You will be pleased to know that we are not trying to sell you anything, but the title does have a point: through our scientific interest in understanding coffee stains we have found a way to stop them forming in the first place. We are not talking here about the ring-like shape left behind by the bottom of a mug, but the smaller rings formed when individual puddles or droplets dry.

At first glance, stopping coffee stains from forming may seem unimportant – after all, they can be easily wiped up with a cloth. But the pattern they form when they dry is shared by other liquids, which get used in applications where the shape of the residue does matter.

Given the random nature of coffee-stain formation, residues form in different positions and with different shapes every time. For biologists and chemists who want to concentrate precious samples by evaporating droplets on a solid surface, crusty coffee-ring-like patterns ruin the efficiency and speed of their analyses. Often for these researchers, finding a bit of their sample that has a high signal-to-noise ratio is like searching for a needle in a haystack – they might use, for example, a laser with a tiny diameter to search a large area to find the most concentrated parts of the residue. Life would be easier for them if the sample dried as a small concentrated spot in one particular pre-assigned place: the researchers would then know exactly where to look and, moreover, would see a high signal for whatever parameter they are testing.

In striving to understand the underlying principles of coffee-stain formation, we have developed a way to counter the two key physical processes that cause the ring shape and can make droplets that instead leave behind a small, homogeneous dot. Moreover, our technique works not just for coffee but for any liquid with volatile and non-volatile components.

Non-volatile components studied using drying droplets are typically fragile molecules with a high molecular weight, which need to be treated gently to...
avoid damage. For biologists these include large biological molecules such as proteins, DNA and cancer markers; for chemists they include polymers and synthetic macromolecules such as the many-branched dendrimers, as well as interlocked molecules such as rotaxanes or catenanes. Being able to suppress the coffee-stain effect is also important in many printing techniques, including the production of printed electronic circuits where precision is key.

**A familiar ring**

We have all seen coffee stains, whether on a desk, coffee table or kitchen worktop. But only a few of us with a keen eye will have realized the characteristic feature of these solid residues: non-volatile components such as the suspended particles in coffee, red wine or ink always concentrate around the edge where the volatile solvent evaporates.

In 1997 Robert Deegan and co-workers at the University of Chicago, US, explained how nature creates this common feature of coffee stains. They pointed out that such stains are not only observed for a spilt coffee, but also for any evaporating drop of volatile solvent containing non-volatile solutes. Deegan and his colleagues explained that the formation of a coffee stain is caused by a combination of two factors: first, the fact that during the evaporation process the edge of the drop remains stuck where it meets the surface (what is known as contact-line pinning); and, second, that the evaporation rate increases towards the contact line. These two effects combine to give a net flow inside the drop that transports solute particles towards the edge of the drop, where they are trapped and get left behind as a solid residue (figure 1).

The coffee-stain phenomenon is more than just a nuisance – it is also a physical bottleneck for various industrial processes.
2 Suppressing ring-like stains

Using a technique called electrowetting, the coffee-ring effect can be suppressed so that the remaining residue is small, concentrated and homogeneous. The inset diagram shows the working principle of electrowetting: when the counter electrode is charged, the droplet acquires an opposite charge and it is attracted to the counter electrode, causing the droplet to flatten out in shape. Electrowetting involves repeatedly decreasing and increasing the voltage on the counter electrode. This causes the contact line to move, which averts the net edgward flow that usually occurs. Instead, eddies caused by the droplet’s movement bring particles back to the centre of the drop, rather than being left stranded at the edge as the liquid evaporates. Flow is shown in red.

however, even the smoothest surfaces are rough on a very small scale. It is on these little cracks and dents that the edges of raindrops get stuck, i.e. where their contact lines get pinned.

When the contact line of an evaporating drop gets pinned, the edge of the drop assumes a wedge-like shape. Liquid molecules close to the tip of the wedge can evaporate more easily than those in the middle of the drop because they have more space available to move into. In other words, a liquid molecule at the tip of the wedge sees fewer neighbouring liquid molecules compared with a molecule in the centre of the drop. This leads to the diverging evaporation rate mentioned above. The large amount of evaporating liquid at the edge of the drop gets replaced by liquid from the middle of the drop. It is this net flow of liquid that transports suspended particles towards the contact line via viscous forces, where they remain to form ring-like shapes once all the solvent has vanished.

Despite the fact that the Deegan scenario ignores many details, it describes the essential physics of the phenomenon. But almost 15 years after this pioneering work, some aspects of the process still remain unclear, such as the influence of interactions between the solid, the droplet surface and the particles, as well as interactions among the particles themselves. Many scientists are trying to unravel the remaining mysteries and to find ways to prevent the formation of ring-like coffee stains.

When stains are a pain

The coffee-stain phenomenon is more than just a nuisance. It is also a physical bottleneck for various industrial processes that involve non-volatile components dispersed in evaporating solvents. One example is inkjet printing, which nowadays uses highly complex inks and is used in ways that go far beyond conventional printing. For instance, electronic circuits as well as organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) can be produced at low cost by depositing solutions of conductive and light-emitting polymers, respectively. In such applications, a homogeneous distribution of the non-volatile component is essential for the resulting devices to achieve high performances. Heterogeneous patterns such as rings are highly undesirable in these cases.

Another application where coffee stains make the lives of our fellow scientists miserable is a widely used analytical technique called matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). This technique has a preparation stage (MALDI) and a detection stage (TOF-MS). In the MALDI stage the molecules to be detected, known as the analyte, need to be ionized. But since the molecules themselves are fragile and prone to damage, they must be treated gently. They are therefore first mixed with a salt solution, known as the “matrix”, and left to dry out. As it does so, the salt crystallizes, with the analyte located in and around the salt crystals. This crystallized residue is then subjected to a pulsed ultraviolet laser, energy from which is absorbed by the matrix, with just enough energy then transferred from the matrix to the molecules to ionize but not fracture them. This “soft ionization” technique is particularly suited to biomolecules such as DNA, proteins, peptides, sugars and large organic molecules such as polymers and other macromolecules.

The detection stage of this workhorse technique involves pinpointing the ionized molecules from their mass-to-charge ratio. The TOF-MS method uses the fact that a charged molecule, after being accelerated in an electric field, will always travel a certain distance in a vacuum with a journey time proportional to its mass-to-charge ratio. In other words, lighter molecules travel faster than larger molecules with the same charge. For each and every molecule this travelling time acts as a fingerprint, which can be used to identify the contents of a sample.

The coffee-stain phenomenon enters the picture when the sample dries out. When the solvent

At a Glance: Coffee-stain effect

- When a droplet of liquid dries on a surface, the volatile solvent (e.g. water) evaporates leaving the non-volatile solute (e.g. coffee grounds) behind in a ring shape
- The ring-shaped deposit forms because the droplet’s edge is “pinned” to the surface and solute molecules flow to the edge from the interior of the droplet
- Applying a varying voltage between the droplet and its substrate in a technique called electrowetting can effectively suppress this “coffee-stain effect”
- Evaporated droplets can then form small, concentrated, homogeneous spots instead of large, diffuse, heterogeneous rings
- This change allows a revolution in a workhorse molecular-analysis method at the heart of the life sciences, and also in circuit printing
evaporates, the matrix and the molecules of interest migrate to the edge of the residue, creating a non-uniform distribution. So when the laser is shone onto the sample and the molecules are detected, there are “hot spots” where the signal is abundant and “cold spots” where the signal is scarce. But finding the hotspots is a meticulous task. It can be done in one of two ways, neither of which is particularly fast. The first is to look for hot spots manually by remotely manipulating the tens-of-microns-wide laser spot using a robot, with a camera placed inside the vacuum chamber to give a view of the sample. The alternative is to systematically scan the whole spot with a raster pattern.

Both of these search methods are time-consuming activities, especially if they have to be repeated for 10 000 samples, which is often the case in biology. Furthermore, as the pinning is a stochastic process, every residue is a little bit different in terms of the shape and the concentration at the edge. Even for identical samples, the ionization – and hence the signal – varies, leading to fluctuating results and making fast and reliable detection difficult. If the material could be distributed homogenously and in a known position, one single laser shot into any position within the residue would give enough information to identify the molecule of interest. Despite the fact that the coffee-stain effect makes it less efficient, MALDI-TOF-MS remains the most widely used analytical tool for analysing large numbers of biological samples.

**Thwarting the effect**

Interestingly, it turns out that there is a way of suppressing the coffee-stain effect using a popular mechanism to move droplets via wettability gradients in the microfluidic channels of “lab-on-a-chip” systems. In the so-called electrowetting effect, the wetting properties of conducting or highly polarizable liquids are altered by applying an electric field across a dielectric layer on which they sit. Electrowetting neither modifies the surface nor the liquid but solely changes their interaction. In essence, charge is added to the liquid either by redistributing existing charge, or by introducing charge through minute amounts of redox reactions.

Electrowetting is a bit like charging a capacitor, with the droplet being the electrode, the lower substrate being the counter electrode, and the upper substrate being a thin dielectric layer (see inset of figure 2). If a voltage is applied to the counter electrode, the droplet gains the same amount of charge but with the opposite sign. As a result, the two charges attract, and as the like charges inside the droplet repel each other, the droplet spreads out like a pancake, wetting the surface even more. When the voltage is removed, the induced charge disappears, allowing the droplet to return to its initial position. In short, electrowetting is a way of changing the position of the contact line of the droplet edge.

In 2011, working in the Physics of Complex Fluids Group at the University of Twente, we developed an electrowetting-based method that allowed us to suppress the coffee-stain effect so that a drying droplet instead forms a small, homogeneous residue (figure 2). By periodically increasing and decreasing an applied voltage to the counter electrode, the contact line is continuously kept in motion and is not allowed to pin. The result: one of the conditions for coffee-ring formation of the Deegan scenario is eliminated. Furthermore, the changing voltage can create internal flow patterns within the droplet. Shaking the droplet at its mechanical resonance frequency or thereabouts causes particularly large amplitude oscillations. Eddies then form within the droplets in a nonlinear effect rather like the “Stokes drift” phenomenon that creates wavy patterns on a sandy beach. (The waves drag seawater over the beach floor, and where the moving water and sea floor meet create eddies that form patterns in the sand.) The driving waves in our experiments are the capillary waves, i.e. the movement of water as the droplet expands and contracts on the surface, and it is these waves that cause eddies. The result is that whenever a particle is pushed towards the contact line, it is then swept away again by the eddies. In other words, these flow fields counteract evaporation-driven net flow, the second of Deegan’s requirements. The most effective suppression is achieved when both conditions of coffee-ring formation are eliminated at the same time. Time-lapse photography showing a droplet drying using this method is shown in figure 3.
Stain gain
The electrowetting-based method for stopping coffee stains from forming has been successfully demonstrated in evaporating drops containing DNA and other biomolecules, and colloids ranging from 100 nm up to 5 µm. Combining this electrowetting method with MALDI-TOF-MS – a technique coined eMALDI – could give huge gains by reducing analysis times and enhancing the signal. The signal is improved because of the high concentration of molecules in the residue. The analysis time, on the other hand, is shortened by eliminating the time-consuming search for hot spots. The sample can be concentrated at a given position using the counter electrode, or, taking this further, a clever arrangement of counter electrodes can be used to position multiple samples in an array. In either case, the laser can then be aligned with the pre-known position and hit the sample first time.

The eMALDI technique, in other words, is a faster, more sensitive breed of MALDI-TOF-MS that in years to come could enable it to be used in clinical applications in hospitals and, from there, our daily lives. In recognition of the potential applications of eMALDI, our group at Twente recently won a subsidy from the Dutch Foundation of Technical Sciences (STW) to make a real device and bring this to market. If this effort is a success, then it could be time for analytical biologists and chemists to breathe a sigh of relief and say goodbye to coffee stains – at least in their samples, if not from their mugs.
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